## Instituto Nacional de Matemática

Pura e Aplicada - IMPA
Tese de Doutorado

Weighted Projective Planes and Irreducible Components of the Space of Foliations

Ruben Edwin Lizarbe Monje

## Rio de Janeiro-RJ

Junho de 2014

# Weighted Projective Planes and Irreducible Components of the Space of Foliations 

Ruben Edwin Lizarbe Monje

Tese de doutorado apresentada ao Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada como requisito parcial para obtenção do título de Doutor em Matemática.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Jorge Vitório Pereira.

Aos meus pais Agustin Lizarbe
e María Monje, a meu irmão Rafael, a minha irmã Olinda e a minha familia carioca Hilda, Ana e Isabel.

## Agradecimentos

Primeiramente, eu quero agradecer a Deus. Os caminhos do homem são os caminhos de Deus.
Ao meu orientador Jorge V. Pereira pelos conselhos e ensinamentos nesta difícil etapa.
Aos membros da banca Alcides Lins Neto, Marcio Soares, Maycol Falla Luza, Mauricio Correa e Omegar Calvo Andrade.

Aos professores do IMCA Renato Benazic, Félix Escalante, Percy Fernández, Roger Metzger, Julio Alcántara, Eladio Ocaña, Christian Valqui e Rudy Rosas pela minha formação no mestrado. Em particular tenho que agradecer a Jesus Zapata pela orientação na tese do mestrado, pela amizade e por tem me apresentado e ajudado a vir para o IMPA.

Aos professores do IMPA Henrique Bursztyn, Luis Florit, Eduardo Esteves, Alcide Lins Neto, Carolina Araujo, Hossein Movasati e Erwan Brugallé por contribuir em minha formação no doutorado.

A meus amigos do futebol no Rio: Leandro Egea, Santos Diogo, Israel Diaz, Mauricio Poletti, Ricardo Turolla, Hudson Lima, Plinio Pino, Lucas Backes, Renan Lima, Mateus Sousa, Edileno de Almeida, Yuri Lima, Felipe Guimarães Sergio Romanã, Haimer Trejos, Gerardo Barrera, Jose Ramon, Daniel Rodríguez, Italo Melo, Renato Zaterka, Eduardo Santana, Ricardo Ariel, Thiago Fassarella, Marcelo Richard, Matheus Santos, David Mezquita, Diego Rodríguez, Jhovanny Muñoz, Braulio Calagua, Juan Jose, Nicolas Martinez, Ricardo Misturini, Daniel Blanquicett, Juan David Roja, João Pedro, Ailton Campos, Felipe Ferreira, Iker Martinez, Leonardo Sousa, Leonardo Freitas, Pablo Antuña, Rafael Montezuma, Wagner Ranter, Davi Lima, Daniel Ungaretti, Aldo Zang, Jamerson Douglas, Roberto Alvarenga, David Mesquita, Luis Fernando, Israel Diaz, Arnold Rayado Bogar Araujo, Alejandro Bustamante, Carlos Zúñiga, Pablo Castro, Junior Higgs, Miguelón, Marco Castro e Mathias Alonso.

A meus amigos do IMPA: Wanderson Costa, Ricardo Paleari, Juan Pablo, Francisco Gozzi, Fernando Gallego, Orestes Bueno, Adriana Neumann, Lucas Pac, Flávio Rocha, Raquel Ribeiro, Cristian Cruz, Carolina Parra, Arturo Fernandez, Martina Negrin, Cristhabel Vasquez, Asun Jiménez, Hassam Hayek, Mario Roldán, Fernando Nera, José Manuel, Adriana Sanchez, Claudio Espinoza, Dimas Abanto, Midory Komatsudani, Susana Frometa, Lucas Ambrozio. E muito em especial a meus amigos Roberto Ribeiro, Gisele Texeira, Alejandro Simarra, Younes Nikdelan, Roberto Teodoro, Elaís Souza, Liz Vivas, David Anderson, John Alexander Cruz, Gabriel Muñoz, Eric Biagioli, Marcos Cossarini, Javier Correa, Karina Marín, Lazaro Rodríguez alguns pelas boas conversas da vida e outros que ajudaram nas correções da minha tese kkkkk.

A minha família no Peru, minha mãe Maria Monje, meu pãe Agustin Lizarbe, minha irmã Olinda e meu irmão Rafael porque cada um deles me apoiou em cada etapa de minha vida. A meus irmãos de alma no Peru: Jhon, Sicha e Walter com quem compartilhei momentos inesquecíveis jogando bola
e saindo nas festas.
A minha carinhosamente chamada " família carioca": Hilda, Ana e Isabel que fizeram meus momentos felizes aqui no Rio. Finalmente eu quero agradecer ao IMPA pelo excelente ambiente, e ao CNPq pelos auxílios concedidos.
"La vida es una búsqueda de momentos de felicidad."

## R.L. Monje

" Valores não se trocam ... se conquistam! E a sorte só acompanha os audazes. Um fator determinante para o sucesso é a auto confiança. ... um fator determinante para a auto confiança é a preparação "
D. Jesus

## Resumo

Nosso trabalho será dividido em dois focos. O primeiro foco de estudo é a densidade de folheações sem soluções algébricas nos planos projetivos com pesos. Nós provamos que uma folheção genérica com grau do fibrado normal muito grande nos planos projetivos com pesos não possui solução algébrica.

É bem conhecido que as resoluçoes minimais de singularidades de um tipo "especial" de planos projetivos com pesos são as superfícies de Hirzebruch. Neste contexto, nós provamos que uma folheção genérica com bigrau do fibrado normal muito grande nas superfícies de Hirzebruch não possui solução algébrica.

O segundo foco de estudo é as componentes irredutíveis do espaço de folheações de codimensão um no espaço projetivo 3 -dimensional. Nós construimos uma família de componentes irredutíveis associadas à uma álgebra de Lie afim

Palavras chaves: Folheações nos planos projetivos com pesos. Superfícies de Hirzebruch. Componentes irredutíveis do espaço de folheações .

## Abstract

Our work is divided into two focus. The first one is study the density of foliations without algebraic solutions in weighted projective planes. We prove that a generic foliation with very large degree of the normal bundle in weighted projective planes has no algebraic solution.

It is well known that the minimal resolutions of singularities of a "special" type of weighted projective planes are the Hirzebruch surfaces. In this context, we prove that a generic foliation with very large bidegree of normal bundle of Hirzebruch surfaces has no algebraic solution.

The second focus of study is the irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of codimension one in 3-dimensional projective space. We construct a family of irreducible components associated with an affine Lie algebra.

Keywords: Foliations in weighted projective planes. Hirzebruch surfaces. Irreducible components of the space of foliations.
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## Introdução

O estudo das folheações holomorfas nos espaços projetivos complexos tem suas origens no século XIX com os trabalhos de G. Darboux, H. Poincaré e P. Painlevé. Muito tempo depois em 1970, J. P. Jouanolou reformolou e extendeu os trabalhos do Darboux 22 na linguagem da geometria algébrica fornecida por Grothendieck. Um dos trabalhos mais importantes sobre folheações nos espaços projetivos complexos encontra-se na célebre monografia de Jouanolou [29]. Tal trabalho desenvolveu duas linhas de pesquisa: densidade de folheações sem soluções algébricas e o problema das componentes irredutíveis do espaço de folheações. Nosso trabalho está focado nestas duas linhas de pesquisa. Vejamos um breve resumo histórico de cada uma delas.

Densidade das folheações sem soluções algébricas. Na teoria clássica de folheações holomorfas (ou equacões diferenciais) no plano projetivo complexo $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ introduz-se um invariante destas que é o chamado grau da folheação. O problema da densidade de folheações sem soluções algébricas em $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ foi originalmente tratado por Jouanolou em [29]. Neste trabalho foi mostrado que uma folheação genérica de grau pelo menos $2 \mathrm{em} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ não admite solução algébrica. Aqui genérico significa que o espaço das folheações que não tem curva algébrica invariante é o complemento de uma união contável de subconjuntos próprios algébricos fechados. A prova de Jouanolou é baseada na construção de exemplos, mais especificamente, ele mostra que as folheações de grau $d$ induzidas pelos campos de vetores polinomiais

$$
X=y^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+z^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+x^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
$$

não tem solução algébrica se $d \geq 2$.
Uma generalização deste resultado foi obtida por Cerveau e Lins Neto em 12. Eles mostraram que, para todo $d \geq 2$, existe um aberto e denso $U$ no espaço das folheações de grau $d$, tal que toda folheação em $U$ não tem solução algébrica.

Outras provas foram dadas por Zoladek [42, Ollagnier e Nowicki [34. Para estes resultados existem versões em dimensão superior, por exemplo, veja os artigos de M. Soares [21], A. Lins-Neto e M. Soares [39, S. C. Coutinho e J. V. Pereira [17, Zoladek 41] e Bersntein-Lunts [7].

Neste trabalho serão abordadas as folheações nos planos projetivos complexos com pesos, as quais foram estudadas por Corrêa and Soares em [16. Faremos uma generalização da definição do "grau" da folheação. Para tais folheações, o invariante é o chamado grau do normal da folheação, que é um inteiro canonicamente associado a esta. Primeiro, nosso estudo irá concentrar-se nas folheações nos planos projetivos complexos com pesos dos tipos $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right), 1 \leq l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2}, l_{i}$ coprimos dois a dois. É possivel perguntar se o resultado de Jouanolou [29] ainda é válido para folheações nos planos projetivos com pesos destes tipos. A resposta é sim, e é um dos resultados principais desta tese.

Teorema 1. Uma folheação genérica com $\mathbb{Q}$-fibrado normal de grau d em $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{i}$ coprimos dois a dois, $1 \leq l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2}$, não possui curva algébrica invariante se $d \gg 0$.

Na verdade, a cota obtida é $d=l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+2 l_{2}$. Os resultados não são ótimos no sentido que nós podemos achar exemplos onde o teorema acima ainda é verdadeiro para valores fora das hipóteses.

No caso particular de folheações nos planos projetivos com pesos tipos $\left(1,1, l_{2}\right), l_{2} \geq 2$ temos um resultado mais preciso para estas perguntas.

Teorema 2. Uma folheação genérica com $\mathbb{Q}$-fibrado normal de grau d em $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{2} \geq 2$, não possui curva algébrica invariante se $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$.

O cota acima é ótima no sentido que toda folheação com $\mathbb{Q}$-fibrado normal de grau menor do que $2 l_{2}+1$ admite uma reta invariante.

O próximo objeto de estudo são as folheações nas superfícies de Hirzebruch. É bem conhecido que a resolução minimal do planos projetivos com pesos $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{2} \geq 2$, são as superfícies de Hirzebruch $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)$ (ver [36]). O invariante destas é o chamado bigrau, que é um par ordenado $(a, b)$ de números inteiros canonicamente associado à folheação. Nosso próximo resultado é uma nova generalização do teorema de Jouanolou [29] para folheações nas superfícies de Hirzebruch.

Teorema 3. Uma folheação holomorfa de bigrau $(a, b)$ genérica em $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ não possui solução algébrica se $a \geq b l_{2}+2$ e $b \geq 3$.

O resultado acima também é o melhor, pois toda folheação de bigrau $(a, b) \operatorname{com} b<3$ ou $a<b l_{2}+2$ admite uma curva invariante. Cabe mencionar, pelo dito anteriormente que a resolução minimal de $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ é $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$, nós poderíamos pensar que o Teorema 2 implique o Teorema 3, e vice-versa. Isso não é verdade pelo seguinte fato: As folheações em $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ cuja seção excepcional de $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$, (isto é, a curva com auto interseção $-l_{2}$.) é invariante traduz-se via o mapa de resolução como uma condição aberta nas folheações em $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$, contrariamente as folheações em $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ que não são invariantes pela seção excepcional interpreta-se como uma condição fechada nas folheações em $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$. Isto justifica que os dois problemas são totalmente diferentes.

Componentes irredutíveis do espaço de folheações. O segundo foco do nosso trabalho são as folheações holomorfas de codimensão um em espaços projetivos de dimensão maior ou igual a três. É bem conhecido que o espaço de folheações holomorfas de codimensão um e grau $k$ em $\mathbb{P}^{n}, n \geq 3$, denotado por $\mathbb{F o l}(k, n)$ é um conjunto algébrico que tem decomposição em componentes irredutíveis. Em [29], Jouanolou mostra que $\mathbb{F o l}(0, n)$ tem uma só componente irredutível e $\mathbb{F o l}(1, n)$ tem duas componentes irredutíveis. Em 1996, D. Cerveau e Lins Neto [13], mostraram que $\mathbb{F o l}(2, n)$ tem seis componentes irredutv́eis. Outra nova prova desse resultado foi obtida por Loray, Touzet e Pereira em 33]. Para $k \geq 3$, ainda está aberto o problema das componentes. Existem construções de famílias de componentes irredutíveis, por exemplo, veja os artigos de Calvo-Andrade, Cerveau, Giraldo e Lins Neto [11], Cukierman e Pereira [20], Calvo-Andrade [10].

No trabalho de Calvo-Andrade, Cerveau, Giraldo e Lins Neto [11], eles constroem famílias de componentes irredutíveis associadas à uma álgebra de Lie afim. Eles introduziram o seguinte conceito:

Para $1 \leq r<p<q$ inteiros positivos $\operatorname{com} \operatorname{mdc}(p, q, r)=1$, considere o campo vetorial linear em $\mathbb{C}^{3}$

$$
S=p x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+q y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+r z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

e suponha que exista um outro campo vetorial polinomial $X$ em $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ tal que $[S, X]=\lambda X$, para algum $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denotemos por $\mathcal{F}(S, X)$ a folheação induzida pela forma $\omega=i_{S} i_{X} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z$. Eles definem

$$
\mathbb{F o l}((p, q, r), \lambda, \nu)=\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}(\nu, 3) \mid \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}(S, X) \text { em alguma carta afim }\} .
$$

E mostram o seguinte:
Teorema (Calvo et al., '04 ). Seja $d \geq 1$ um inteiro. Então

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(d^{2}+d+1, d+1,1\right),-1, d+1\right)}
$$

é uma componente irredutiveil de $\mathbb{F o l}(d+1,3)$.
O objetivo de nosso trabalho é construir novas famílias de componentes irredutíveis. O segundo resultado principal é o teorema a seguir:

Teorema 4. Se $l_{0}>l_{1}, \operatorname{mdc}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)=1, l_{0} \geq 3$ e $q \geq 1$, então

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right), l_{1}\left(q l_{0}-1\right), q l_{0}+1\right)}
$$

é uma componente irredutivel de $\mathbb{F o l}\left(q l_{0}+1,3\right) e$

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right), l_{0} l_{1} q, q l_{0}+2\right)}
$$

é uma componente irredutível de $\operatorname{Fol}\left(q l_{0}+2,3\right)$.
Esta tese está dividida em três capítulos. No Capítulo 1 nos concentraremos em definir os espaços projetivos com pesos e as folheações nestes espaços. Além disso, fixaremos as notações que serão utilizadas nos Capítulos seguintes.

O Capítulo 2 é voltado para a densidade das folheações sem soluções algébricas. Serão provados os Teoremas 1, 2 e 3 .

O Capítulo 3 é dedicado ao problema das componentes irredutíveis do espaço das folheações. E probaremos o Teorema 4.

## Introduction

The study of holomorphic foliations in complex projective spaces has its origins in the 19th century with the works of G. Darboux, H. Poincaré and P. Painlevé. In the late 1970's, J. P. Jouanolou reformulated and extended the work of Darboux [22] in the algebraic geometry framework provided by Grothendieck. One of the most important works about holomorphic foliations in complex projective spaces is found in Jouanolou's celebrated monograph [29]. Such a work developed two lines of research: the density of algebraic foliations without algebraic solutions and the problem concerning irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations. Our work is focused in this two lines of research. The reader can see a brief summary of each one of them bellow.

Density of algebraic foliations without algebraic solutions: In the classic theory of holomorphic foliations or differential equations in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, it is introduced an invariant which is called the degree of the foliation. The issue of the density of algebraic foliations without algebraic solutions in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ was originally proved by Jouanolou in [29. In this work it was proved that a generic foliation of degree at least 2 does not admit any algebraic solution. By generic we mean that the set of foliations that does not have any invariant curve is the complement of a countable union of algebraic closed proper subsets. The Jouanolou's proof is based in the construction of the examples, more specifically, he has showed that the foliations of degree $d$ that are induced by the polynomial vector fields

$$
X=y^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+z^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+x^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
$$

have no algebraic solutions if $d \geq 2$.
A generalization of this result was obtained by Cerveau and Lins Neto in [12. They have showed that, for all $d \geq 2$, there exists an open and dense subset $U$ in the space of foliations of degree $d$, such a foliation in $U$ has no algebraic solutions.

Other proofs have been given by Zoladek 42], Ollagnier and Nowicki [34.For this results there are versions in dimension greater than 2, for example, see the papers of M. Soares 21], A. Lins-Neto and M. Soares [39, S. C. Coutinho and J. V. Pereira [17, Zoladek 41], and Bersntein-Lunts 77.

In this work it will be discussed the foliations in the weighted projective planes. We will consider a generalization of the definition of "degree" of the foliation. In this case such an invariant is called the normal degree of the foliation, which is an integer canonically associated to the foliation. Our study will focus on foliations in the weighted projective planes of types $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right), 1 \leq l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2}$, $l_{i}$ pairwise coprimes. Hence, one can ask naturally if the Jouanolou's result is still true for foliations in the weighted projective planes of these types. In this work we provide a positive answer to this question.

Theorem 1. A generic foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}$ pairwise coprimes, $1 \leq l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2}$, does not admit any invariant algebraic curve if $d \gg 0$.

Actually, the bound is $d=l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+2 l_{2}$. The results are not sharp in the sense that we can find where the above theorem is still true for values outside of the hypothesis.

In the particular case of foliations on the weighted projective planes of types $\left(1,1, l_{2}\right), l_{2} \geq 2$, we have a more accurate answer to these questions.

Theorem 2. A generic foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ with $l_{2} \geq 2$ has no algebraic solutions if $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$.

The above result is sharp in the sense that every foliation with $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle normal of degree less than $2 l_{2}+1$ admits an invariant line.

A second issue of our study are the foliations on the Hirzebruch surfaces. It is well known that the minimal resolution of the weighted projective planes $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{2} \geq 2$, are the Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)$ (see [36]). The invariant of the foliations on Hirzebruch surfaces is called the bidegree, which is an ordered pair $(a, b)$ of integers numbers canonically associated to them. Our next result is a generalized version of Jouanolou's Theorem for foliations in Hirzebruch surfaces.

Theorem 3. A generic foliation with normal bundle of bidegree $(a, b)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ does not admit any invariant algebraic curve if $b \geq 3$ and $a \geq b l_{2}+2$.

The above result is also sharp, because every foliation of bidegree ( $a, b$ ) with $b<3$ or $a<b l_{2}+2$ admits an invariant curve. It should be noted, by the previously discussion the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$, as such we might think that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 3 and vice versa. This is not true by the following fact: The foliations in $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ in which the excepcional section of $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$, (i.e., the unique curve with selfintersection $-l_{2}$, ) is invariant by the foliations, are translated via the resolution map as a open condition for the foliations in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$, conversely the foliations in which excepcional section of $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ is not invariant by them, are translated as a closed condition for the foliations in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$. This justifies why the two problems are different.

Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations: The second focus are the holomorphic codimension one foliations on complex projective spaces of dimension greater or equal than 3. It is known that the space of holomorphic codimension one foliations of degree $k$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, which is denoted by $\mathbb{F o l}(k, n)$, is an algebraic set. Therefore, it has an unique decomposition into irreducible components. The second problem is to determinate the irreducible components of the space of foliations. In [29], Jouanolou shows that $\mathbb{F o l}(0, n)$ has only one irreducible component and $\mathbb{F}$ ol $(1, n)$ has two irreducible components. In 1996, D. Cervau and Lins Neto [13], showed that $\mathbb{F o l}(2, n)$ has six irreducible components. Another new proof of this result was obtained by Loray, Touzet e Pereira in [33]. For $k \geq 3$, the problem of the components is still open. There are constructions of families of irreducible components, for example, Calvo-Andrade, Cerveau, Giraldo and Lins Neto [11], Cukierman and Pereira [20, Calvo-Andrade [10]. In the work of Calvo-Andrade, Cerveau, Giraldo and Lins Neto [11], they have constructed families of the irreducible components associated to the affine Lie algebra. They have introduced the following definition. Let $1 \leq p<q<r$ be are positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q, r)=1$. Consider the linear vector field on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$

$$
S=p x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+q y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+r z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

Suppose that there is another polynomial vector field $X$ on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $[S, X]=\lambda X$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denoted by $\mathcal{F}(S, X)$, the foliation on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ induced by the 1 -form $\Omega=i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z)$, which is associated to a representation of the affine algebra of polynomial vector fields in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. It can be extended to a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of certain degree $\nu$. They define

$$
\mathbb{F o l}((p, q, r), \lambda, \nu):=\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F} o l(\nu, 3) \mid \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}(S, X) \text { in some affine chart }\},
$$

And they prove the following:
Theorem (Calvo et al., '04 ). Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. There is an $N$-dimensional irreducible component

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(d^{2}+d+1, d+1,1\right),-1, d+1\right)},
$$

of the space $\mathbb{F}$ ol $(d+1,3)$ whose general point corresponds to a GK Klein-Lie foliation with exactly one quasi-homogeneous singularity, where $N=13$ if $d=1$ and $N=14$ if $d>1$. Moreover, this component is the closure of a $\mathbb{P} G L(4, \mathbb{C})$ orbit on $\mathbb{F o l}(d+1,3)$.

The goal of our work is to construct new families of irreducible components. The third result is the theorem bellow.

Theorem 4. If $l_{0}>l_{1}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)=1, l_{0} \geq 3$ and $q \geq 1$, then

$$
\overline{\mathbb{F o l}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right), l_{1}\left(q l_{0}-1\right), q l_{0}+1\right)},
$$

is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{F o l}\left(q l_{0}+1,3\right)$ and

$$
\overline{\mathbb{F o l}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right), l_{0} l_{1} q, q l_{0}+2\right)}
$$

is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{F o l}\left(q l_{0}+2,3\right)$.
This work is organized in three chapters.
In Chapter 1, we focus on the definition of the weighted projective spaces and the codimension one foliations on weighted projective spaces. In addition, we fix the notations that will be used in the following chapters.

Chapter 2 is devoted to the density of algebraic foliations without algebraic solutions on weighted projective spaces. Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are proved in this Chapter.

Chapter 3 is concerned with the problem of the irreducible components of holomorphic foliations. We prove Theorem 4.

## Chapter 1

## Weighted Projective Spaces

In this first chapter, we introduce the weighted projective spaces and present the basic definitions and properties we shall need in the sequel. See [2], 5], 19] and [23] for more details. Once we understand these spaces, we will talk about holomorphic foliations on weighted projective spaces and stablish some index theorems. The main reference for the last part is [9].

Theorem 1.0.1. let

### 1.1 Definition of weighted projective space

Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ be a vector of positive integers which is called a weight vector, and set

$$
|\ell|=l_{0}+\cdots+l_{n} .
$$

Then there is a natural action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}$ given by

$$
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right), \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{C}^{*} .
$$

The set of orbits $\frac{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}}{\mathbb{C}^{*}}$ under this action is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathbb{P}^{n}(\ell)\right)$ and is called the weighted projective space of type $\ell$. It comes equipped with a natural quotient topology: a subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is open iff $U=V / \mathbb{C}^{*}$ for some $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}$.

The class of a nonzero element $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is denoted by $\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right]_{\ell}$ and the weight vector can be omitted if no ambiguity can arise.

For $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}$, the closure of $[x]_{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is obtained by adding the origin and it is an algebraic curve.

Remark 1.1.1. When $\ell=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=(1, \ldots, 1)$ one obtains the usual complex projective spaces and the weight vector will always be omitted.

### 1.2 Analytic structure

As in the classical case, weighted projective spaces can be endowed with an analytic structure. However, in general they contain cyclic quotient singularities. To understand this structure, consider the decomposition $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}=U_{0} \cup \ldots \cup U_{n}$, where $U_{i}$ is the open set consisting of the elements
$\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{i}: \ldots: x_{n}\right]_{\ell}$ with $x_{i} \neq 0$. Let $\mu_{k}$ be the cyclic group of $k$-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{i}: \quad \mathbb{C}^{n} & \rightarrow U_{i} \\
\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) & \mapsto\left[y_{1}: \ldots: y_{i}: 1: y_{i+1}: \ldots: y_{n}\right]_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a surjective map but it is not a chart, since injectivity fails. In fact, $\left[y_{1}: \ldots: y_{i}: 1: y_{i+1}: 1\right.$ : $\left.y_{n}\right]_{\ell}=\left[y_{1}^{\prime}: \ldots: y_{i}^{\prime}: 1: y_{i+1}^{\prime}: \ldots: y_{n}^{\prime}\right]_{\ell}$ if and only if there exists $g_{i} \in \mu_{l_{i}}$ such that $y_{j}^{\prime}=g_{i}^{l_{j-1}} y_{j}$, for all $j=1, \ldots, i$ and $y_{j}^{\prime}=g_{i}^{l_{j}} y_{j}$, for all $j=i+1, \ldots, n$. Hence the above map induces the bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i}: \mathbb{C}^{n} / \mu_{l_{i}} & \rightarrow U_{i} \\
{\left[\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right] } & \mapsto\left[y_{1}: \ldots: y_{i}: 1: y_{i+1}: \ldots: y_{n}\right]_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mu_{l_{i}}$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{l_{i}} \times \mathbb{C}^{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \\
\left(g_{i},\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) & \mapsto\left(g_{i}^{l_{0}} y_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}^{l_{i-1}} y_{i}, g_{i}^{l_{i+1}} y_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{i}^{l_{n}} y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i<j$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{j}^{-1} \circ \psi_{i}: \psi_{i}^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n} / \mu_{l_{i}} & \rightarrow \psi_{j}^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n} / \mu_{l_{j}} \\
{\left[\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right] } & \mapsto\left[\left(\frac{y_{1}}{y_{j}^{l_{1} / l_{j}}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{i}}{y_{j}^{l_{i} / l_{j}}}, \frac{1}{y_{j}^{1 / l_{j}}}, \frac{y_{i+1}}{y_{j}^{l_{i+1} / l_{j}}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{j-1}}{y_{j}^{l_{j-1} / l_{j}}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{n}}{x_{j}^{l_{n} / l_{j}}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the transition maps are analytic, $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is an analytic space with cyclic quotient singularities as claimed.

### 1.3 Interpretation

The weighted projective space can be seen as a quotient of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ by a group acting on it. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu_{r}$ be the finite cyclic group of $r$-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$, and $G_{\ell}=\mu_{l_{0}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{l_{n}}$ be the product of cyclic groups. Consider the action of the group $G_{\ell}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ given as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_{\ell} \times \mathbb{P}^{n} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n} \\
\left(g,\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right]\right) & \mapsto & {\left[g_{0} x_{0}: \ldots: g_{n} x_{n}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

where $g=\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in G_{\ell}$.
For every $g \in G_{\ell}$, the map $\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right] \rightarrow\left[g_{0} x_{0}: \ldots: g_{n} x_{n}\right]$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. We will also denote it by $g$. The set of all orbits $\mathbb{P}^{n} / G_{\ell}$ is isomorphic to the weighted projective space of type $\ell$ and the isomorphism is induced by a surjective natural morphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{\ell}: \mathbb{P}^{n} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \\
{\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right]} & \mapsto & {\left[x_{0}^{l_{0}}: \ldots: x_{n}^{l_{n}}\right]_{\ell}}
\end{array}
$$

This is a branched covering, unramified over

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \backslash\left\{\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right]_{\ell} \mid \quad x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{n}=0\right\}
$$

and has $\bar{\ell}=\operatorname{lcm}\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ sheets. Moreover, the covering respects the coordinate axes.

Example 1.3.1. $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{2} \geq 2$ is the cone over the rational curve of degree $l_{2}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{l_{2}}$ given by the following embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l_{2}}, \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)} } & \mapsto\left[x_{0}^{l_{2}}: x_{0}^{l_{2}-1} x_{1}: \ldots: x_{1}^{l_{2}}: x_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This surface is obtained by blowing down the exceptional section of the ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus\right.$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(l_{2}\right)$ ), a Hirzebruch surface, see [36, page 29]. In $\$ 1.8$ we will check this.

Example 1.3.2. For $\ell=\left(1, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ the space $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)}^{n}$ is a compactification of the affine space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, that is, the open set $U_{0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Its complement coincides with the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)}^{n-1}$.
Example 1.3.3. $\mathbb{P}_{(1,2,3)}^{2}$ is covered by 3 open sets $U_{0} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}, U_{1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{2}$ induced by the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
\left(g_{2},(x, y)\right) & \mapsto\left(g_{2} x, g_{2} y\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $U_{2} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{3}$ induced by the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
\left(g_{3},(x, y)\right) & \mapsto\left(g_{3} x, g_{3}^{2} y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the Example 1.3.1 we can find an embedding in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$. It suffices to take

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{(1,2,3)}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{6}, \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]_{(1,2,3)} } & \mapsto\left[x_{0}^{6}: x_{0}^{4} x_{1}: x_{0}^{3} x_{2}: x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}: x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}: x_{1}^{3}: x_{2}^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.4 Quasi-homogeneous polynomials and divisors on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$

The main reference for this and the next section are [23] and [19, Chapter 4].
Definition 1.4.1. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial and $\ell=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ be a weight vector.

1. The polynomial $F$ is said to be a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ if for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ we have

$$
F\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right)=t^{d} F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Note that if $l_{0}=\ldots=l_{n}=1$ then we are in the case of standard homogeneous polynomial.
2. A rational function on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is a quotient of quasi-homogeneous polynomials of the same degree, and the field of rational functions of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$.
3. An irreducible subvariety of codimension one on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is the set of zeros of an irreducible quasihomogeneous polynomial.

Remark 1.4.2. The definition of the degree given above induces a natural grading of the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and this ring, considered as a graded ring, is denoted by $S(\ell)$, that is

$$
S(\ell)=\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S(\ell)_{d}
$$

where $S(\ell)_{d}$ denotes the vector space of the quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$.

Definition 1.4.3. 1. $\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$ is the free abelian group generated by the irreducible subvarieties of codimension one on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$. A Weil divisor is an element of $\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$.
2. The divisor of $f \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)^{*}$ is

$$
\operatorname{div}(f)=\sum_{D \in \operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)} \operatorname{ord}_{D}(f) D
$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_{D}: \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a discrete valuation, see [19, page 155 ].
3. $\operatorname{div}(f)$ is called a principal divisor, and the set of all principal divisors is denoted by $\operatorname{Div} v_{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$.
4. A Weil divisor $D$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is Cartier if it is locally principal, meaning that $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ has an open cover $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\left.D\right|_{U_{i}}$ is principal in $U_{i}$ for every $i \in I$. It follows that the Cartier divisors on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ form a group $C \operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Div}_{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{CDiv}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)
$$

5. The class group of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Cl}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) / \operatorname{Div}_{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right),
$$

and the Picard group of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)=C \operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) / \operatorname{Div} v_{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.4.4. If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=1$. Then the following assertions hold true.

1. The natural map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}: C l\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
{[\{F=0\}] } & \mapsto \operatorname{deg}(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism. Furthermore the natural inclusion $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \subset C l\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$ induces the following isomorphism

$$
\left.\operatorname{deg}\right|_{P i c\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)}: \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \rightarrow m \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $m=l c m\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$.
2. The linear map

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow C l\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}
$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. In particular, for a given Weil divisor $D$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ there always exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k D \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$. In this case it is said that $D$ is $a \mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor or $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle.

Proof. See [19, page 76 and page 188] and [1, Theorem 4.12].
Definition 1.4.5. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)$. We define the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(D)$ of a Weil divisor $D$ as

$$
U \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(D)(U)=\left\{f \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)^{*}|(\operatorname{div}(f)+D)|_{U} \geq 0\right\} \cup\{0\},
$$

where $U$ is any open subset of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ if $\operatorname{deg}(D)=\operatorname{deg}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Denote $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(\operatorname{deg}(D)):=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(D)$.
The following theorem relates the global sections of a Weil divisor with the quasi-homogeneous polynomials. One can find it in [23, page 39].

Theorem 1.4.6. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the following assertions hold true.

1. $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(d)\right) \simeq S(\ell)_{d}$.
2. $H^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(d)\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0, n$.

Thus we can identify $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(d)\right)$ as the vector space of the quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$. It will convenient to set

$$
h^{0}(n, d, \ell):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(d)\right)
$$

Consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}_{\ell}: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & \mapsto\left(x_{0}^{l_{0}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{l_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It induces a branched covering

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\ell}: \mathbb{P}^{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \\
{\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right] } & \mapsto\left[x_{0}^{l_{0}}: \ldots: x_{n}^{l_{n}}\right]_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $F \in S(\ell)_{d}$, then

1. $\varphi_{\ell}^{*}(F):=F \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\ell}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$.
2. $g^{*}\left(F \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\ell}\right)=F \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\ell}, \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell}$.

Therefore, it is natural to give the following definition.
Definition 1.4.7. Let $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial and $\ell=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ be a weight vector.

1. The polynomial $\tilde{F}$ is said to be $G_{\ell}$-invariant homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ if it is homogeneous of degree $d$ and $g^{*} \tilde{F}=\tilde{F}, \forall g \in G_{\ell}$.
2. The vector space of the $G_{\ell}$-invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ is denoted by $S_{d}^{G_{\ell}}$.

Lemma 1.4.8. The natural homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*}: S(\ell)_{d} & \rightarrow S_{d}^{G_{\ell}} \\
F & \mapsto F \circ \varphi_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a linear isomorphism $\forall d \geq 0, \forall n \geq 1$. In particular we have the natural isomorphisms

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(d)\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(d)\right)^{G_{\ell}}
$$

where $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(d)\right)^{G_{\ell}}$ refers to the image of $S_{d}^{G_{\ell}}$ by the isomorphism given in the Theorem 1.4 .6 item 1 with $\ell=(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Proof. The application $\varphi_{\ell}^{*}$ is clearly linear and injective. To see that it is surjective it is enough to prove it for monomials. Let $F=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ be a monomial such that $g^{*} F=F, \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell}$, that is

$$
g_{0}^{a_{0}} \ldots g_{n}^{a_{n}} x_{0}^{a_{0}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{n}}=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{n}}
$$

so $g_{0}^{a_{0}} \ldots g_{n}^{a_{n}}=1, \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell}$, and then $a_{i}=m_{i} l_{i}$. Hence we can define $\tilde{F}=y_{0}^{m_{0}} \ldots y_{n}^{m_{n}}$ such that $\varphi^{*} \tilde{F}=F$. This concludes the proof of the lemma.

### 1.4.1 Well-formed weighted projective spaces

For different weight vectors $\ell$ and $\ell^{\prime}$ the corresponding weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{n}$ can be isomorphic. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{i-1}, l_{i+1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right) \\
& a_{i}=\operatorname{lcm}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{i-1}, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right), \\
& a=\operatorname{lcm}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $a_{i} \mid a, \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i}, d_{i}\right)=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{i}, d_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$ and $a_{i} d_{i}=a$.
Proposition 1.4.9. Let $\ell^{\prime}=\left(l_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, l_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{l_{0}}{a_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{l_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)$. The natural morphism

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\varphi: \quad \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{n},  \tag{1.1}\\
{\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right]_{\ell}} & \mapsto & {\left[x_{0}^{d_{0}}: \ldots: x_{n}^{d_{n}}\right]_{\ell^{\prime}}}
\end{array}
$$

is an algebraic isomorphism.
Proof. See [23, page 37] or [1, Proposition 2.3].
Remark 1.4.10. The isomorphism (1.1) induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{*}: H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{n}}(d)\right) & \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(a d)\right), \\
F & \mapsto F \circ \varphi,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $d \geq 0$.
Remark 1.4.11. In the case $n=2$, if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=1$, then $l_{0}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}$ are two-by-two coprimes obtained from Proposition 1.4.9

### 1.5 Quasi-homogeneous $k$-forms on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$

To define foliations on weighted projective spaces we will need quasi-homogeneous 1-forms. Let us start to study in a more general way the quasi-homogeneous $k$-forms. As we shall see there is a natural identification between quasi-homogeneous $k$-forms and homogeneous $k$-forms invariant under a group. This construction was motived by [23, Chapter 2].

Definition 1.5.1. Let $\eta$ be a polynomial $k$-form on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $\ell=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ be a weight vector. The $k$-form $\eta$ is said to be quasi-homogeneous $k$-form of degree $d$ if

$$
\psi_{t}^{*} \eta=t^{d} \eta, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

where $\psi_{t}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right)$.
A polynomial vector field $X$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is called quasi-homogeneous vector field of degree $r$ if

$$
\left(\psi_{t}\right)_{*} X=t^{|\ell|-r} X \circ \psi_{t}
$$

Remark 1.5.2. It follows from the definition that $\operatorname{deg}\left(d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{i_{k}}\right)=l_{i_{1}}+\cdots+l_{i_{k}}$. Moreover if

$$
\eta=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{i_{k}}
$$

is a quasi-homogeneous $k$-form of degree $d$, then

$$
\psi_{t}^{*} \eta=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right) t^{l_{i_{1}}+\ldots l_{i_{k}}} d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{i_{k}}=t^{d} \eta
$$

therefore,

$$
F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right)=t^{d-\left(l_{i_{1}}+\cdots+l_{i_{k}}\right)} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

Briefly, $\operatorname{deg}(\eta)=d$ if only if the polynomials $F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ are quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-\left(l_{i_{1}}+\cdots+l_{i_{k}}\right)$.

The definition of the degree given above induces a natural $S(\ell)$-grading in the free module of polynomials $k$-forms and this $S(\ell)$-module considered as graded $S(\ell)$-module is denoted by $\Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}$, that is

$$
\Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}=\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(d)
$$

where $\Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(d)$ denotes the vector space of quasi-homogeneous polynomial $k$-forms of degree $d$.
According to our convention $\operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\sum_{j \neq i} l_{j}$. Furthermore, if

$$
X=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}},
$$

is a quasi-homogeneous vector field of degree $r$, hence

$$
\left(\psi_{t}\right)_{*} X=\sum_{i=0} t^{l_{i}} A_{i}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}=t^{|\ell|-r} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i}\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}
$$

thus,

$$
A_{i}\left(t^{l_{0}} x_{0}, \ldots, t^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right)=t^{r-\sum_{j \neq i} l_{j}} A_{i}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \forall t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

That is, $\operatorname{deg}(X)=r$ if and only if the polynomials $A_{i}$ are quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $r-\sum_{j \neq i} l_{j}$.

Exterior derivative. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
d: S(\ell) & \longrightarrow \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{1} \\
F & \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} d x_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

be the canonical universal differentiation. The $k$-linear map $d$ extends to the exterior differentiation

$$
d: \Omega_{S}^{k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{k+1}
$$

determined uniquely by the condition

$$
d\left(\eta \wedge \eta^{\prime}\right)=d \eta \wedge \eta^{\prime}+(-1)^{k} \eta \wedge d \eta^{\prime}, \quad \eta \in \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}, \quad \eta^{\prime} \in \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{j}
$$

We also have an analogue of Euler's formula:

$$
m F=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} l_{i} x_{j}, \quad \forall F \in S(\ell)_{m}
$$

Using the linearity of both sides of this identity we need to verify this formula only in the case when $F$ is a monomial $x_{0}^{i_{0}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$. But in this case it can be done without any difficulties.

Interior product is the homomorphism of graded $S(\ell)$-modules defined as follows. If $X=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$ is a quasi-homogeneous vector field of degree $r$, interior product with $X$ is

$$
i_{X}: \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k-1}, k \geq 1
$$

defined by the formula

$$
i_{X}\left(d x_{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{j_{k}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i+1} A_{j_{i}} d x_{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \hat{x}_{j_{i}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{j_{k}}
$$

In the case $R=\sum_{i=0}^{n} l_{i} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ we have the following properties:

1. $i_{R}(d F)=m F, F \in S(\ell)_{m}$,
2. $i_{R}(d \eta)+d\left(i_{R}(\eta)\right)=m \eta, \eta \in \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(m)$.

Using the branched covering map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{\ell}: \mathbb{P}^{n} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \\
{\left[x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n}\right]} & \mapsto & {\left[x_{0}^{l_{0}}: \cdots: x_{n}^{l_{n}}\right]_{\ell} .}
\end{array}
$$

induced by the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}_{\ell}: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & \mapsto\left(x_{0}^{l_{0}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{l_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that if $\eta \in \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(d)$ then

1. $\varphi_{\ell}^{*}(\eta):=\tilde{\varphi}_{\ell}^{*}(\eta)$ is a homogeneous polynomial $k$-form of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.
2. $g^{*}\left(\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta\right)=\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta, \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell}=\mu_{l_{0}} \times \ldots \times \mu_{l_{n}}$.

Therefore, it is natural to give the following definition.
Definition 1.5.3. Let $\omega$ be a homogeneous $k$-form $\omega$ of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, i.e., $\omega \in \Omega_{S}^{k}(d)$.

1. The 1 -form $\omega$ is called $G_{\ell \text {-invariant }}$ if $g^{*} \omega=\omega$, for all $g \in G_{\ell}$.
2. The vector space of the $G_{\ell}$-invariant homogeneous $k$-forms of degree $d$ is denoted by $\Omega_{S}^{k}(d)^{G_{\ell}}$.

Lemma 1.5.4. Under above conditions. The natural homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*}: \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(d) & \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{k}(d)^{G_{\ell}} \\
\eta & \mapsto \varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

is a linear isomorphism $\forall d \geq 0, \forall k \geq 0, \forall n \geq 1$.

Proof. $\varphi_{\ell}^{*}$ is clearly linear and injective. To see that it is surjective, by linearity of $\varphi_{\ell}^{*}$, we can assume

$$
\omega=\left(\prod_{i \neq 0, \ldots, k} x_{j_{i}}^{a_{i}}\right) x_{j_{0}}^{a_{0}-1} \ldots x_{j_{k}}^{a_{k}-1} d x_{j_{0}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{j_{k}}
$$

such that $g^{*} \omega=\omega, \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell}$. This implies that

$$
\prod_{i} g_{j_{i}}^{a_{i}}\left(\prod_{i \neq 0, \ldots, k} x_{j_{i}}^{a_{i}}\right) x_{j_{0}}^{a_{0}-1} \ldots x_{j_{k}}^{a_{k}-1} d x_{j_{0}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{j_{k}}=\omega
$$

therefore, $\prod_{i=1 \ldots n} g_{j_{i}}^{a_{i}}=1$, and hence $a_{i}=m_{i} l_{j_{i}}, \forall i$. Thus we define

$$
\eta=\left(\prod_{i=0, \ldots, k} y_{j_{i}}^{m_{i}}\right) y_{j_{0}}^{m_{0}-1} \ldots y_{j_{k}}^{m_{k}-1} \frac{d y_{j_{0}}}{l_{j_{0}}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{d y_{j_{k}}}{l_{j_{k}}}
$$

and we can conclude that $\varphi^{*} \eta=\omega$.
Notice that the following sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{n} \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{0} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact, see [23, page 44].
Definition 1.5.5. Let $d \geq 0$ be an integer. The twisted sheaf of Zariski $k$-forms $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{k}(d)$ is defined as follow

$$
\left.\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{k}(d)\left(U_{i}\right)=\left\{\left.\frac{\eta}{x_{i}^{j}} \right\rvert\, \eta \in \operatorname{ker}\left\{i_{R}: \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}\left(d+j l_{i}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k-1}\left(d+j l_{i}\right)\right\}\right\}
$$

If $k=n$ and $d=0$, the sheaf $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{n}$ which is called canonical sheaf of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$.
Proposition 1.5.6. Under the above conditions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{k}(d)\right) \simeq \operatorname{ker}\left\{i_{R}: \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(d) \rightarrow \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k-1}(d)\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $j_{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right)}^{k}\right)=\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{k}$, where $j: \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is the natural inclusion.
Proof. See [23, 2.1.5, page 44 and 2.2.4, page 47].
By Lemma 1.5.4 and the commutativity of the following diagram

in which $R=\sum_{i=0}^{n} l_{i} y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}, R_{0}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$, we have the following isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left\{i_{R}: \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k}(d) \rightarrow \Omega_{S(\ell)}^{k-1}(d)\right\} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\ell}^{*}} \operatorname{ker}\left\{i_{R_{0}}: \Omega_{S}^{k}(d)^{G_{\ell}} \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{k-1}(d)\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{k}(d)\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{k}(d)\right)^{G_{\ell}}
$$

where $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{k}(d)\right)^{G_{\ell}} \subset H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{k}(d)\right)$ refers to the image of $\operatorname{ker}\left\{i_{R_{0}}: \Omega_{S}^{k}(d)^{G_{\ell}} \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{k-1}(d)\right\}$ given by the isomorphism 1.2 .

Remark 1.5.7. In [4, Theorem 12.1., page 38], Batyrev and Cox constructs an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1} \longrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}\left(-l_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

called the generalized Euler exact sequence of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$.
Proposition 1.5.8. If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=1$, then $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{n}$, the canonical sheaf of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$, is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(-|\ell|)$. That is, the canonical sheaf is the sheaf associated to a Weil divisor of degree $-|\ell|$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$.

Proof. See [19, Theorem 8.2.3]

### 1.6 Foliations on Weighted Projective Spaces

The purpose of this section is to extend the definition of codimension one foliations on usual projective spaces to the weighted projective spaces. It is worth noting that M. Corrêa and M.G. Soares already studied the subject in [16].

Definition 1.6.1. A codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is given by $\eta \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}(d)\right)$ such that

1. $\eta \wedge d \eta=0$ (Integrability condition),
2. $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sing}(\eta)) \geq 2$, where $\operatorname{Sing}(\eta)=\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \mid \eta(p)=0\right\}$.

Remark 1.6.2. If $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ satisfies condition 2 and define $\mathcal{F}$ then $\eta_{1}=\lambda \eta_{2}$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$.
Remark 1.6.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ given by the quasi-homogeneous 1-form of degree $d$

$$
\eta=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) d x_{i}
$$

in which $\sum_{i=0}^{n} l_{i} x_{i} A_{i}=0$.
In the open set $U_{0} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n} / \mu_{l_{0}}$, using the map $\tilde{\psi}_{0}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\left(1: y_{1}: \cdots: y_{n}\right)$ we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{0}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ where it is given by

$$
\eta_{0}=\tilde{\psi}_{0}^{*} \eta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\left(1, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) d y_{i}
$$

Note that

$$
\lambda_{g_{0}}^{*} \eta_{0}=\lambda\left(g_{0}\right) \eta_{0}, \forall g_{0} \in \mu_{l_{0}}
$$

where $\lambda_{g_{0}}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\left(g_{0}^{l_{1}} y_{1}, \ldots, g_{0}^{l_{n}} y_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(g_{0}\right)=g_{0}^{d}$. In particular

$$
\lambda\left(g_{0}\right)=g_{0}^{\alpha}, \quad d \equiv \alpha\left(\bmod l_{0}\right) .
$$

Reciprocally, if $\eta$ is a polynomial 1 -form on $\mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{g_{0}}^{*} \eta=g_{0}^{\alpha} \eta, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} / l_{0} \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 1-form polynomial $\eta$ can be write as

$$
\eta=\eta_{k}+\eta_{k+1}+\cdots+\eta_{d}
$$

in which $\eta_{j}$ are quasi-homogeneous 1-forms of degree $j$ with respect to the weight vector $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ and $\eta_{k} \neq 0, \eta_{d} \neq 0$. By condition (1.4) we have that

$$
\eta=\eta_{k}+\eta_{k+l_{0}}+\cdots+\eta_{d}
$$

where $k \equiv \alpha\left(\bmod l_{0}\right)$. Hence the foliation on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ induced by $\eta$ extends to a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ induced by a quasi-homogeneous 1 -form of degree

$$
\begin{cases}d & , \text { if } i_{S} \eta_{d}=0 \\ d+l_{0} & , \text { if } i_{S} \eta_{d} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

where $S=l_{1} y_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+\cdots+l_{n} y_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}$. This remark will be used in the next chapter.
Using the change of coordinates we have that

$$
\eta_{j}=\frac{x_{0}^{d / l_{0}}}{x_{j}^{d / l_{j}}} \eta_{0}, \quad \text { in } U_{j} \cap U_{0}, j>0
$$

where $g_{j 0}=\left(\frac{x_{0}^{1 / l_{0}}}{x_{j}^{1 / l_{j}}}\right)^{d}$ and $g_{j 0}$ is multiplicative cocycle that defines the following $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle

$$
N \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}(d)
$$

called the normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.
The space of codimension one foliations with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{F o l}(d, n, \ell) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}(d)\right)$.

Note that in the case $\ell=(1, \ldots, 1)$ the $d=\operatorname{deg}(\eta)$ denotes the degree of the normal bundle of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})=d$, and we will denote $\mathbb{F o l}(d, n):=\mathbb{F o l}(d, n,(1, \ldots, 1))$. The degree of normal bundle should not be confused with the degree of the foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (number of tangencies with general line). For codimension one foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})=\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{F})+2
$$

Remark 1.6.4. For the case of $n=2$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension one foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. Since we have the 3-form $d x_{0} \wedge d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, then the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a quasi-homogeneous vector field $X$ which is not a multiple of $R=l_{0} x_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+l_{1} x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+l_{2} x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}$,

$$
X=B_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+B_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+B_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}
$$

where $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ are quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-l_{1}-l_{2}, d-l_{0}-l_{2}, d-l_{0}-l_{1}$ respectively. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is also induced by quasi-homogeneous 1 -form of degree $d$
$\eta=i_{X} i_{R}\left(d x_{0} \wedge d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1} x_{1} B_{2}-l_{2} x_{2} B_{1}\right) d x_{0}+\left(l_{2} x_{2} B_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} B_{2}\right) d x_{1}+\left(l_{0} x_{0} B_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} B_{0}\right) d x_{2}$.
As we saw in the case of forms, it is not difficult to see $\left(\psi_{0}^{-1}\right)_{*}\left(\left.X\right|_{U_{0}}\right)=x_{0}^{\frac{d-|\ell|}{l_{0}}} X_{0}$, where

$$
X_{0}=\left(B_{1}\left(1, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} y_{1} B_{0}\left(1, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+\left(B_{2}\left(1, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-\frac{l_{2}}{l_{0}} y_{2} B_{0}\left(1, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}
$$

and

$$
X_{j}=\frac{x_{0}^{\frac{d-|l|}{L_{0}}}}{x_{j}^{\frac{d-|e|}{l_{j}}}} X_{0}, \quad j>0 \text { in } U_{j 0},
$$

where $f_{j 0}=\left(\frac{x_{0}^{1 / l_{0}}}{x_{j}^{1 / l_{j}}}\right)^{d-|\ell|}$ and $f_{j 0}$ is multiplicative cocycle that defines the following $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle

$$
K \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}(d-|\ell|),
$$

called the cotangent or canonical $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.
Note that canonical and normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ are related by the formula

$$
K_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}=K \mathcal{F} \otimes N^{*} \mathcal{F},
$$

where $N^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is dual sheaf of $N \mathcal{F}$ called the conormal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of $\mathcal{F}$.
Remark 1.6.5. In [16], Corrêa and Soares introduce the notion of degree of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on weighted projective plane which verifies the following relation:

$$
\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})=l_{0} l_{1} l_{2} \operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{F})+|l|-1
$$

Example 1.6.6. Consider the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree 7 on $\mathbb{P}_{(1,2,3)}^{2}$ given by

$$
\eta=6 x_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0} x_{1}\right) d x_{0}+3 x_{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}\right) d x_{1}+2\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{0} x_{2}\right) d x_{2} .
$$

The singular set of $\mathcal{F}$ is

$$
\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})=\{[1: 0: 0],[1: 1: 1]\} .
$$

In the open set $U_{0} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}$, the foliation $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{0}}$ is given by

$$
\eta_{0}=3 y_{2}\left(1-y_{1}\right) d y_{1}+2\left(y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}\right) d y_{2} .
$$

In the open set $U_{1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{2}$, using the map $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left[u_{1}: 1: u_{2}\right]$ we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{1}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is given by

$$
\eta_{1}=6 u_{2}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) d u_{1}+2\left(1-u_{1} u_{2}\right) d u_{2} .
$$

In the open set $U_{2} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{3}$, using the map $\tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\left[v_{1}: v_{2}: 1\right]$ we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{2}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is given by

$$
\eta_{2}=6\left(1-v_{1} v_{2}\right) d v_{1}+3\left(v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}\right) d v_{2} .
$$

Note that $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant and using the

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{(1,2,3)}: \mathbb{P}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{(1,2,3)}^{2} \\
{[x: y: z] } & \mapsto\left[x: y^{2}: z^{3}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\varphi_{(1,2,3)}^{*} \eta=z^{2}\left(6 z\left(z^{3}-x y^{2}\right) d x+6 y z^{3}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right) d y+6\left(y^{4}-x z^{3}\right) d z\right) .
$$

As we can see $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing}\left(\varphi_{(1,2,3)}^{*} \eta\right)=1$, then $\varphi_{(1,2,3)}^{*} \eta$ induces a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with normal degree five as we need to divide $\varphi^{*} \eta$ by $z^{2}$ in order to obtain a 1 -form with singular set of codimension 2 .

### 1.6.1 Foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ and $G_{\ell}$-invariant foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$

As we saw in the previous section there is a correspondence between quasi-homogeneous 1 -forms and $G_{l}$-invariant homogeneous 1 -forms. In a similar way there is one such correspondence for foliations. It is important to see that a $G_{\ell}$-invariant homogeneous 1-form $\omega$ has in general a singular set of codimension one, see Example 1.6.6. Note that $\varphi_{\ell}: \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is unramified covering over $\mathbb{P}^{n} \backslash\left\{x_{0} \ldots x_{n}=0\right\}$, therefore if $\operatorname{codimSing}(\omega)=1$, then

$$
\omega=\frac{\omega^{\prime}}{x_{0}^{i_{0}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}},
$$

where $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$. Because of that we have the following definitions.
Definition 1.6.7. Fix $\ell=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$, let $I_{n}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and denote by $I_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{i \in I_{n} \mid \quad l_{i}>1\right\}$.

1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ given by $\eta$, for $i=0, \ldots, n$, the hypersurface $\left\{x_{i}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant if there exists a quasi-homogeneous 2 -form $\theta_{i}$ such that

$$
\eta \wedge d x_{i}=x_{i} \theta_{i}
$$

2. A foliation $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ given by $\omega$ with $\left\{x_{i_{0}} \ldots x_{i_{k}}=0\right\}$ invariant by $\mathcal{G}$ is called $G_{\ell-\text { invariant }}$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{*} \mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}, \forall g \in G_{\ell}=\mu_{l_{0}} \times \ldots \times \mu_{l_{n}}, \text { i.e. }, \\
& g^{*} \omega=\lambda(g) \omega, \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda(g)=g_{i_{0}} \ldots g_{i_{k}}$.
3. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}(d, n, \ell)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}(d, n, \ell) \mid\left\{x_{i_{0}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant }\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n, \ell)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F} \text { ol }(d, n, \ell) \mid & \left\{x_{i_{0}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant } \\
& \left\{x_{i_{j}}=0\right\} \text { is not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant } \\
\forall i_{j} \in I_{n}^{\prime}-\left\{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}\right\}
\end{array}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of $\ell=(1, \ldots, 1)$, we recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{F o l}(d, n)=\mathbb{F o l}(d, n, \ell), \\
\mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}(d, n)=\mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}(d, n, \ell), \\
\mathbb{F}_{l}^{\prime} l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n)=\mathbb{F} o l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n, \ell) .
\end{gathered}
$$

4. For foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$
$\mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}(d, n)^{G_{\ell}}=\left\{\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F}\right.$ ol $(d, n) \mid\left\{x_{i_{0}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant and $\mathcal{G}$ is $G_{\ell}$-invariant. $\}$,
$\mathbb{F o l} l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n)^{G_{\ell}}=\mathbb{F o l} l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n) \cap \mathbb{F} o l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}(d, n)^{G_{\ell}}$.

Remark 1.6.8. The natural map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{\ell}: \mathbb{P}^{n} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n} \\
{\left[x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n}\right]} & \mapsto & {\left[y_{0}: \cdots: y_{n}\right]_{\ell}=\left[x_{0}^{l_{0}}: \cdots: x_{n}^{l_{n}}\right]_{\ell}}
\end{array}
$$

induces the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*}: H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}(d)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{1}(d)\right)^{G_{\ell}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ induced by $\eta$. Observe that if $\left\{y_{i}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant then we can write

$$
\eta=A_{i} d y_{i}+y_{i}\left(\sum_{j \neq i} l_{i} A_{j} d y_{j}\right)
$$

in which $A_{i}=-\sum_{j \neq i} l_{j} y_{j} A_{j}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta & =x_{i}^{l_{i}-1} A_{i} \circ \varphi_{\ell} d x_{i}+x_{i}^{l_{i}}\left(\sum_{j \neq i} l_{i} x_{j}^{l_{j}-1} A_{j} \circ \varphi_{\ell} d x_{j}\right), \\
& =x_{i}^{l_{i}-1}\left(A_{i} \circ \varphi_{\ell} d x_{i}+x_{i}\left(\sum_{j \neq i} l_{i} x_{j}^{l_{j}-1} A_{j} \circ \varphi_{\ell} d x_{j}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reciprocally if $\left\{y_{i}=0\right\}$ is not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant, then $\left\{x_{i}=0\right\}$ is not invariant by the foliation induced by $\varphi^{*} \eta$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. This last and the isomorphism 1.5) induce the natural morphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*}: \mathbb{F} o l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n, \ell) & \rightarrow \mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}\left(d+k+1-l_{i_{0}}-\cdots-l_{i_{k}}, n\right)^{G_{\ell}} \\
{[\eta]} & \mapsto & {\left[\frac{\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta}{x_{i_{0}}^{l_{i_{0}}-1} \ldots x_{i_{k}}^{l_{i_{k}}-1}}\right]} \tag{1.6}
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 1.6.9. The induced natural morphism (1.6) is an isomorphism. In particular, if $I_{n}^{\prime}-$ $\left\{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}\right\}=\emptyset$, then the induced natural morphism

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*}: \mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}(d, n, \ell) & \rightarrow \mathbb{F o l}_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}\left(d+k+1-l_{i_{0}}-\cdots-l_{i_{k}}, n\right)^{G_{\ell}}, \\
{[\eta]} & \mapsto & {\left[\frac{\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta}{x_{i_{0}}^{l_{i_{0}}-1} \ldots x_{i_{k}}^{l_{i_{k}}-1}}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. The application $\varphi_{\ell}^{*}$ is clearly injective. To see its surjectivity, let us take

$$
\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F} o l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}\left(d+k+1-l_{i_{0}}-\cdots-l_{i_{k}}, n\right)^{G_{\ell}}
$$

given by $\omega$, so we define $\tilde{\omega}=x_{i_{0}}^{l_{i_{0}}-1} \ldots x_{i_{k}}^{l_{i_{k}}-1} \omega$ that verify $g^{*} \tilde{\omega}=\tilde{\omega} \quad \forall g \in G_{\ell}$. Then by the isomorphism 1.3 there exist $\eta \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}(d)\right)$ such that

$$
\omega=\frac{\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta}{x_{i_{0}}^{l_{i_{0}}-1} \ldots x_{i_{k}}^{l_{i_{k}}-1}}
$$

It follows that $\eta$ induces a foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F} o l_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}}^{\prime}(d, n, \ell)$. This conclude the proof.
Example 1.6.10. Let $n=2$ and $\ell=\left(1,1, l_{2}\right), l_{2} \geq 2$. Then we have two important isomorphism: The first isomorphism,

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\varphi_{l}^{*}: \mathbb{F o l}_{2}\left(d, 2,\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)\right) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F} o l_{2}\left(d-l_{2}+1,2\right)^{G_{\ell}}, \\
{[\eta]} & \mapsto & {\left[\frac{\varphi_{\ell}^{*} \eta}{x_{2}^{l_{2}-1}}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

for any $d \geq l_{2}+1$.
The second isomorphism,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\ell}^{*}: \mathbb{F o l} l^{\prime}\left(d, 2,\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{F o l}^{\prime}(d, 2)^{G_{\ell}}, \\
{[\eta] } & \mapsto
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $d \geq 2$.
Proposition 1.6.11. Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ a weighted vector with $1 \leq l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2} \leq \ldots \leq l_{n}$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=1$ and $n \geq 2$. Then the lowest possible normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle degree of the codimension one holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$ is $d=l_{0}+l_{1}$ and the folations are pencil of hypersurfaces on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}$.

Proof. We consider two cases:

1. If $l_{0}=l_{1}=\cdots=l_{k}<l_{k+1} \leq \cdots \leq l_{n}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right)\right)=\bigoplus_{0 \leq i<j \leq k} \mathbb{C} l_{0}\left(x_{j} d x_{i}-x_{i} d x_{j}\right)
$$

Thus $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{F}$ ol $\left(l_{0}+l_{1}, n, \ell\right)=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-1$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $\left(l_{0}+\right.$ $\left.l_{1}, n, \ell\right)$ given by

$$
\eta=l_{0}\left(\sum_{0 \leq i<j \leq k}^{k} a_{i j}\left(x_{j} d x_{i}-x_{i} d x_{j}\right), \text { for some } a_{i j} \neq 0 .\right.
$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}$ can be thought of as a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ of degree 0 . So there exists automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ such that a foliation is given by

$$
y_{1} d y_{0}-y_{0} d y_{1} .
$$

2. If $l_{0}<l_{1}=l_{2}=\cdots=l_{k}<l_{k+1} \leq \cdots \leq l_{n}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right)\right)=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{C}\left(l_{1} x_{j} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{j}\right) .
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{n}}^{1}\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right)\right)=k$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{F o l}\left(l_{0}+l_{1}, n, \ell\right)=k-1$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Fol}\left(l_{0}+l_{1}, n, \ell\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta & =l_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\right) x_{i} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} d x_{i} \\
\eta & =l_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\right) x_{i} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the automorphism $\phi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{0}, \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\phi^{*} \eta=l_{1} y_{1} d y_{0}-l_{0} y_{0} d y_{1}
$$

We conclude the proposition.
From now on we restrict us to $n=2$.

### 1.7 Intersection formulas for foliations on singular surface

Before specifying the intersection formulas for foliations on weighted projective spaces, we will present the formulas for more general surfaces. The intersection formulas on singular surfaces has already studied and results about this topic can be found in [1] and [2]. The focus of this section is in the intersection formulas for foliations on singular surfaces. The general reference here is 9 .

Definition 1.7.1. Let $\mu_{r}$ be the cyclic group of $r$-roots of unity and $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be a vector of weights.

1. Consider the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
&(\xi,(x, y)) \mapsto \\
&\left(\xi^{a} x, \xi^{b} y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of all orbits $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{d}$ is called a (cyclic) quotient space of type ( $r ; a, b$ ) and it is denoted by $X(r,(a, b))$.
2. The space $X(r,(a, b))$ is written in a normalized form if $\operatorname{gcd}(r, a)=\operatorname{gcd}(r, b)=1$. It is possible to convert the general types $X(r,(a, b))$ into normalized form, see [1, Lemma 1.8, page 4].
3. A singular surface $M$ with only abelian quotient singularities is an analytic surface such that for all $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(M)$ there is a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ isomorphic to $X(r,(a, b))$.

Note that for every $\xi \in \mu_{r}$, the map $\lambda_{\xi}(x, y)=\left(\xi^{a} x, \xi^{b} y\right)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ induced by the action of $\mu_{r}$.

Example 1.7.2. If $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, where $l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}$ are two-by-two coprimes then $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, X\left(l_{0},\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)\right)$, $X\left(l_{1},\left(l_{0}, l_{2}\right)\right), X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ are surfaces with abelian quotient singularities.

Definition 1.7.3. Let $M$ be a surface with only abelian quotient singularities and $C$ be a curve on M.

1. A foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $M$ is a foliation on $M \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(M)$ that extends to $M$. That is, if $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(M)$ and $U \simeq X(r,(a, b))$ is a neighborhood of $p$, the foliation can be defined by a 1-form $\omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that $\lambda_{\xi}^{*} \omega=\lambda(\xi) \omega, \forall \xi \in \mu_{r}$ where $\lambda: \mu_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a group homomorphism which is known as a character of $\mu_{r}$.
2. A curve $C$ is called $\mathcal{F}$-invariant if the curve $C \cap(M \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(M))$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant.

Remark 1.7.4. Given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a surface $M$, we can still define its normal sheaf $N \mathcal{F}$ in the following way: the foliation $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{M \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(M)}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{0}, N \mathcal{F}_{0}$ is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ and $j: M \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(M) \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion, then

$$
N \mathcal{F}=j_{*} N \mathcal{F}_{0}
$$

in which $j_{*}$ is the direct image functor.
Similar considerations also hold for $T \mathcal{F}, K \mathcal{F}, N \mathcal{F}^{*}$ and of course $K_{M}$. We still have the equality

$$
K_{M}=K \mathcal{F} \otimes N \mathcal{F}^{*},
$$

as sheaves or $\mathbb{Q}$-bundles.

### 1.7.1 Intersection multiplicity

As before, let $M$ be a surface with only abelian quotient singularities and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $M$. For every $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ we can define an index $m(\mathcal{F}, p)$ which is called the multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p$.

Definition 1.7.5. To define $m(\mathcal{F}, p)$ we consider the following two cases:

1. If $p \notin \operatorname{Sing}(M)$, we take a local holomorphic 1-form $\eta=A(x, y) d x+B(x, y) d y$ that generates $\mathcal{F}$ around $p$, and define

$$
m(\mathcal{F}, p)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{p}}{\langle A, B\rangle}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ is the local algebra of $M$ at $p$ (germ of holomorphic functions) and $\langle A, B\rangle$ is the ideal generated by $A, B$ as elements of $\mathcal{O}_{p}$.
2. If $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(M)$, we take a neighborhood $U \simeq X(r,(a, b))$ in normalized form, and lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and then set

$$
m(\mathcal{F}, p)=\frac{m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}},(0,0))}{r}
$$

Now we can define, if $X$ is compact:

$$
m(\mathcal{F})=\sum_{p \in M} m(\mathcal{F}, p)
$$

For foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 1.7.6. Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ be a weighted vector, $l_{i}$ pairwise coprimes and $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation with normal degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. Then

$$
l_{0} l_{1} l_{2} m(\mathcal{F})=d^{2}-|\ell| d+l_{0} l_{1}+l_{0} l_{2}+l_{1} l_{2}=d(d-|\ell|)+l_{0} l_{1}+l_{0} l_{2}+l_{1} l_{2}
$$

Proof. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by

$$
\eta=A_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{0}+A_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1}+A_{2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{2}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{0} x_{0} A_{0}+l_{1} x_{1} A_{1}+l_{2} x_{2} A_{2}=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we can suppose $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol ${ }^{\prime}(d, 2, \ell)$, i.e., $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\},\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant. By Proposition 1.6 .9 we have a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of normal degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ given by

$$
\omega=\varphi_{l}^{*} \eta=\tilde{A}_{0} d y_{0}+\tilde{A}_{1} d y_{1}+\tilde{A}_{2} d y_{2}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{i}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=l_{i} y_{i}^{l_{i}-1} A_{i} \circ \varphi_{\ell}$. In the case of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})=(d-2)^{2}+(d-2)+1 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take a local coordinate $U_{0} \simeq X\left(l_{0},\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)\right)$. Then lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{0}},\left.\varphi_{\ell}\right|_{\varphi_{\ell}^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and denote them by $\mathcal{F}_{0}, \varphi_{0}$ which are given by

$$
\eta_{0}=A_{1}(1, u, v) d u+A_{2}(1, u, v) d v
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{0}: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \\
&(y, z) \mapsto \\
& \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
&(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(u, v)=\left(y^{l_{1}}, z^{l_{2}}\right)$, respectively and $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{\varphi_{\ell}^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)}$ given by

$$
\omega_{0}=\varphi_{0}^{*} \eta_{0}=\tilde{A}_{1}(1, y, z) d y+\tilde{A}_{2}(1, y, z) d z
$$

If we denote by $\left(\tilde{A}_{1}, \tilde{A}_{2}\right)_{\tilde{q}}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\tilde{}}}}{\left\langle\tilde{A}_{1}, \tilde{A}_{2}\right\rangle}$, then we have $\left(\tilde{A}_{1}, \tilde{A}_{2}\right)_{\tilde{q}}=\left(A_{1} \circ \varphi_{0}, A_{2} \circ \varphi_{0}\right)_{\tilde{q}}+\left(\frac{l_{1}-1}{l_{1}}\right)\left(u \circ \varphi_{0}, A_{2} \circ \varphi_{0}\right)_{\tilde{q}}+\left(\frac{l_{2}-1}{l_{2}}\right)\left(v \circ \varphi_{0}, A_{1} \circ \varphi_{0}\right)_{\tilde{q}}+\frac{\left(l_{1}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{l_{1} l_{2}}\left(u \circ \varphi_{0}, v \circ \varphi_{0}\right)_{\tilde{q}}$.

Taking average over $l_{1} l_{2}$,
$\frac{1}{l_{1} l_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{q} \in \varphi_{0}^{-1}(q)} \underbrace{\left(\tilde{A}_{1}, \tilde{A}_{2}\right)_{\tilde{q}}}_{m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{q})}=\underbrace{\frac{1}{l_{1} l_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{q} \in \varphi_{0}^{-1}(q)}\left(A_{1} \circ \varphi, A_{2} \circ \varphi\right)_{\tilde{q}}}_{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)_{q}}+\frac{l_{1}-1}{l_{1}}\left(u, A_{2}\right)_{q}+\frac{l_{2}-1}{l_{2}}\left(v, A_{1}\right)_{q}+\frac{\left(l_{1}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{l_{1} l_{2}}(u, v)_{q}$, it is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{l_{1} l_{2}} \sum_{\tilde{q} \in \varphi_{0}^{-1}(q)} m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{q})=m\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}, q\right)+\frac{l_{1}-1}{l_{1}}\left(u, A_{2}\right)_{q}+\frac{l_{2}-1}{l_{2}}\left(v, A_{1}\right)_{q}+\frac{\left(l_{1}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{l_{1} l_{2}}(u, v)_{q} .
$$

Taking average over $l_{0}$ and using the definition of multiplicity at $p$, we have
$\frac{1}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}} \sum_{q \in \varphi_{\ell}^{-1}(p)} m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, q)=m(\mathcal{F}, p)+\frac{l_{1}-1}{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}, A_{2}\right)_{p}+\frac{l_{2}-1}{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}, A_{1}\right)_{p}+\frac{\left(l_{1}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{l_{1} l_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)_{p}, \forall p \in U_{0}$.
Analogously, we have
$\frac{1}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}} \sum_{q \in \varphi_{\ell}^{-1}(p)} m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, q)=m(\mathcal{F}, p)+\frac{l_{0}-1}{l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, A_{2}\right)_{p}+\frac{l_{2}-1}{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}, A_{0}\right)_{p}+\frac{\left(l_{0}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{l_{0} l_{2}}\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)_{p}, \quad \forall p \in U_{1}$.
$\frac{1}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}} \sum_{q \in \varphi_{\ell}^{-1}(p)} m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, q)=m(\mathcal{F}, p)+\frac{l_{0}-1}{l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, A_{1}\right)_{p}+\frac{l_{1}-1}{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}, A_{0}\right)_{p}+\frac{\left(l_{0}-1\right)\left(l_{1}-1\right)}{l_{0} l_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)_{p}, \forall p \in U_{2}$.

Using the equality (1.7), we have the following equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{1}, A_{2}\right)_{p}=-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)_{p}+\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)_{p}+\left(x_{1}, A_{0}\right)_{p}  \tag{1.12}\\
& \left(x_{2}, A_{2}\right)_{p}=-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)_{p}+\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)_{p}+\left(x_{2}, A_{0}\right)_{p}  \tag{1.13}\\
& \left(x_{0}, A_{2}\right)_{p}=-\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)_{p}+\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)_{p}+\left(x_{0}, A_{1}\right)_{p} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding the equalities (1.9), 1.10, 1.11) and using the equalities 1.12, 1.13, 1.14 and Bézout's Theorem for weighted projective planes see [2, Proposition 8.2, page 23], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}} m(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})= & m(\mathcal{F})+\frac{l_{1}-1}{\bar{\ell}}\left(d-l_{0}-l_{2}\right)+\frac{l_{2}-1}{\bar{\ell}}\left(d-l_{0}-l_{1}\right)+\frac{l_{0}-1}{\bar{\ell}}\left(d-l_{1}-l_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\left(l_{1}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{\bar{\ell}}-\frac{\left(l_{0}-1\right)\left(l_{1}-1\right)}{\bar{\ell}}-\frac{\left(l_{0}-1\right)\left(l_{2}-1\right)}{\bar{\ell}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, using the equality (1.8) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{0} l_{1} l_{2} m(\mathcal{F})=d^{2}-|\ell| d+l_{0} l_{1}+l_{0} l_{2}+l_{1} l_{2}=d(d-|\ell|)+l_{0} l_{1}+l_{0} l_{2}+l_{1} l_{2} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other cases are similar.

### 1.7.2 Tangency Index

As before, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on the surface $M$, not necessarily compact, and $C$ be a compact connected curve, possibly singular, and suppose that each irreducible component of $C$ is not invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. For every $p \in C$ we can define an index $\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ which measure the tangency order of $\mathcal{F}$ with $C$ at $p$ (and thus it is 0 for a generic $p \in C$, where we have transversality).

Definition 1.7.7. The definition of tangency index $\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ is given in the following cases:

1. If $p \notin \operatorname{Sing}(M)$ take a local equation $f$ of $C$ at $p$, and a local holomorphic vector field $v$ generating $\mathcal{F}$ around $p$. Then we define

$$
\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C, p)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{p}}{\langle f, v(f)\rangle}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ is the local algebra of $M$ at $p$ (germ of holomorphic functions), $v(f)$ is the Lie derivative of $f$ along $v$, and $\langle f, v(f)\rangle$ is the ideal generated by $f, v(f)$ as elements of $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. This index is finite, because $C$ is not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant; it is 0 iff $p \notin \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is transverse to $C$ at $p$.
2. If $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(M)$, we take a neighborhood $U \simeq X(r,(a, b))$ in normalized form, lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U}$ and $C \cap U$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and denote them by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\tilde{C}$ respectively. Then we define

$$
\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C, p)=\frac{\operatorname{Tang}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{C},(0,0))}{r}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ is a nonnegative rational number, and it is 0 if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is transverse to $C$ at $p$ (in the sense that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is transverse $\tilde{C}$ at 0 .)

Now we can set:

$$
\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C)=\sum_{p \in C} \operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C, p)
$$

We also introduce the orbifold-arithmetic Euler characteristic $\chi_{\text {orb }}(C)$ of $C$ via the adjunction formula:

$$
\chi_{\text {orb }}(C)=-K_{M} \cdot C-C . C
$$

Proposition 1.7.8. Let $M$ be a surface with only abelian quotient singularities, $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $M$ and $C \subset M$ be a compact curve, each component of which is not invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \mathcal{F} . C & =\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C)+\chi_{o r b}(C), \\
K \mathcal{F} . C & =\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C)-C . C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See [9, page 4].

### 1.7.3 Vanishing and Camacho-Sad Index

Let us consider now the case where each irreducible component of $C$ is invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. For every $p \in C$, we can define the vanishing and Camacho-Sad indices at $p$ denoted by $Z(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ and $C S(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ respectively.

Definition 1.7.9. The definition of $Z(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ and $C S(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ is given in two cases:

1. If $p \notin \operatorname{Sing}(M)$ take a local equation $f$ of $C$ at $p$, and a local holomorphic 1-form $\omega$ generating $\mathcal{F}$ around $p$. We can factorize around $p$ (see [40, Chapter V])

$$
g \omega=h d f+f \eta,
$$

where $\eta$ is a holomorphic 1-form, $g$ and $h$ are holomorphic functions, and $g$ and $h$ do not vanish identically on each local branch of $C$ at $p$. Then we define

$$
Z(\mathcal{F}, C, p)=\text { vanishing order of }\left.\frac{h}{g}\right|_{C} \text { at } p
$$

and

$$
C S(\mathcal{F}, C, p)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\eta}{h}
$$

where $\gamma \subset C$ is a union of small circles around $p$, one for each local irreducible component $C_{i}$ of $C$, oriented as the boundary of a small disc contained in $C_{i}$ and containing $p$.
2. If $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(M)$, we take a neighborhood $U \simeq X(r,(a, b))$ in normalized form, lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U}$ and $C \cap U$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and denote them by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\tilde{C}$ respectively. Then we define

$$
Z(\mathcal{F}, C, p)=\frac{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{C},(0,0))}{r}
$$

and

$$
C S(\mathcal{F}, C, p)=\frac{C S(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{C},(0,0))}{r}
$$

Note that $Z(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$ can be a negative rational number. Now we can set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\mathcal{F}, C) & =\sum_{p \in C} Z(\mathcal{F}, C, p) \\
C S(\mathcal{F}, C) & =\sum_{p \in C} C S(\mathcal{F}, C, p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 1.7.10. Let $M$ be a surface with only abelian quotient singularities, $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $M$ and $C \subset M$ be a compact curve, each component of which is invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \mathcal{F} . C & =Z(\mathcal{F}, C)+C . C \\
K \mathcal{F} . C & =Z(\mathcal{F}, C)-\chi_{o r b}(C) \\
C . C & =C S(\mathcal{F}, C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See [9, page 5].
Example 1.7.11. Consider the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of with normal degree 4 on $\mathbb{P}_{(2,1,1)}^{2}$ given by

$$
\eta=-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) d x_{0}+x_{0} x_{1} d x_{1}+x_{0} x_{2} d x_{2}
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{[0: i: 1]_{(2,1,1)},[0:-i: 1]_{(2,1,1)},[1: 0: 0]_{2,1,1}\right\},
$$

and $m\left(\mathcal{F},[0: i: 1]_{(2,1,1)}\right)=m\left(\mathcal{F},[0:-i: 1]_{(2,1,1)}\right)=1, m\left(\mathcal{F},[1: 0: 0]_{2,1,1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. Hence

$$
m(\mathcal{F})=1+1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{5}{2}
$$

that coincides with multiplicity formula.
The curve $C_{1}=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ is not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Tang}\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{1},[1: 0: 0]\right)=1 / 2$, so

$$
\operatorname{Tang}\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{1}\right)=1 / 2
$$

The curve $C_{0}=\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant, so we have

$$
Z\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{0},[0: i: 1]\right)=Z\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{0},[0:-i: 1]\right)=C S\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{0},[0: i: 1]\right)=C S\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_{0},[0:-i: 1]\right)=1
$$

thus

$$
Z\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{0}\right)=C S\left(\mathcal{F}, C_{0}\right)=2
$$

### 1.8 Intersection Numbers and Weighted Blow-ups

In this section we want to study what is happening with intersection formula of foliations by weighted blow-up. A comprehensive reference for weighted blow-up is [2].

Weighted $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-blow-up of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$ be a weight vector with coprime entries. We consider the space

$$
\hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\ell}^{2}:=\left\{\left((x, y),[t, s]_{\ell}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{1} \mid(x, y) \in \overline{[t, s]_{\ell}}\right\}
$$

$\hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\ell}^{2}$ is covered by $\left\{U_{0}, U_{1}\right\}$ and the charts are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X\left(l_{0},\left(-1, l_{1}\right)\right) & \rightarrow U_{0}, \\
{[(x, y)] } & \mapsto\left(\left(x^{l_{0}}, x^{l_{1}} y\right),[1: y]_{\ell}\right) . \\
X\left(l_{1},\left(l_{0},-1\right)\right) & \rightarrow U_{1}, \\
{[(x, y)] } & \mapsto\left(\left(x y^{l_{0}}, y^{l_{1}}\right),[x: 1]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $\pi_{\ell}: \hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\ell}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ the natural projection. The exceptional divisor $E=\pi_{\ell}^{-1}(0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{1}$.

Blow-up of $X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ with respect to $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$. Let $X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ be a surface with be a weight vector with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{2}, l_{0}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)=1$. The action $\mu_{l_{2}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ extends naturally to an action on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\ell}^{2}$ as follows,

$$
g_{2} \cdot\left((x, y),[t, s]_{\ell}\right) \stackrel{\mu_{l_{2}}}{\longrightarrow}\left(\left(g_{2}^{l_{0}} x, g_{2}^{l_{1}} y\right),[t: s]_{\ell}\right)
$$

Let $\hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right):=\hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\ell}^{2} / \mu_{l_{2}}$ denote the quotient space of this action. Then the induced projection

$$
\pi: \hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism over $\hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right) \backslash \pi^{-1}([0])$ and the exceptional divisor $E:=\pi^{-1}([0])$ is identified with $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{1}$. We cover $\hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)=\hat{U}_{0} \cup \hat{U}_{1}$ and the charts are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X\left(l_{0},\left(-l_{2}, l_{1}\right)\right) & \rightarrow \hat{U}_{0}  \tag{1.16}\\
{\left[\left(x^{l_{2}}, y\right)\right] } & \mapsto\left(\left(x^{l_{0}}, x^{l_{1}} y\right),[1: y] \ell\right) . \\
X\left(l_{1},\left(l_{0},-l_{2}\right)\right) & \rightarrow \hat{U}_{1} \\
{\left[\left(x, y^{l_{2}}\right)\right] } & \mapsto\left(\left(x y^{l_{0}}, y^{l_{1}}\right),[x: 1]\right) . \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 1.8.1. Let $M$ be a surface with abelian quotient singularities. Let $\pi: \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ be the weighted blow-up at a point $p$ of type $\left(l_{2} ; l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$ with respect to $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$. Assume $\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)=\left(l_{2}, l_{0}\right)=1$. Consider $C$ and $D$ two $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on $M$, denote by $E$ the exceptional divisor of $\pi$, and by $\hat{C}$ (resp. $\hat{D})$ the strict transform of $C$ (resp. D). Let $\mu$ and $\nu$ be the $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-multiplicities of $C$ and $D$ at $p$. i.e., $x$ (resp. y) has $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-multiplicity $l_{0}$ (resp. $l_{1}$ ). Then there are the following equalities:

1. $\pi^{*}(C)=\hat{C}+\frac{\mu}{l_{2}} E$,
2. $E^{2}=-\frac{l_{2}}{l_{0} l_{1}}$,
3. $E \cdot \hat{C}=\frac{\mu}{l_{0} l_{1}}$,
4. $\hat{C} \cdot \hat{D}=C . D-\frac{\mu \nu}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}}$.

In addition, if $C$ has compact support then $\hat{C}^{2}=C^{2}-\frac{\mu^{2}}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}}$.
Proof. See [2, Proposition 7.3, page 19].

### 1.8.1 Foliations on Weighted Blow-ups

Let us again consider a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a surface $U=X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$. Let $[(0,0)] \in U$ be a singular point of $\mathcal{F}$, and let $\pi: \hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ be a blow-up of $X\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ with respect to $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$, with exceptional divisor $E=\pi^{-1}([(0,0)]) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)}^{1}$. Let us explain how can define a foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$. We lift $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and denote it by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ generated by a 1 -form $\eta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{g_{2}}^{*} \eta=\lambda\left(g_{2}\right) \eta \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a character of $\mu_{l_{2}}$ and $\psi_{g_{2}}(x, y)=\left(g_{2}^{l_{0}} x, g_{2}^{l_{1}} y\right)$.
The 1 -form $\eta$ can be write as

$$
\eta=\eta_{k}+\eta_{k+1}+\cdots
$$

in which $\eta_{j}$ are quasi-homogeneous 1-forms of degree $j$ with respect to the weight vector $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$ and $\eta_{k} \neq 0$. By condition 1.18 we have that

$$
\eta=\eta_{k}+\eta_{k+l_{2}}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\lambda\left(g_{2}\right)=g_{2}^{k}
$$

Hence we can write

$$
\eta_{k+j l_{2}}=A_{k+j l_{2}-l_{0}}(x, y) d x+B_{k+j l_{2}-l_{1}}(x, y) d y
$$

where $A_{k+j l_{2}-l_{0}}(x, y), B_{k+j l_{2}-l_{1}}(x, y)$ are quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $k+j l_{2}-l_{0}$ and $k+j l_{2}-l_{1}$ respectively. Under these conditions we say that $k$ is called the $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-multiplicity or algebraic multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p$, which is denoted by

$$
\operatorname{multalg}_{p}(\mathcal{F})
$$

In the first chart $\tilde{U}_{0}=X\left(l_{0},\left(-l_{2}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ we denote by $\pi_{0}: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow X\left(l_{0},\left(-l_{2}, l_{1}\right)\right)$ the natural projection. To define a foliation on $X\left(l_{0},\left(-l_{2}, l_{1}\right)\right)$, the natural idea is to define a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Using the following change of coordinates

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=u^{\frac{l_{0}}{l_{2}}} \\
y=u^{\frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}}} v
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have that

$$
\pi_{0}^{*}(\eta)=u^{\frac{k}{l_{2}}-1}\left(\frac{l_{0}}{l_{2}} A_{k-l_{0}}(1, v)+\frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}} B_{k-l_{1}}(1, v)\right) d u+u^{\frac{k}{l_{2}}} B_{k-l_{1}}(1, v) d v+\cdots
$$

From this last equality, we get

$$
\pi_{0}^{*}(\eta)=u^{\frac{e}{l_{0}}} \tilde{\eta}
$$

where

$$
e= \begin{cases}k-l_{2} & , \text { if } l_{0} x A_{k-l_{0}}+l_{1} y B_{k-l_{1}} \neq 0 \\ k & , \text { if } l_{0} x A_{k-l_{0}}+l_{1} y B_{k-l_{1}}=0\end{cases}
$$

in addition $\psi_{g_{0}}^{*}(\tilde{\eta})=g_{0}^{-e} \tilde{\eta}$, this means that $\tilde{\eta}$ induces a foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ on $X\left(l_{0},\left(-l_{2}, l_{1}\right)\right)$. In the second chart similarly can be treated and so we can define a foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\hat{X}\left(l_{2},\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right)$. Note that

$$
e= \begin{cases}k-l_{2} & , \text { if } E \text { is } \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text {-invariant } \\ k & , \text { if } E \text { is not } \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text {-invariant }\end{cases}
$$

So, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 1.8.2. Let $M$ be a surface with abelian quotient singularities. Let $\pi: \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ be the weighted blow-up at a point p of type $\left(l_{2} ; l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$ with respect to $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$. Assume $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{2}, l_{0}\right)=$ 1. Consider $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation on $M$ and $C$ a $\mathcal{F}$-invariant compact curve, denote by $E$ the exceptional divisor of $\pi$, by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}=\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ the foliation induced on $\hat{M}$ and by $\hat{C}$ the strict transform of $C$. Let $\mu$ and $k$ be the $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-multiplicities of $C$ and $\mathcal{F}$ at p. i.e., $x$ (resp. y) has $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-multiplicity $l_{0}$ (resp. $l_{1}$ ). Then the following equalities hold:

1. $\pi^{*}(N \mathcal{F})=N \hat{\mathcal{F}}+\frac{e}{l_{2}} E$,
2. $\pi^{*}(K \mathcal{F})=K \hat{\mathcal{F}}+\frac{e-l_{0}-l_{1}+l_{2}}{l_{2}} E$,
where

$$
e= \begin{cases}k-l_{2} & , \text { if } E \text { is } \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text {-invariant } \\ k & \text {, if } E \text { is not } \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text {-invariant } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. 1. Follow from the construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.
2. Notice that the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is also induced by the vector field

$$
v=\left(B_{k-l_{1}}+\cdots+B_{k+j l_{2}-l_{1}} \cdots\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\left(A_{k-l_{0}}+\cdots+A_{k+j l_{2}-l_{0}}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} .
$$

Using the following change of coordinates

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=u^{\frac{l_{0}}{l_{2}}} \\
y=u^{\frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}}} v
\end{array}\right.
$$

We get $\left(\pi_{0}^{-1}\right)_{*}(v)=u^{\frac{e-l_{0}-l_{1}+l_{2}}{l_{2}}} \tilde{v}$, in which the foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is induced by $\tilde{v}$. From this follows the item 2.

Proposition 1.8.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. Let $\pi: \hat{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ be the weighted blow-up at the point $p=[0: 0: 1]_{\ell}$ of type $\left(l_{2} ; l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$ with respect to $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$. Assume $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{2}, l_{0}\right)=1$. Denote by $E$ the exceptional divisor of $\pi$, by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}=\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$. Let $k$ be the $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$-multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Ricatti foliation with respect to the natural fibration if and only if

1. $k=d-l_{2}$, if $E$ is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant,
2. $k=d-2 l_{2}$, if $E$ is not $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant.

Proof. Let $C$ be a algebraic curve of degree $l_{0} l_{1}$ passing by $p$. Then

$$
\pi^{*}(C)=\hat{C}+\frac{l_{0} l_{1}}{l_{2}} E
$$

and for the foliation we have

$$
\pi^{*}(K \mathcal{F})=K \hat{\mathcal{F}}+\frac{e-|l|+2 l_{2}}{l_{2}} E
$$

where

$$
e= \begin{cases}k-l_{2} & , \text { if } E \text { is } \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text {-invariant } \\ k & , \text { if } E \text { is not } \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text {-invariant. }\end{cases}
$$

Since $K \hat{\mathcal{F}} . \hat{C}=K \mathcal{F} . C-\frac{l_{0} l_{1}\left(e-|l|+2 l_{2}\right)}{l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}}$ and $K \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{l}^{2}}(d-|l|)$, thus

$$
K \hat{\mathcal{F}} . \hat{C}=\frac{d-e-2 l_{2}}{l_{2}}
$$

If $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Ricatti foliation, that is, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is transversal with respect to natural fibration, then we have that $K \hat{\mathcal{F}} . \hat{C}=0$, therefore $e=d-2 l_{2}$, this completes the proof.

Remark 1.8.4. If $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Ricatti foliation and $E$ is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant, then there exist a curve $\hat{C}$ invariant by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. In fact, since $E$ is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant and $E . E=\frac{-l_{2}}{l_{0} l_{1}} \neq 0$, then there exist $\hat{p} \in \operatorname{sing}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \cap E$ and a unique fiber $\hat{C}$ passing by $\hat{p}$. It is not difficult to see that $\hat{C}$ is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant. In particular, $\mathcal{F}$ have algebraic curves invariant by $\mathcal{F}$.

Example 1.8.5. Consider the weighted projective planes $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{2} \geq 2$. The only singular point of $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ is $p=[0: 0: 1] \in X\left(l_{2},(1,1)\right)$. Let $\pi: \hat{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{l}^{2}$ be the weighted blow-up at $p$ of type $\left(l_{2},(1,1)\right)$ with respect to $(1,1)$ and let $E$ be the exceptional divisor. Since $\hat{X}\left(l_{2},(1,1)\right)=$ $X\left(1,\left(-l_{2}, 1\right)\right) \cup X\left(1,\left(1,-l_{2}\right)\right), \widehat{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}$ is smooth and $E . E=-l_{2}$. This surface is a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)$ which has a structure of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}=\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ be a foliation on $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\ell}^{2}$ induces by $\mathcal{F}$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ be a line which pass through $p$ and $\hat{L}$ be the strict transform of $L$. Let $k=k(p)$ and $e=e(p)$ be defined in the usual way. Then

1. $L=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{l}^{2}}(1)$,
2. $N \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{l}^{2}}(d)$,
3. $T \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{l}^{2}}(d-|l|)$,
4. $\pi^{*}(L)=\hat{L}+\frac{1}{l_{2}} E$,
5. $N \hat{\mathcal{F}}=d \hat{L}+\frac{d-e}{l_{2}} E$,
6. $K \hat{\mathcal{F}}=(d-|l|) \hat{L}+\frac{\left(d-e-2 l_{2}\right)}{l_{2}} E$.

Note that $d-e \equiv 0\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$.

## Chapter 2

## Density of Foliations Without Algebraic Solutions

One the most important results of Jouanolou's celebrated monograph [29] states that the set of holomorphic foliations on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree at least 2 which do not have an algebraic solution, is dense in the space of foliations. This result for dimension one holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ was proved by Lins Neto - Soares in 31. In [17] the authors prove a generalization of Jouanolou's result for one dimensional foliations over any smooth projective variety. On the other hand, in [35] the author gives a different proof of Jouanolou's theorem following the ideas of [17] and restricting to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. In [24, one can find versions of Jouanolou's Theorem for second order differential equations on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, for $k$-webs (first order differential equations) on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and for webs with sufficiently ample normal bundle on arbitrary projective surfaces.

The main theorem of this chapter provides a version of Jouanolou's Theorem for foliations in the weighted projective planes.

Theorem 1. Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ be a weighted vector, with $l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}$ pairwise coprimes and $1 \leq l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq$ $l_{2}$. A generic foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ does not admit any invariant algebraic curve if $d \geq l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+2 l_{2}$.

The bound above is not sharp. When $\ell=\left(1,1, l_{2}\right), l_{2}>1$, we have a more precise version of above statement which is sharp.

Theorem 2. A generic foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree d in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ with $l_{2} \geq 2$ does not admit any invariant algebraic curve if $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$. Moreover, if $d<2 l_{2}+1$ any foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree d in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ admits some invariant algebraic curve.

In both statements, by generic we mean that the set of foliations that does not have any invariant curve is the complement of a countable union of algebraic closed proper subsets.

### 2.1 Holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$

In $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$, we know that $\mathbb{F} o l(d, \ell)=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right)\right)$ is the space of holomorphic foliations with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of the degree $d$. When the singular set is finite we say that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated. If we
denote by $S_{k} \subset \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ the complex vector space formed by the quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $k$, we see that for each $1 \leq k \leq d$ there is a natural application

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{k}: \mathbb{P}\left(S_{k}\right) \times \mathbb{F} o l(d-k, \ell) & \rightarrow \mathbb{F o l}(d, \ell), \\
([F],[\eta]) & \mapsto[F \eta] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of the unsaturated foliations with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ is equal to

$$
\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq d} \phi_{k}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(S_{k}\right) \times \mathbb{F} o l(d-k, \ell)\right)
$$

In particular, the set of the saturated foliations with the normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ is an open set on $\mathbb{F} o l(d, \ell)$ in the Zariski topology. Remark however that this open set can be empty, as illustrated by the following examples.

Example 2.1.1. In the case $\ell=\left(1,1, l_{2}\right), l_{2} \geq 2$, we have that

$$
\mathbb{F o l}\left(d,\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{d-2}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(S_{d-2}\right) \times \mathbb{F o l}(2, \ell)\right) \quad \text { for all } 2<d<l_{2}+1
$$

In fact, let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $\left(d,\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)\right)$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ induced by $\eta$ a quasi-homogeneous $d$-form. Since $2<d<l_{2}+1$, it follows that

$$
\eta=A_{d-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) d x_{0}+B_{d-1}\left(x_{o}, x_{1}\right) d x_{1}+C d x_{2}
$$

where $A_{d-1}, B_{d-1}$ are quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-1$. From this last equality and the equality $x_{0} A_{d-1}+x_{1} B_{d-1}+l_{2} x_{2} C=0$, we conclude that

$$
\eta=F_{d-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}\right)
$$

where $F_{d-2}$ is a quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-2$.
Example 2.1.2. In the case $\ell=(3,5,11)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Fol}(d,(3,5,11))=\emptyset, \quad \text { for all } d \in\{9,10,12\}, \\
& \mathbb{F o l}(11,(3,5,11))=\phi_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(S_{3}\right) \times \mathbb{F o l}(8, \ell)\right) \\
& \mathbb{F o l}(13,(3,5,11))=\phi_{5}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(S_{5}\right) \times \mathbb{F o l}(8, \ell)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity, here and hereafter we will use $\mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ to represent $\mathbb{F}$ ol $(d, \ell)$.

### 2.1.1 Invariant algebraic curves

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ defined by $\eta$ and $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ an irreducible algebraic curve. As in the case of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, we say that $C$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant if $i^{*} \eta \equiv 0$, where $i$ is the inclusion of the smooth part of $C$ into $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}\right)$.

Let us assume that $C$ is given by the irreducible quasi-homogeneous polynomial $F$ of degree $k$. Then $C$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant if and only if there exists a quasi-homogeneous 2-form $\Theta_{F}$ of degree $d$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \wedge d F-F \Theta_{F}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1.3. An important fact about equation (2.1) is that it still works for reducible curves, i.e., if the decomposition of the curve is $F=F_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots F_{r}^{n_{r}}$, then the equation 2.1) holds if and only if each irreducible factor $F_{j}$ defines a $\mathcal{F}$-invariant curve.

Definition 2.1.4. Let $p_{0}:=[1: 0: 0], p_{1}:=[0: 1: 0]$, and $p_{2}:=[0: 0: 1]$ and consider the following sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{k}(d) & :=\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F} \text { ol }(d) \mid \quad \text { there exists an } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant algebraic curve of degree } k\}, \\
\mathcal{D}_{k}(d) & :=\left\{(x, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F} \text { ol }(d) \mid \quad x \in C \text { for some } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant algebraic curve of degree } k\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and for each $i \in\{0,1,2\}$

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d):=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F} o l(d) \mid \quad p_{i} \in C \text { for some } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant algebraic curve of degree } k\right\} .
$$

The following lemma will be used in $\$ 2.3$ and $\$ 2.4$
Lemma 2.1.5. The sets $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d), \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d)$ are closed sets for every $k$ and $i$.
Proof. We consider the following set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right) \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{2}(d)\right)\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}(k)\right)\right), \\
\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d)=\{(x,[(\eta, \Theta)],[F]) \mid \quad \eta \wedge d F-F \Theta=0 \text { and } F(x)=0\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the application

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\pi: \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right) \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{2}(d)\right)\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}(k)\right)\right) & -\cdots \\
(x,[(\eta, \Theta)],[F]) & \mapsto
\end{array} \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F} \text { ol }(d) \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}(k)\right)\right),[F]\right) .
$$

The restriction of $\pi$ to $\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d)$ is regular. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d)$ is a closed algebraic set then $\pi\left(\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d)\right)$ is a closed algebraic set too. Since $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ is the image of $\pi\left(\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d)\right)$ by the projection

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d) \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}(k)\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text { ol }(d)
$$

we have that $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ is closed algebraic set. Similarly, the image of $\pi\left(\mathcal{Z}_{k}(d)\right)$ by the projection

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F} o l(d) \times \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}(k)\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F} o l(d)
$$

is $\mathcal{D}_{k}(d)$ and therefore it is closed set. Let

be the canonical projections. It follows that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d)=\pi_{2}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)\right)
$$

is closed set in $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$, this completes the proof of the lemma.

### 2.2 Existence of algebraic leaves

The following result has been proved (in much more general context) by Bogomolov and McQuillan [6]. We will give another proof for foliations on the weighted projective planes, which tell us that the low degree foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ have infinitely many algebraic leaves. By technical questions that we will explain in $\$ 2.3$, from now on we make the assumption: $l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}$ pairwise coprimes and $l_{0}<l_{1}<l_{2}$.

Proposition 2.2.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(K \mathcal{F})<0$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a rational fibration.
Proof. Let $d=\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})$, using Remark 1.6 .4 and $\operatorname{deg}(K \mathcal{F})<0$, we see that

$$
d=\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})<|l|=l_{0}+l_{1}+l_{2} .
$$

We have the following cases:

1. If $d=l_{0}+l_{1}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by $\eta=l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}$.
2. If $l_{0}+l_{1}<d<l_{0}+l_{2}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is an unsaturated foliation, that is, $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by a quasihomegeneous 1-form $\eta=F_{d-l_{o}-l_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right)$.
3. If $l_{0}+l_{2} \leq d<l_{1}+l_{2}$ or $l_{1}+l_{2}<d<|l|$, then the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$
\eta=b_{1} x_{0}^{k-1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{2}\right)+A_{d-l_{0}-l_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right),
$$

where $l_{0} k+l_{2}=d, k \geq 1$ and $A_{d-l_{0}-l_{1}}$ is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $d-l_{0}-l_{1}$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. We can write $A_{d-l_{0}-l_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\sum_{I=(i, j)} a_{I} x_{0}^{i} x_{1}^{j}$. In open set $U_{0}=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{l_{0}}$, we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{0}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{0} & =-l_{0} b_{1} d x_{2}-l_{0} A_{d-l_{0}-l_{1}}\left(1, x_{1}\right) d x_{1} \\
& =d\left(-l_{0} b_{1} x_{2}-l_{0} G_{d-l_{0}}\left(1, x_{1}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{d-l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\sum_{I} \frac{a_{I}}{j+1} x_{0}^{i} x_{1}^{j+1}$. Thus,

$$
\eta=\frac{\left(-b_{1} x_{0}^{k-1} x_{2}-G_{d-l_{0}}\right)^{l_{0}-1}}{x_{0}^{d-l_{0}+1}} d\left(\frac{\left(-b_{1} x_{0}^{k-1} x_{2}-G_{d-l_{0}}\right)^{l_{0}}}{x_{0}^{d-l_{0}}}\right) .
$$

4. if $d=l_{1}+l_{2}$, then the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$
\eta= \begin{cases}A_{l_{2}-l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right)+b_{1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} d x_{2}\right) & , \text { if } l_{0}>1, \\ A_{l_{2}-l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right)+b_{1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} d x_{2}\right) & , \text { if } l_{0}=1 . \\ +b_{2} x_{0}^{l_{1}-1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{2}\right) & \end{cases}
$$

where $A_{l_{2}-l_{0}}$ is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $l_{2}-l_{0}$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. By Proposition 1.7.6 we see that $m(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{l_{0}}$, then we have two cases: If $l_{0}>1$, then $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\{[1: 0: 0]\}$, and if $l_{0}=1$, then either $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}=\emptyset$ or $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\{[0: 0: 1]\}$. In the case $l_{0}=1$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}=\emptyset$, using a automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$, we can suppose that
$\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\{[1: 0: 0]\}$. Hence in the case $l_{0} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\{[1: 0: 0]\}$, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by

$$
\eta=A_{l_{2}-l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right)+\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} d x_{2}\right) .
$$

We can write $A_{l_{2}-l_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\sum_{I=(i, j)} a_{I} x_{0}^{i} x_{1}^{j}$. Taking the following automorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] } & \mapsto\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]=\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}+\sum_{I}-(i+1) a_{I} x_{0}^{i+1} x_{1}^{j}$, thus we have that

$$
\tilde{\eta}=\left(\psi^{-1}\right)^{*} \eta=l_{2} y_{2} d y_{1}-l_{1} y_{1} d y_{2} .
$$

In other case $l_{0}=1$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\{[0: 0: 1]\}$, therefore the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by

$$
\eta=A_{l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right)+b_{2} x_{0}^{l_{1}-1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{2}\right) .
$$

In open set $U_{0}=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{l_{0}}$, we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{0}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{0} & =-b_{2} d x_{2}-l_{0} A_{l_{2}-1}\left(1, x_{1}\right) d x_{1} \\
& =d\left(-b_{2} x_{2}-l_{0} G_{l_{2}+l_{1}-1}\left(1, x_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{l_{2}+l_{1}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\sum_{I} \frac{a_{I}}{j+1} x_{0}^{i} x_{1}^{j+1}$. Thus,

$$
\eta=\frac{1}{x_{0}^{l_{2}+l_{1}}} d\left(\frac{-b_{2} x_{0}^{l_{1}-1} x_{2}-G_{l_{2}+l_{1}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)}{x_{0}^{l_{2}+l_{1}-1}}\right)
$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition.
The following proposition characterizes the low degree foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ with some algebraic solution.

Proposition 2.2.2. Any foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle having degree d satisfying $2 \leq$ $d \leq 2 l_{2}$ admits some invariant algebraic curve. Furthermore

1. $\mathbb{F o l}(2)=\left\{x_{0} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{0}\right\}$, every foliation in $\mathbb{F o l}(2)$ admits rational first integral and in particular admits infinite number of algebraic solutions.
2. $\operatorname{Fol}(d), 2<d \leq l_{2}$, has no saturated foliations.
3. $\mathbb{F o l}\left(l_{2}+1\right)$ : every foliation in $\mathbb{F o l}\left(l_{2}+1\right)$ admits rational first integral.
4. $\operatorname{Fol}\left(l_{2}+2\right)$ : the generic element is defined by a logarithmic 1 -form with poles on two curves of degree one and a curve of degree $l_{2}$.
5. $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$, if $l_{2}+3 \leq d \leq 2 l_{2}$ : every foliation in $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ is a transversaly projective foliation (Ricatti).

Proof. If $2 \leq d \leq l_{2}$, then $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ is given by

$$
\eta=A_{d-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)
$$

where $A_{d-2}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d-2$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. Therefore $\left\{x_{0} x_{1}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}(d)$.

If $l_{2}<d \leq 2 l_{2}$, let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ be a saturated foliation given by
$\eta=A_{d-l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{2}\right)+B_{d-l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{2}\right)+C_{d-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)$,
where $A_{d-l_{2}-1}, B_{d-l_{2}-1}, C_{d-2}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-l_{2}-1, d-l_{2}-1$ and $d-2$ respectively. In the open set $U_{2} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{l_{2}}$, we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{2}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is given by

$$
\eta_{2}=l_{2} A_{d-l_{2}-1} d x_{0}+l_{2} B_{d-l_{2}-1} d x_{1}+C_{d-2}\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)
$$

Then we have that multalg ${ }_{[0: 0: 1]}(\mathcal{F})=d-l_{2}$ and $x_{0} A_{d-l_{2}-1}+x_{1} B_{d-l_{2}-1} \neq 0$. Therefore by Proposition 1.8 .3 we have that $\mathcal{F}$ is Ricatti foliation. Hence by Remark 1.8.4 it admits an invariant curve.

1. A foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}(2)$ is induced by $\lambda\left(x_{0} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{0}\right)$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$.
2. Since $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}(d)$ is given by

$$
\eta=A_{d-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)
$$

where $A_{d-2}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d-2$. We conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is unsaturated.
3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $\left(l_{2}+1\right)$ be a saturated foliation. We can see that $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\left(l_{2} x_{2} A_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+x_{1} A_{l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) d x_{0}+\left(l_{2} x_{2} B_{0}-x_{0} A_{l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) d x_{1}-\left(x_{0} A_{0}+x_{1} B_{0}\right) d x_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}, B_{0}$ and $A_{l_{2}-1}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree 0,0 and $l_{2}-1$ respectively. By the multiplicity formula Proposition 1.7 .6 , we have that $m(\mathcal{F})=1$, then there exists a point $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. By the equation $\sqrt{2.2}$ ) and $\mathcal{F}$ is a saturated foliation we conclude that $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap\left(\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \backslash[0: 0: 1]\right)$. Take the line $L_{p}$ that through the points $p$ and $p_{2}$. Suppose that $L_{p}$ is not invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Using the Tangency Formula for $\mathcal{F}$ and $L_{p}$ we have that

$$
0<\operatorname{Tang}\left(\mathcal{F}, L_{p}\right)=0
$$

this is contradiction, then $L_{p}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant. For a suitable choice of coordinates we can assume that the point $p=p_{0}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by the 1 -form

$$
\eta=-x_{1}^{2} A_{l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) d x_{0}+\left(-l_{2} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} A_{l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) d x_{1}+x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

where $A_{l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=a_{l_{2}-1} x_{1}^{l_{2}-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x_{0}^{l_{2}-2}$. Taking the following automorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] } & \mapsto\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]=\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}-a_{l_{2}-1} x_{0} x_{1}^{l_{2}-1}-\frac{a_{l_{2}-2}}{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{l_{2}-2}-\cdots-\frac{a_{1}}{l_{2}-1} x_{0}^{l_{2}-1} x_{1}$. Therefore we have that

$$
\tilde{\eta}=\left(\psi^{-1}\right)^{*} \eta=-l_{2} y_{2} d y_{1}+y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

4. If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}\left(l_{2}+2\right)$ is a saturated foliation, then by the multiplicity formula Proposition 1.7.6, we have that $m(\mathcal{F})=2+\frac{1}{l_{2}}$, then $p_{2} \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Take a nonempty open $U_{1}$ in $\mathbb{F o l}\left(l_{2}+2\right)$ such that if $\mathcal{F} \in U_{1}$ thus, $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ consists of three different points and one of them is $p_{2}$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in U_{1}$, then for a suitable choice of coordinates we can assume that $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by the 1-form

$$
\eta=-\left(l_{2} a x_{1} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1}^{2} A_{l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) d x_{0}+\left(-l_{2} b x_{0} x_{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1} A_{l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) d x_{1}+(a+b) x_{0} x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

where $A_{l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=a_{l_{2}-1} x_{1}^{l_{2}-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x_{0}^{l_{2}-2}$. We define the nonempty open

$$
U=\left\{[\eta] \in U_{1} \mid\left(l_{2}-i\right) a-i b \neq 0, \forall i=1, \ldots, l_{2}-1\right\} .
$$

If $\mathcal{F} \in U$, take the following automorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] } & \mapsto\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]=\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}+\frac{a_{l_{2}-1}}{\left(l_{2}-1\right) a-b} x_{0} x_{1}^{l_{2}-1}+\frac{a_{l_{2}-2}}{a\left(l_{2}-2\right)-2 b} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{l_{2}-2}+\cdots+\frac{a_{1}}{a+\left(1-l_{2}\right) b} x_{0}^{l_{2}-1} x_{1}$, therefore we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\eta}=\left(\psi^{-1}\right)^{*} \eta=-l_{2} a y_{1} y_{2} d y_{0}-l_{2} b y_{0} y_{2} d y_{1}+(a+b) y_{0} y_{1} d y_{2} \\
\tilde{\eta}=y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}\left(-a \frac{d y_{0}}{y_{0}}-b \frac{d y_{1}}{y_{1}}+(a+b) \frac{d y_{2}}{l_{2} y_{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.3 Foliations without algebraic leaves on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}$

For any positive integers $a$ and $b$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, define $g(a, b)$ to be the greatest positive integer $N$ for which the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x_{1}+b x_{2}=N \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not solvable in nonnegative integers.
Lemma 2.3.1. (Sylvester, 1894 [3]) Let $a$ and $b$ be positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Then

$$
g(a, b)=a b-a-b
$$

Proof. Suppose that $N>a b-a-b$. Note that if $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ is a particular solution to (2.3), then every integer solution is of the form $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(y_{1}+b t, y_{2}-a t\right), t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $t$ be an integer such that $0 \leq y_{2}-a t \leq a-1$. Then

$$
\left(y_{1}+b t\right) a=N-\left(y_{2}-a t\right) b>a b-a-b-(a-1) b=-a
$$

which implies $y_{1}+b t>-1$, i.e., $y_{1}+b t \geq 0$. It follows that in this case the equation $a x_{1}+b x_{2}=N$ is solvable in nonnegative integers. Thus

$$
g(a, b) \leq a b-a-b
$$

Now we need only to show that the equation $a x_{1}+b x_{2}=a b-a-b$ is not solvable in nonnegative integers. Otherwise, we have

$$
a b=a\left(x_{1}+1\right)+b\left(x_{2}+1\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, we see that $a \mid\left(x_{2}+1\right)$ and $b \mid\left(x_{1}+1\right)$, which implies $x_{2}+1 \geq a$ and $x_{1}+1 \geq b$. Hence

$$
a b=a\left(x_{1}+1\right)+b\left(x_{2}+1\right) \geq 2 a b
$$

and this contradiction shows that

$$
g(a, b) \geq a b-a-b
$$

Therefore $g(a, b)=a b-a-b$.
Definition 2.3.2. Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ be a weight vector. Assume that its entries are ordered ( $\left.l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2}\right)$ and are pairwise coprime. Let $X=\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}\right)$. Recall that $p_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & : 0\end{array}\right]$, $p_{1}=[0: 1: 0], p_{2}=[0: 0: 1]$. We define the following sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(d) & \left.:=\left\{(x, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)\right) \mid x \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})\right\} \\
\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) & :=\{(x, \mathcal{F}) \in X \times \mathbb{F o l}(d) \mid x \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})\}, \\
\mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d) & :=\left\{\left(p_{i}, \mathcal{F}\right) \in \mathcal{S}(d)\right\}, i=0,1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.3.3. For all $d>l_{1} l_{2}, \mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$ is an irreducible subvariety and has codimension two on $X \times \operatorname{Fol}(d)$.

Proof. Consider the projection $\pi_{1}: \mathcal{S}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. For every $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$, the fiber $\pi_{1}^{-1}(x)$ is a subvariety of $\{x\} \times \mathbb{F} o l(d)$ contained in $\mathcal{S}(d)$ and isomorphic to a projective space, i.e., if two 1-forms $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ vanish in $x$ thus the same is true for a linear combination of them.

The automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ has four distinct orbits on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. In order to proof the proposition it suffices to exhibit for each of these orbits, two 1-forms which are linearly independent at a point of them.

Since $d>l_{1} l_{2}$ we can apply Lemma 2.3.1 to obtain positive integers $i_{12}$ and $j_{12}$ such that $i_{12} l_{1}+$ $j_{12} l_{2}=d$. Hence

$$
\alpha=x_{1}^{i_{12}-1} x_{2}^{j_{12}-1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} d x_{2}\right)
$$

belongs $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right)$. Similarly, since $d>l_{0} l_{2}$ and $d>l_{0}, l_{1}$ we obtain integers $i_{02}, i_{01}, j_{01}, j_{02}$ such that $i_{01} l_{0}+j_{01} l_{1}=i_{02} l_{0}+j_{02} l_{2}=d$, and consequently

$$
\beta=x_{0}^{i_{01}-1} x_{1}^{j_{01}-1}\left(l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}\right) \text { and } \gamma=x_{0}^{i_{02}-1} x_{2}^{j_{02}-1}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-l_{0} x_{0} d x_{2}\right)
$$

also belong to $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right)$.
If $p=(1,1,1)$ then $V_{p}^{\ell}=<\alpha(p), \beta(p), \gamma(p)>$, the vector space generated by the evaluation of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ at $p$ has dimension 2.

At the point $p_{0}=(0,1,1)$, the analogue vector space has dimension one. But we can apply Lemma 2.3.1 to write $d-l_{0}=i_{0} l_{1}+j_{0} l_{2}$ witth $i_{0}$ and $j_{0}$ positive integers, and see that

$$
\delta_{0}=x_{1}^{i_{0}-1} x_{2}^{j_{0}}\left(l_{0} x_{0} d x_{1}-l_{1} x_{1} d x_{0}\right)
$$

belongs to $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right)$. Now considering the evaluation of $\delta_{0}$ and $\alpha$ at $p_{0}$ we see that they are $\mathbb{C}$ linearly independent. Applying the same argument to the others points $p_{1}=(1,0,1)$ and $p_{2}=(1,1,0)$, we conclude that $\pi_{1}^{-1}(x) \subset X \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)$ always have codimension two. It follows that $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$ is projective bundle over $X$, and therefore is smooth and irreducible.

Proposition 2.3.4. Let $d>l_{1} l_{2}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)=\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ for some $k>0$.

1. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F o l}(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d)=\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ for some $i$, then $\mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d)$.
2. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d)<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ or $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ for every $i$, then $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$.

Proof. We denote by $\pi$ the restriction of the natural projection to $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$

$$
\pi: \mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} o l(d)
$$

For item 1, the condition $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F} o l(d)$ implies that $\mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d)=\left\{p_{i}\right\} \times \mathbb{F} o l(d)$. Hence $\mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d) \cap$ $\mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{p_{i}}(d)$. For item 2 , since $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)=\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ for all $i$, we define the nonempty open set

$$
U_{k}=\mathbb{F o l}(d) \backslash \cup_{i=0}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d)\right) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \in U_{k}$ be a foliation saturated. Then there exists a curve $C$ of degree $k$ invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Using Camacho-Sad Theorem in weighted projective planes $C^{2}=\sum_{p \in X \cap C} C S(\mathcal{F}, C, p)$. This implies that there exists a $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap X$. Therefore

$$
\pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)\right)=U_{k}
$$

By Proposition 2.3.3 we have that $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)$ is an irreducible open set and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F} o l(d)
$$

and since $\operatorname{dim} \pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\operatorname{dim} U_{k}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F} o l(d)\right.$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\left.\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)\right)=\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)
$$

Taking closure on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$, we get

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)} .
$$

In particular we have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)
$$

In order to prove our result we will construct examples contradicting the above proposition for $d \gg 0$ in the next subsection.

### 2.3.1 Existence of singularities without algebraic separatrix

First, we construct a family examples that contradict item 2 of Proposition 2.3 .4 for $d \gg 0$. The following example is an adaptation of an example of J. V. Pereira, see 35, page 5].

Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right), l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be a foliation in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ induced by the following 1-form $\eta_{0}=x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{0}^{l_{1} l_{2}}+x_{1}^{l_{0} l_{2}}+x_{2}^{l_{0} l_{1}}\right)\left(\lambda l_{1} l_{2} \frac{d x_{0}}{x_{0}}+\mu l_{0} l_{2} \frac{d x_{1}}{x_{1}}++\gamma l_{0} l_{2} \frac{d x_{2}}{x_{2}}-(\lambda+\mu+\gamma) \frac{d\left(x_{0}^{l_{1} l_{2}}+x_{1}^{l_{0} l_{2}}+x_{2}^{l_{0} l_{2}}\right)}{x_{0}^{l_{1} l_{2}}+x_{1}^{l_{0} l_{2}}+x_{2}^{l_{0} l_{1}}}\right)$.

Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(N \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0}+l_{1}+l_{2}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{[1: 0: 0],[0: 1: 0],[0: 0: 1],\left[0: 1: a^{1 / l_{1}}\right] \mid a^{l_{0}}=-1} \\
{\left[1: 0: b^{1 / l_{0}}\right] \mid b^{l_{1}}=-1} \\
{\left[1: c^{1 / l_{0}}: 0\right] \mid c^{l_{2}}=-1,} \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] \mid x_{0}^{l_{1} l_{2}}=\lambda, x_{1}^{l_{0} l_{2}}=\mu, x_{2}^{l_{0} l_{1}}=\gamma}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Notice that the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is induced by $\eta$ admits a multivalued first integral, that is,

$$
F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{x_{0}^{\lambda} x_{1}^{\mu} x_{2}^{\gamma}}{\left(x_{0}^{l_{1} l_{2}}+x_{1}^{l_{0} l_{2}}+x_{2}^{l_{0} l_{1}}\right)^{\lambda+\mu+\gamma}}
$$

Proposition 2.3.5. If $\lambda, \mu$ and $\gamma$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent, then the previous foliation $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ which does not have a $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the points

$$
\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right) \cap \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \backslash\left\{x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}=0\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right) \cap \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \backslash\left\{x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}=0\right\}$, since $\lambda, \mu$ and $\gamma$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent we have that $p$ does not belong none of the curves $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$, $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$, $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\},\left\{x_{0}^{l_{1} l_{2}}+x_{1}^{l_{0} l_{2}}+x_{2}^{l_{0} l_{1}}=0\right\}$. Suppose that there exists a curve $C$ invariant by $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ passing through $p$. By Bézout's Theorem for weighted projective planes we have that $C$ intersects to $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$. It is clear that the points of intersection between $C$ and $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ are contained in the singularities of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $q$ one of these points of intersection between $C$ and $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume $q=[0: 0: 1]$. The others case are similar. In a neighborhood of $q$, we can write the first integral $F$ as

$$
F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, 1\right)=u\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) x_{0}^{\lambda} x_{1}^{\mu}
$$

where $u$ is a function non zero and without ramification in a neighborhood of $q=(0,0)$. Again, because $\lambda, \mu$ and $\gamma$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent we have that $\lambda / \mu \notin \mathbb{Q}$. This implies that the unique separatrices passing through $q$ are $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.3.6. For all $d>l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+l_{2}$, there exists a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ that does not have a $\mathcal{F}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the points $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap$ $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \backslash\left\{x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}=0\right\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ of Lemma 2.3 .5 induced by $\eta_{0}$. Note that the system $i l_{0}+j l_{1}=d_{0}$ always has solution solution in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ if $d_{0}>l_{0} l_{1}-l_{0}-l_{1}$, according Lemma 2.3.1. Therefore, we define a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ induced by

$$
\eta=x_{0}^{i} x_{1}^{j} \eta_{0}
$$

in which $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})>l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+l_{2}$. This completes the proof of the corollary.
Now, we are going to construct a family examples that contradict item 2 of Proposition 2.3 .4 for $d \gg 0$.

For every $j_{0}=1, \ldots, l_{2}$, let $j_{1}$ be the unique integer satisfying $1 \leq j_{1} \leq l_{2}$ and $l_{0} j_{0} \equiv l_{1} j_{1} \bmod l_{2}$.
Consider the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, induced by the 1-form

$$
\eta=\left(x_{1}^{l_{2}}-1\right) x_{0}^{j_{0}-1} d x_{0}-a\left(x_{0}^{l_{2}}-1\right) x_{1}^{j_{1}-1} d x_{1},
$$

in which $a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$.
We have to consider two cases:

1. First case: $j_{0}=j_{1}=l_{2}$ (nondicrital case).

Lemma 2.3.7. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ does not have a $\mathcal{F}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the point $(0,0)$.

Proof. We extend $\mathcal{F}$ to a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that is denoted by $\mathcal{G}$. The foliation $\mathcal{G}$ is induced by

$$
\omega=\left(x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{0}^{l_{2}-1} x_{2} d x_{0}-a\left(x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{1}^{l_{2}-1} x_{2} d x_{1}+\left(a\left(x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{1}^{l_{2}}-\left(x_{2}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{0}^{l_{2}}\right) d x_{2} .
$$

Thus $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{G})=2 l_{2}-1$, and $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\},\left\{x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\},\left\{x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\}$ are $\mathcal{G}$-invariant.
Notice that the singularities of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\left\{x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\} \cap\left\{x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\}$ are reduced. Also over each of these lines $\mathcal{G}$ has only one extra singularity corresponding to the intersection of the line with $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$.

Suppose there exists an algebraic curve $C$ invariant by $\mathcal{G}$ passing by [ $0: 0: 1]$. Bézout's Theorem implies that $C$ must intersect the line $\left\{x_{1}-x_{2}=0\right\}$. Since the singularities of $\mathcal{G}$ on this line outside $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are all reduced and contain two separatrices which do not pass through $[0: 0: 1]$ we conclude the $C$ intersect this line only at the point $[1: 0: 0]$. We proceed to make a blow-up at the point $[1: 0: 0]$. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at the point $[1: 0: 0], E$ be the exceptional divisor, $\tilde{C}$ be the strict transform of $C, L_{2}$ be the strict transform of $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}, F$ be the strict transform of $\left\{x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}=\pi^{*}(\mathcal{G})$ be the foliation on $M$.

We claim that the singularity at the point $[1: 0: 0]$ is nondicritical, the singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $E$ are all reduced and are contained in the intersection of $E$ with $L_{2} \cup F$. In fact, the open set $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$ is covered by $V_{0} \cup V_{1}$ and the first chart is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\pi\right|_{V_{0}}: V_{0} & \rightarrow U_{0} \\
(u, v) & \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(u, u v)
\end{aligned}
$$

The foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $V_{0}$ is induced by

$$
\tilde{\eta}=-v\left(1-v^{l_{2}}\right) d u+u\left(a\left(1-u^{l_{2}} v^{l_{2}}\right)-\left(1-v^{l_{2}}\right)\right) d v
$$

We see that $E$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$-invariant, this implies that the point [1:0:0] is nondicritical. Also, $\operatorname{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \cap$ $E \cap V_{0}=\left\{(0,0),(0, \xi) \mid \xi^{l_{2}}=1\right\}$, and the quotients of eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ at the points $(0,0)$ and $(0, \xi)$ are $1-a$ and $\frac{1-a}{l_{2}}$. Since $a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ we conclude that these singularities are all reduced. Similarly to the second chart. This claim contradicts the fact that $\tilde{C} \cap E$ is contained in $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$. Therefore we conclude that there is no such curve $C$ invariant by $\mathcal{G}$ passing by $[0: 0: 1]$.
2. Second case: $l_{2}>j_{0}, j_{1}$ (dicritical case).

Lemma 2.3.8. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ does not have a $\mathcal{F}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the point $(0,0)$.

Proof. Again, we extend $\mathcal{F}$ to a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that is denoted by $\mathcal{G}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $j_{0} \geq j_{1}$. In this case the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ is induced by
$\omega=\left(x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{0}^{j_{0}-1} x_{2} d x_{0}-a\left(x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{1}^{j_{1}-1} x_{2}^{j_{0}-j_{1}+1} d x_{1}+\left(a\left(x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}\right) x_{1}^{j_{1}} x_{2}^{j_{0}-j_{1}}-\left(x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}} x_{0}^{j_{0}}\right)\right) d x_{2}$.

Thus $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{G})=l_{2}+j_{0}-1$, and $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\},\left\{x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\},\left\{x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\}$ are $\mathcal{G}$-invariant.
Notice that the singularities of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\left\{x_{1}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\} \cap\left\{x_{0}^{l_{2}}-x_{2}^{l_{2}}=0\right\}$ are reduced. Also over each of these lines $\mathcal{G}$ has only one extra singularity corresponding to the intersection of the line with $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$.

Suppose there exists an algebraic curve $C$ invariant by $\mathcal{G}$ passing by $[0: 0: 1]$. By the same procedure as above, we conclude the $C$ intersect at points $[1: 0: 0]$ and $[0: 1: 0]$. We proceed to make a blow-up at the points $[1: 0: 0]$ and $[0: 1: 0]$. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at the points $[0: 1: 0]$ and $[1: 0: 0], E=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$ be the exceptional divisor, $\tilde{C}$ be the strict transform of $C$, $L_{2}$ be the strict transform of $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}, L_{0}$ be the strict transform of $\left\{x_{1}-x_{2}=0\right\}, L_{1}$ be the strict transform of $\left\{x_{0}-x_{2}=0\right\}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}=\pi^{*}(\mathcal{G})$ the foliation on $M$.

We claim that the singularities at the points $[1: 0: 0]$ and $[0: 1: 0]$ are dicritical, the singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $E$ do not belong to the lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. In fact, the open set $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$ is covered by $V_{0} \cup V_{1}$ and the first chart is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\pi\right|_{V_{0}}: V_{0} & \rightarrow U_{0} \\
(u, v) & \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(u, u v)
\end{aligned}
$$

The foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $V_{0}$ is induced by

$$
\tilde{\eta}=-v u^{l_{2}-j_{0}-1}\left(1-v^{l_{2}}\right) d u+\left(a\left(1-u^{l_{2}} v^{l_{2}}\right) v^{j_{0}-j_{1}}-u^{l_{2}-j_{0}}\left(1-v^{l_{2}}\right)\right) d v
$$

We see that $E_{1}$ is not $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$-invariant, this implies that $[1: 0: 0]$ is dicritical singularity. Also, $L_{1} \cap V_{0}=$ $\{1-v=0\}$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \cap E \cap V_{0}=\{(0,0)\}$. Therefore the singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $E$ do not belong to $L_{1}$. The same argument show that the singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $E$ do not belong to $L_{0}$.

Now we define the following map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi: \operatorname{Pic}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
& D \mapsto \\
&\left(D \cdot L_{0}, D \cdot L_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ generate the image of $\phi$. From this and $L_{2} \in \operatorname{ker}(\phi)$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\phi)=\mathbb{Z} L_{2}
$$

By the last claim, we conclude that $\tilde{C} \in \operatorname{ker}(\psi)$. We can write $\tilde{C}=b L_{2}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(M)$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C} \cdot E & >0, \\
b L_{2} \cdot E & >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $b>0$. On the other hand $\tilde{C} \cdot L_{2} \geq 0$ and $L_{2} \cdot L_{2}=-1$, thus $b \leq 0$. This is a contradiction. This implies that there is no such curve $C$ invariant by $\mathcal{G}$ passing by $[0: 0: 1]$.

Corollary 2.3.9. For all $d \geq l_{2} l_{1}+l_{2} l_{0}+l_{2}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $i=0,1,2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{i}}(d) \neq \mathbb{F} o l(d)
$$

If $l_{0}=l_{1}=1$ and $l_{2} \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d) \neq \mathbb{F o l}(d)$, for all $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. We show that $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d) \neq \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$. Same arguments can be used to other cases.

Take $d \geq l_{2} l_{1}+l_{2} l_{0}+l_{2}$, let $j_{0} j_{1}$ be the unique integers satisfying $1 \leq j_{0}, j_{1} \leq l_{2}$ and $d \equiv l_{0} j_{0} \equiv l_{1} j_{1}$ $\bmod l_{2}$. By Lemma 2.3.7 and Lemma 2.3.8 the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ given by

$$
\eta=\left(x_{1}^{l_{2}}-1\right) x_{0}^{j_{0}-1} d x_{0}-a\left(x_{0}^{l_{2}}-1\right) x_{1}^{j_{1}-1} d x_{1},
$$

does not have a $\mathcal{F}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the point $(0,0)$.
By Remark 1.6 .3 we extend $\mathcal{F}$ to a foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$, which is induced by $\theta$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}(N \hat{\mathcal{F}})= \begin{cases}l_{0} j_{0}+l_{1} l_{2}+l_{2} & , \text { if } l_{0} j_{0} \geq l_{1} j_{1} \\ l_{1} j_{1}+l_{0} l_{2}+l_{2} & , \text { if } l_{1} j_{1}>l_{0} j_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Since $d \equiv \operatorname{deg}(N \hat{\mathcal{F}}) \bmod l_{2}$ and $d \geq l_{2} l_{1}+l_{2} l_{0}+l_{2}$, we can multiply the 1-form $\theta$ by an adequate power of $x_{2}$ and construct a foliation $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ with normal $Q$-bundle of degree $d$. The foliation $\mathcal{H}$ does not have a $\mathcal{H}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the point $[0: 0: 1]$. Then $\mathcal{H} \notin \mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d)$.

If $l_{0}=l_{1}=1$ and $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$, then $d \equiv j\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$, for an unique integer $1 \leq j \leq l_{2}$. We take $j=j_{0}=j_{1}$ and the foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ constructed above satisfies $\operatorname{deg}(N \hat{\mathcal{F}})=2 l_{2}+j$. By the same procedure we can construct a foliation $\mathcal{H} \notin \mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 2.1.5 $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ is an algebraic closed subset of $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that if $d \geq l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+2 l_{2}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d) \neq \mathbb{F o l}(d)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, if we had $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)=\mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we could apply Proposition 2.3.4 and this would contradict Corollary 2.3.9 and Corollary 2.3.6. Hence the complement of $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ in $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ is an dense open set if $d \geq l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}+l_{0} l_{1}+2 l_{2}$. We conclude the proof of Jouanolou's Theorem on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$ applying Baire's Theorem.

### 2.3.2 Reduction of problem

In this subsection, we only consider saturated foliations and the notations as in Chapter 1. Our goal is to reduce from the case of foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=1$ to the case of foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2} \ell^{\prime}=\left(l_{0}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, with $l_{0}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}$ pairwise coprimes.

Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ be a weighted vector with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=1$. We have

$$
l_{0}=r_{1} r_{2} l_{0}^{\prime}, \quad l_{1}=r_{0} r_{2} l_{1}^{\prime}, \quad l_{2}=r_{0} r_{1} l_{2}^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
r_{0}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \quad r_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{2}\right), \quad r_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we have $l_{0} l_{1} l_{2}=r^{2} l_{0}^{\prime} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}$, where $r=r_{0} r_{1} r_{2}, \ell^{\prime}=\left(l_{0}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and also the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi^{*}: H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}}^{k}(d)\right) & \rightarrow & H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{k}(r d)\right) \\
\eta & \mapsto & \varphi^{*} \eta,
\end{array}
$$

which is induced by the following isomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\varphi: \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2} \\
{\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]_{\ell}} & \mapsto & {\left[x_{0}^{r_{0}}: x_{1}^{r_{1}}: x_{2}^{r_{2}}\right]_{\ell^{\prime}}=\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]_{\ell^{\prime}} .}
\end{array}
$$

Note that, in the case $k=1$, the isomorphism is valid for any $d \geq \min \left\{l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Remark 2.3.10. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ given by $\eta$, and $\mathcal{G}=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{l}^{2}$ induced by $\omega$. Then
$\omega= \begin{cases}\varphi^{*} \eta & , \text { if }\left\{y_{0}=0\right\},\left\{y_{1}=0\right\} \text { and }\left\{y_{2}=0\right\} \text { are not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant, } \\ \frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x_{0}^{r_{0}-1}} & \text {, if }\left\{y_{0}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant and }\left\{y_{1}=0\right\},\left\{y_{2}=0\right\} \text { are not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant, } \\ \frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x_{0}^{r_{0}-1} x_{1}^{r_{1}-1}} & \text {, if }\left\{y_{0} y_{1}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant and }\left\{y_{2}=0\right\} \text { is not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant, } \\ \frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x_{0}^{r_{0}-1} x_{1}^{r_{1}-1} x_{2}^{r_{2}-1}} & \text {, if }\left\{y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant. }\end{cases}$
Recall that
$\mathbb{F o l}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \mid\left\{y_{0}=0\right\},\left\{y_{1}=0\right\},\left\{y_{2}=0\right\}\right.$ are not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant $\}$,
$\mathbb{F o l}{ }_{i}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}\right.$ ol $\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \mid\left\{y_{i}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant and $\left\{y_{j}=0\right\},\left\{y_{k}=0\right\}$ are not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant, $\left.j \neq k\right\}$,
$\mathbb{F o l} l_{i, j}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \mid\left\{y_{i} y_{j}=0\right\}\right.$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant and $\left\{y_{k}=0\right\}$ is not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant $\}$,
$\mathbb{F} o l_{0,1,2}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}\right.$ ol $\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \mid\left\{y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant $\}$.
Proposition 2.3.11. Under the conditions above, we have the following isomorphisms:

1. $\quad H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}}^{k}(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{k}(r d)\right) \quad \forall d, \forall k \geq 0$.
2. $\mathbb{F o l}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} \mathbb{F o l}{ }^{\prime}(r d, \ell) \quad \forall d$.
3. $\mathbb{F o l} l_{i}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} \mathbb{F o l} l_{i}^{\prime}\left(r d-l_{i}\left(r_{i}-1\right), \ell\right) \quad \forall d$. If $l_{i}>1$.
4. $\mathbb{F o l} l_{i, j}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} \mathbb{F} o l_{i, j}^{\prime}\left(r d-l_{i}\left(r_{i}-1\right)-l_{j}\left(r_{j}-1\right), \ell\right) \quad \forall d$. If $l_{i}, l_{j}>1$ and $i \neq j$.
5. $\mathbb{F o l} l_{0,1,2}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} \mathbb{F o l}_{0,1,2}\left(r d-l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right)-l_{1}\left(r_{1}-1\right)-l_{2}\left(r_{2}-1\right), l\right) \quad$ If $l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}>1$.

In conclusion, for all $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $(\tilde{d}, \ell), \tilde{d} \geq \min \left\{l_{0}+l_{1}, l_{0}+l_{2}, l_{1}+l_{2}\right\}, \mathcal{G}$ satisfies one of the above items 2, 3, 4, or 5.

Proof. 1. Follows from the fact that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. To prove items 2 and 3 , it is sufficient to prove surjectivity.
2. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F} o l^{\prime}(r d, \ell)$ given by $\omega$. Then by Remark 2.3 .10 there exists $\eta \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right)$ such that $\varphi^{*} \eta=\omega$. We only need to show that $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing}(\eta)=0$. To do this, suppose that $\eta \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}}{=} F \eta^{\prime}$, then $\left(\varphi^{*} F\right)\left(\varphi^{*} \eta^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{*} \eta=\omega$, and since $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing}(\omega)=0$, we thus get $\varphi^{*} F$ is a constant, hence $F$ is a constant. By Remark 2.3.10, we concluded that $\eta$ induces the foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $l^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)$.
3. Suppose $i=0$ and $l_{0}>1$. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F o l} l_{0}^{\prime}\left(r d-l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right), \ell\right)$ given by $\omega$, we define

$$
\tilde{\omega}=x_{0}^{r_{0}-1} \omega \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(r d)\right)
$$

Then by Remark 2.3 .10 there exists $\eta \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right)$ such that $\varphi^{*} \eta=\tilde{\omega}$. It suffices to prove that $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing}(\eta)=0$. To see this, suppose that $\eta=F \eta^{\prime}$, hence $\left(\varphi^{*} F\right)\left(\varphi^{*} \eta^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{*} \eta=\tilde{\omega}=x_{0}^{r_{0}-1} \omega$, thus $x_{0}^{r_{0}-1}$ divides $\varphi^{*} F$, then $F=y_{0}^{k}$, and hence $k=0$. Since $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F} o l_{0}^{\prime}\left(r d-l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right)\right.$, $\ell$, Remark 2.3.10 implies that $\eta$ induces the foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F} o l_{0}^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right)$.

Items 4 and 5 follow by analogous arguments used in 2 and 3, applying following definitions:
5. For example, for the case $i=0$ and $j=1$, define $\tilde{\omega}=x_{0}^{r_{0}-1} x_{1}^{r_{1}-1} \omega$.
6. Define $\tilde{\omega}=x_{0}^{r_{0}-1} x_{1}^{r_{1}-1} x_{2}^{r_{2}-1} \omega$.

Now let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F o l}(\tilde{d}, \ell)$ given by

$$
\omega=A d x_{0}+B d x_{1}+C d x_{2} .
$$

Let us prove that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies one of the items $2,3,4$ or 5 . We will prove two cases, the other cases are analogous.

First case: Assume that $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\},\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$, and $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are not $\mathcal{G}$-invariant. We can always write

$$
B=x_{0}^{q_{1}} B_{q_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\ldots+B_{\tilde{d}-l_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),
$$

where $\tilde{d}-l_{1}=q_{1} l_{0}+q_{2}$. Since $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is not $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, there exist $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\tilde{d}-l_{1}=i l_{1}+j l_{2}$. That implies $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \mid \tilde{d}-l_{1}$, so $r_{0} \mid \tilde{d}$. Analogously for the quasi-homogeneous polynomials $A, B$ and using the fact that $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\},\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are not $\mathcal{G}$-invariant respectively, we get that $r_{1} \mid \tilde{d}$ and $r_{2} \mid \tilde{d}$. Therefore, we can conclude that $r_{0} r_{1} r_{2} \mid \tilde{d}$,i.e., $\tilde{d}=r d$. Hence $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F} o l^{\prime}(r d, \ell)$, this finishes the proof of the first case.

Second case: Suppose that $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant and $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\},\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are not $\mathcal{G}$-invariant. Define

$$
\tilde{\tilde{d}}=\tilde{d}+l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right)
$$

Because $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\},\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are not $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, we can apply the first case, then $r_{1} r_{2} \mid \tilde{d}$. Moreover, $r_{1} r_{2} \mid l_{0}$, thus $r_{0} r_{1} \mid \tilde{d}$. We can write

$$
A=x_{0}^{q_{1}} A_{q_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\ldots+A_{\tilde{d}-l_{0}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

since $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $(\tilde{d}, l)$ and $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, then there exists $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\tilde{d}-l_{0}=i l_{1}+j l_{2}$, therefore $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \mid \tilde{d}-l_{0}$. Moreover $r_{0} \mid \tilde{d}-l_{0}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{d}}=\left(\tilde{d}-l_{0}\right)+l_{0} r_{0}$, hence $r_{0} \mid \tilde{d}$, we can conclude that $\tilde{d}=r d-l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right)$. Thus $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $l_{0}^{\prime}\left(r d-l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right), l\right)$, the proof of second case is complete.

Corollary 2.3.12. Let $\ell=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ be a weighted vector with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=1$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(K \mathcal{F})<0$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a rational fibration.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{deg}(K \mathcal{F})<0$ we have that $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})<|l|=l_{0}+l_{1}+l_{2}$. Let $\ell^{\prime}=\left(l_{0}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{2}$ as Proposition 2.3.11. We claim that if $\mathcal{F}=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{G}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})<l_{0}+l_{1}+l_{2}$, then $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{G})<l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}$. In fact, by Proposition 2.3.11 we have

$$
r \operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{G})-l_{0}\left(r_{0}-1\right)-l_{1}\left(r_{1}-1\right)-l_{2}\left(r_{2}-1\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(N \varphi^{*} \mathcal{G}\right)
$$

Using $\operatorname{deg}\left(N \varphi^{*} \mathcal{G}\right)<l_{0}+l_{1}+l_{2}$, we see that $r \operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{G})<r_{0} l_{0}+l_{1} r_{1}+l_{2} r_{2}$. Since $l_{0}=l_{0}^{\prime} r_{1} r_{2}$, $l_{1}=l_{1}^{\prime} r_{0} r_{2}$ and $l_{2}=l_{2}^{\prime} r_{0} r_{1}$ we conclude that $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{G})<l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}$. The corollary follows from Proposition 2.2.1.

Corollary 2.3.13. Under the conditions and notations of Proposition 2.3.11, a generic foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree rd in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ does not have any invariant algebraic curves if

$$
d \geq l_{0}^{\prime} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}+l_{0}^{\prime} l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{0}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}+l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Proof. Using the isomorphism $\varphi^{*}: \mathbb{F} o l^{\prime}\left(d, \ell^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} o l^{\prime}(r d, \ell)$ of Proposition 2.3.11 and Theorem 1, the result follows.

### 2.4 Foliations without algebraic leaves on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}, l_{2}>1$

We first recall of Definition 2.3 .2 that $X=\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \backslash\left\{p_{2}\right\}$ and the following algebraic sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(d) & \left.=\left\{(x, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)\right) \mid x \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})\right\} \\
\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) & =\{(x, \mathcal{F}) \in X \times \mathbb{F} \text { ol }(d) \mid x \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})\} \\
\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d) & =\left\{\left(p_{2}, \mathcal{F}\right) \in \mathcal{S}(d)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following proposition allows to determine when the algebraic variety $\mathcal{S}(d)$ is not irreducible.
Proposition 2.4.1. Let $\ell=\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)$ be a weighted vector with $l_{2} \geq 2$ and $d>l_{2}$ be an integer. The following assertions hold true:

1. If $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$, then $\mathcal{S}(d)$ is an irreducible variety of codimension two in $\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$.
2. If $d \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$, then $\mathcal{S}(d)$ is not irreducible variety with decomposition in irreducible components equal to

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)} \cup \mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)
$$

Furthermore $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{X}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$.
Proof. 1. Consider the projection $\pi_{1}: \mathcal{S}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}$. For every $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$, the fiber $\pi_{1}^{-1}(x)$ is a subvariety of $\{x\} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)$ contained in $\mathcal{S}(d)$ isomorphic to a projective space, i.e., if two 1 -forms $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ vanish in $x$ thus the same is true for a linear combination of them. Since the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ acts transitively on $X$ (see [18, section 4]), it follows that every fiber of $\pi_{1}$ restricted to $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \subset X \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)$ is smooth, irreducible and biholomorphic to a projective space of dimension $N$. It is clear that $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(p_{2}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$ is smooth and irreducible, but in general it is not true that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(p_{0}\right)$, the condition $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$ is necessary. We claim that under this condition $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$ has codimension two on $\left\{p_{2}\right\} \times \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ and $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(p_{0}\right)$ has codimension two on $\left\{p_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{F} o l(d)$. The condition $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$ implies that there exists a quasi-homogeneous polynomials $F$ of degree $d-l_{2}-1$ such that $F\left(p_{2}\right) \neq 0$, thus the 1 -forms $\eta_{1}=F\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{2}\right)$ and $\eta_{2}=F\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{2}\right)$ are degree $d$, and they are such that $\eta_{1}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $\eta_{2}\left(p_{0}\right)$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent. Thus any 1 -form $\eta$ of degree $d$ such that $\eta\left(p_{2}\right) \neq 0$ can be written as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. Similarly for the fiber $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(p_{0}\right)$. Since all the fibers $\pi_{1}^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ are irreducible and of the same dimension, then $\mathcal{S}(d)$ is irreducible, see [38, Theorem 8, page 77].
2. Notice that the condition $d \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$ implies that $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)=\left\{p_{2}\right\} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$
\left.0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \psi \xrightarrow{i} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2}}^{1}(d)\right|_{X},
$$

where $\psi(x, \eta)=\eta(x)$. We claim that $\operatorname{ker} \psi$ is a vector bundle. From the proof of item 1, we have that all fibers $\pi_{1}^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in X$ are smooth and of dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)-2$. This shows that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \psi_{x}$ is constant as a function of $x \in X$. Hence $\operatorname{ker} \psi$ is a vector bundle and in particular $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ker} \psi)=\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$ is a irreducible variety of codimension two on $X \times \mathbb{F} o l(d)$. Therefore, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$ are irreducible varieties of dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F o l}(d)$ and $\mathcal{S}(d)=\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)} \cup \mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$. We claim that the varieties $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$ are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}(d)$. By [28, Proposition 1.5, page 5] we only require that $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)} \nsupseteq \mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d) \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)}$ we get that $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)=\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)}$. Hence $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d) \supset \mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d) \cap \mathcal{S}_{X}(d)=\emptyset$.

Recall that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F o l}(d) \mid \quad p_{2} \in C \text { for some } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant algebraic curve of degree } k\right\},
$$

is a closed set.
Proposition 2.4.2. Let $d>l_{2}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)=\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ for some $k>0$. Then

1. If $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$, then $\mathcal{S}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}(d)$.
2. If $d \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d)=\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$, then $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$.
3. If $d \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$, then $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{X}$.

Proof. Let $\pi_{2}: \mathbb{P}_{\ell}^{2} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} o l(d)$ be the projection on the second coordinate and $\pi: \mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ be the restriction of $\pi_{2}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$.

1. If $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)=\mathbb{F o l}(d)$, then all foliations with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d$ admits a invariant algebraic curve of degree $k$. Using Camacho-Sad Theorem in weighted projective plane and the projection $\pi_{2}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{S}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)\right)=\mathcal{S}(d) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 2.4.1 we have that $\mathcal{S}(d)$ is an irreducible variety and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$. From (2.4) it follows that

$$
\mathcal{S}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}(d)
$$

2. Since $d \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$ implies that $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)=\left\{p_{2}\right\} \times \mathbb{F} o l(d)$ and by the condition $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d)=\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$, we thus get $\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{p_{2}}(d)$.
3. We have that $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)=\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ is a closed set by Lemma 2.1.5. then we define the nonempty open set

$$
U_{k}=\mathbb{F} o l(d) \backslash \mathcal{C}_{k}^{p_{2}}(d)
$$

Using Camacho-Sad Theorem in weighted projective plane, we get that

$$
\pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)\right)=U_{k}
$$

By Proposition 2.4.1 we have that $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)$ is an irreducible open set of $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F} o l(d)
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} \pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)\right)=\operatorname{dim} U_{k}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\pi^{-1}\left(U_{k}\right)
$$

Taking closure on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \times \mathbb{F o l}(d)$, we get

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\overline{\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)}
$$

In particular we have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{X}(d)
$$

The next subsection, we will construct examples to prove Theorem 2

### 2.4.1 Existence of singularities without algebraic separatrix

The following family of examples allow us to obtain the bound of Theorem 2 .

Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ be a foliation in $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}, l_{2}>1$ induced by the following 1-form

$$
\eta=-l_{2} x_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0} x_{1}^{l_{2}-1}\right) d x_{0}+l_{2} x_{0} x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{l_{2}-1}-x_{0} x_{1}^{l_{2}-2}\right) d x_{1}+x_{0}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}^{l_{2}}\right) d x_{2} .
$$

Notice that $\operatorname{deg}\left(N \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=2 l_{2}+1, \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=\{[0: 1: 0],[1: 0: 0],[1: 1: 1]\}$ and $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \cap$ $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=\{[0: 1: 0]\}$.

Lemma 2.4.3. The foliation $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ does not have a $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-invariant algebraic curve passing through the point $[1: 1: 1]$.

Proof. Observe that the lines $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ are $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-invariant. Suppose there exists an algebraic curve $C$ invariant by $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ passing by $[1: 1: 1]$. Since $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \cap \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=\{[0: 1: 0]\}$ and Bézout's Theorem for weighted projective planes we conclude the $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ only intersects to $C$ at the point $[0: 1: 0]$. In the open set $U_{1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}$ the foliation $\left.\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|_{U_{1}}$ is induced by

$$
\eta_{1}=-l_{2} x_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) d x_{0}+x_{0}\left(x_{2}-1\right) d x_{2} .
$$

Note that $(0,0)$ is a saddle-node singularity with only two separatrices, then there no exists the curve $C$ passing through the point $[0: 1: 0]$.

### 2.4.2 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof is quite similar to the one presented on Theorem 1. but in contrast to that, in this case we obtain the best possible bound $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$. For this, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d) \neq \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d)$, holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$. Since the complement of $\mathcal{C}_{k}(d)$ in $\mathbb{F o l}(d)$ is an dense open set if $d \geq 2 l_{2}+1$. Reasoning by contradiction, suppose this does not hold. Then Proposition 2.4.2 and Corollary 2.3.9 would imply $\mathcal{S}_{X}(d) \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}(d)=\mathcal{S}_{X}$, that contradicts Corollary 2.3.6 for $d>2 l_{2}+1$, and Lemma 2.4.3, for $d=2 l_{2}+1$.

### 2.5 Holomorphic foliations on Hirzebruch surfaces

As in Example 1.8.5, let $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)$ be a Hirzebruch surface, $\pi: \mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ be the weighted blow-up at $p=[0: 0: 1]$ of type $\left(l_{2},(1,1)\right), E$ be the exceptional divisor, $L$ be a line passing through $p$ and $F$ be the strict transform of $L$. Observe that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}\right)=\mathbb{Z} F+\mathbb{Z} E
$$

in which $E . E=-l_{2}, F . F=0$ and $F . E=1$
We then denote by $\mathcal{R}(a, b)=\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}, \Omega_{\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}(a F+b E)\right)$ the space of holomorphic foliations with normal bundle of bidegree $(a, b)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$.

Remark 2.5.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle $d$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{G}=\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$. We denote by $k=k(p)=\operatorname{multalg}_{p}(\mathcal{F})$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
k-l_{2} & , \text { if } E \text { is } \mathcal{G} \text {-invariant, } \\
k & , \text { if } E \text { is not } \mathcal{G} \text {-invariant. }
\end{array}\right. \\
& N \mathcal{G}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}}\left(d F+\frac{d-e}{l_{2}} E\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $d-e \equiv 0\left(\bmod l_{2}\right)$.
The following proposition characterizes some foliations on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$.
Proposition 2.5.2. The following assertions hold true:

1. If $b=0$, then $a=2$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{R}(2,0)$ is a rational fibration.
2. If $b=2$, then $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ is a Ricatti foliation.

Proof. See [8, Proposition 1, page 51].
Remark 2.5.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ and suppose that $E$ is not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant. Then by tangency formula

$$
0 \leq \operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{G}, E)=-b l_{2}+a-2 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \geq b l_{2}+2 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

After we will see that this is one of the conditions for a generalization of Jouanolou's result for Hirzebruch surfaces.

The following proposition characterizes foliations on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ with some algebraic solution.
Proposition 2.5.4. If $a<b l_{2}+2$ or $b<3$ then any foliation $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ admits some invariant algebraic curve.

Proof. If $a<b l_{2}+2$, then by Remark 2.5 .3 we have that $E$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant. We can assume that $a-b l_{2} \geq 2$ and $0<b \leq 2$. Baum-Bott formula implies that $\sum B B(N \mathcal{G}, p)=N \mathcal{G}^{2}=(a F+b E)^{2}=$ $b\left(a+a-b l_{2}\right)>0$, therefore there exists a point $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $F$ be the fiber passing through the
point $p$. We claim that $F$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant. If we suppose that $F$ is not $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, then by Tangency formula we see that

$$
0<\operatorname{Tang}(\mathcal{G}, F)=N \mathcal{G} \cdot F-\chi(F)=b-2 \leq 0
$$

this is a contradiction.
Let $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$. Define two subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \times \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ by

$$
\mathcal{S}(a, b)=\left\{(x, \mathcal{G}) \in \mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \times \mathcal{R}(a, b) \mid x \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G})\right\}
$$

and
$\mathcal{D}_{\chi}(a, b)=\left\{(x, \mathcal{G}) \in \mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \times \mathcal{R}(a, b) \mid x\right.$ is in subscheme, invariant by $\mathcal{G}$, of Hilbert polynomial $\left.\chi\right\}$.
Proposition 2.5.5. The following statements are true.

1. If $b \geq 2$ and $a \geq b l_{2}+2$, then $\mathcal{S}(a, b)$ is a closed irreducible variety of $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \times \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(a, b)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R}(a, b)
$$

2. $\mathcal{D}_{\chi}(a, b)$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \times \mathcal{R}(a, b)$.

Proof. 1. Let us denote by $\Sigma(a, b)$ the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}}(a F+b E)$ on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \psi \xrightarrow{i} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}, \Omega_{\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}}^{1} \otimes \Sigma(a, b)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}}^{1} \otimes \Sigma(a, b),
$$

where $\psi(x, \eta)=\eta(x)$. We claim that $\operatorname{ker} \psi$ is a vector bundle. In fact, since

$$
\pi_{\left.\right|_{\mathbb{F}_{2} \backslash E}}: \mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \backslash E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \backslash\{[0: 0: 1]\}
$$

is an isomorphism and the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2} \backslash\{[0: 0: 1]\}$, then the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \backslash E$. Therefore ker $\psi_{x}$ has same dimension for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \backslash E$. We claim that for all $x \in E$, $\operatorname{ker} \psi_{x}$ has dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R}(a, b)-2$. In fact, we take two foliations $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $a$ induced by the 1 -forms $\eta_{1}=x_{2}^{b} A_{a-b l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)$ and $\eta_{2}=x_{2}^{b-2} B_{a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{2}\right)$ respectively, where $A_{a-b l_{2}-2}$ and $B_{a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $a-b l_{2}-2$ and $a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1$ respectively in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}=\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}\right)$ be the foliations on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$. Observe that $\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2} \in \mathcal{R}(a, b)$. In the chat $U_{2}=X\left(l_{2},(1,1)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} / \mu_{l_{2}}$ we lift $\left.\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|_{U_{2}}$ and $\left.\mathcal{F}_{2}\right|_{U_{2}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ given by

$$
\left.\eta_{1}\right|_{U_{2}}=A_{a-b l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right),
$$

and

$$
\left.\eta_{2}\right|_{U_{2}}=l_{2} B_{a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) d x_{0},
$$

respectively. We have that $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)$ is covered by $V_{0} \cup V_{1}$. The first chart on $V_{0}=X\left(1,\left(-l_{2}, 1\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=u^{1 / l_{2}} \\
x_{1}=u^{1 / l_{2}} v
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore the foliations $\left.\mathcal{G}_{1}\right|_{V_{0}}$ and $\left.\mathcal{G}_{2}\right|_{V_{0}}$ are induced by the 1-forms $\tilde{\eta}_{1}=A_{a-b l_{2}-2}(1, v) d v$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{2}=$ $B_{a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1}(1, v) d u$ respectively. Then for every $x \in E$, we can take $\tilde{\eta}_{1}(x)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{2}(x) \mathbb{C}$-linearly independent. Thus any 1 -form $\eta \in \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ such that $\eta(x) \neq 0$ can be written as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \backslash E$, it sufficient to consider the point $\pi(x)=[1: 0: 0] \in \mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ and take the two foliations $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime}$ with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $a$ induced by the 1 -forms

$$
\omega_{1}=x_{0}^{a-l_{2}}\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)+x_{2}^{b} A_{a-b l_{2}-2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\omega_{1}=x_{0}^{a-\left(l_{2}+1\right)}\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{1}\right)+x_{2}^{b-2} B_{a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\left(l_{2} x_{2} d x_{0}-x_{0} d x_{2}\right)
$$

respectively. This shows that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \psi_{x}$ is constant as a function of $x \in X$. Hence ker $\psi$ is a vector bundle and in particular $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ker} \psi)=\mathcal{S}(a, b)$ is a irreducible variety of codimension two on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}} \times \mathcal{R}(a, b)$.
2. Follows directly from [17, Lemma 5.1, page 9].

Lemma 2.5.6. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ and $C$ be an algebraic curve invariant by $\mathcal{G}$. If $b \geq 3$ and $a \geq b l_{2}+2$, then

$$
C \cap \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset
$$

Proof. We have two cases:

1. If $C=E$, then by Camacho-Sad formula $C^{2}=-l_{2}$, this implies that $C \cap \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset$.
2. If $C \neq E$, then $C=m F+n E$ in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}\right)$, with $m>0, n \geq 0$. Let us suppose that $C \cap \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G})=\emptyset$, then by Camacho-Sad formula $C^{2}=0$ and by Vanishing formula

$$
N \mathcal{G} . C=C^{2}+Z(\mathcal{G}, C)=0
$$

but $N \mathcal{G} . C=n\left(a-b l_{2}\right)+b m>0$, this is a contradiction.

We obtain a generalization of Jouanolou's Theorem for Hirzebruch surfaces.
Theorem 3. A generic foliation with normal bundle of bidegree $(a, b)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ does not admit any invariant algebraic curve if $b \geq 3$ and $a \geq b l_{2}+2$.

Proof. Consider the second projection $\pi_{2}: \mathcal{S}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ and fix now a polynomial $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree one. Suppose that $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\chi}(a, b)\right)=\mathcal{R}(a, b)$; that is, every foliation of bidegree $(a, b)$ has an algebraic invariant curve with Hilbert polynomial $\chi$. By Lemma 2.5.6 we have that

$$
\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\chi}(a, b) \cap \mathcal{S}(a, b)\right)=\pi_{2}(\mathcal{S}(a, b))
$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(a, b)$ is an irreducible variety and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}(a, b)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R}(a, b)$ by Proposition 2.5.5, we get $\mathcal{S}(a, b) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\chi}(a, b)=\mathcal{S}(a, b)$.

To conclude the theorem we take the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ of Lemma 2.4.3 of degree $2 l_{2}+1$ induced by $\eta_{1}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(1,1, l_{2}\right)}^{2}$ with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bunlde of degree $a$ induced by

$$
\eta=x_{0}^{a-b l_{2}+l_{2}-1} x_{2}^{b-3} \eta_{1}
$$

Then we note that the foliation $\mathcal{G}=\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ on $\mathbb{F}_{l_{2}}$ has bidegree $(a, b)$ and through $\pi^{-1}([1: 1: 1])$, which is singularity of $\mathcal{G}$ we do not have any invariant curve, which is a contradiction. Since there are only countable many Hilbert polynomials, we conclude the theorem.

## Chapter 3

## Components on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$

The problem of classifying of holomorphic foliations in projective spaces of dimension greater or equal than to 3 in the algebraic setting is given through the study of its irreducible components. This approach was initiated by Jouanolou in [29] who proved that the space of holomorphic codimension one foliations of degree one on $\mathbb{P}^{n}, n \geq 3$, has two irreducible components. Continuing with this work Cerveau and Lins-Neto in [13] have proved that the space of holomorphic codimension one foliations of degree two on $\mathbb{P}^{n}, n \geq 3$, has six irreducible components. In [11] Calvo Andrade, Cerveau, Giraldo and Lins Neto give a explicit construction of certain components of the space of holomorphic foliations of codimension one associated to some affine Lie algebra.

The main theorem of the thesis is to construct a family of components irreducible of the holomorphic foliations of codimension one associated to the affine Lie algebra on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. The affine Lie algebra are induced by the vector fields $l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, when $l_{0}>l_{1}$. Using these vector fields reduce the problem to the study of foliations holomorphic on weighted projective spaces.

### 3.1 Irreducible components of the space of foliations associated to the affine algebra Lie

In this section we will talk about the results that are known of irreducible components of the space of foliations which are associated to the affine algebra Lie, see 11 for more details. Let $1 \leq l_{0}<l_{1}<l_{2}$ be are positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=1$. Consider the linear vector field on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$

$$
S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{2} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} .
$$

Suppose that there is another polynomial vector field $X$ on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $[S, X]=\lambda X$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the algebraic foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(S, X)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ defined by the 1-form $\Omega=i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z)$ is associated to a representation of the affine algebra of polynomial vector fields in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, it can be extended to a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of certain degree $\nu$. The following definition is due to Calvo-Andrade, Cervau, Giraldo, Lins Neto, see [11.

$$
\mathbb{F o l}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \lambda, \nu\right):=\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{F o l}(\nu, 3) \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{F}(S, X) \text { in some affine chart }\},
$$

They show that they are irreducible subvarieties of $\mathbb{F o l}(\nu, 3)$, but not necessarily irreducible components.

Definition 3.1.1. Let $\Omega$ be an integrable 1 -form defined in a neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$. We say that $p$ is a generalized Kupka (GK) of $\Omega$ if $\Omega(p)=0$ and either $d \Omega(p) \neq 0$ or $p$ is an isolated zero of $d \Omega$. A codimension one holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in a complex three manifold $M$ is $G K$ if all the singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ are GK.

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1.2 (Calvo and et al.). Suppose that $\mathbb{F o l}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \lambda, \nu\right)$ contains some $G K$ foliation. Then

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \lambda, \nu\right)}
$$

is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{F}$ ol $(\nu, 3)$.
Corollary 3.1.3. Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. There is an $N$-dimensional irreducible component

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(d^{2}+d+1, d+1,1\right),-1, d+1\right)},
$$

of the space $\mathbb{F}$ ol $(d+1,3)$ whose general point corresponds to a GK Klein-Lie foliation with exactly one quasi-homogeneous singularity, where $N=13$ if $d=1$ and $N=14$ if $d>1$. Moreover, this component is the closure of a $\mathbb{P} G L(4, \mathbb{C})$ orbit on $\mathbb{F o l}(d+1,3)$.

The proof of can be found in [11, Theorem 1 and Corollary 3].

### 3.2 Foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ tangent to the fields $S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ with $l_{0}>l_{1}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)=1$

First, we study foliations tangent to homogeneous vector field $S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. In this case, the vector field induces a natural rational map with generic fibers equal to orbits of $S$. In the affine neighborhood $w=1$, we can take composition of the natural quotient map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{3} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right)} \\
(x, y, z) & \mapsto[x: y: z]_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

with the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right)}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2} \\
{\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]_{\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right)} } & \mapsto\left[y_{0}^{l_{1}}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi: \quad \mathbb{C}^{3} \quad \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2} \\
& (x, y, z) \mapsto \quad\left[x^{l_{1}}: y: z\right]_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

extending this map to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, we get the following rational map

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{3} & -\rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2} \\
{[x: y: z: w]} & \mapsto & {\left[x^{l_{1}} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}}: y: z\right]_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}=\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)} .}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that

1. The indeterminacy locus of $\varphi$ is composed of two points $[1: 0: 0: 0]$ and $[0: 0: 0: 1]$.
2. The generic fiber is irreducible.
3. The pre-image of the singular point $[1: 0: 0]_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}$ is the line $\{x=y=0\}$.
4. The divisorial components of its critical locus are $\{z=0\}$ (only when $l_{1}>1$ ) and $\{w=0\}$ (only when $l_{0}-l_{1}>1$ ). Both components are mapped to the curve $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$.

The following lemma characterizes the holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ tangent to the $S$ via the map $\varphi$ with the holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2}$.
Lemma 3.2.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of degree normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle d on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2}$ given by $\eta$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by $\omega$. Then

$$
\omega= \begin{cases}\varphi^{*} \eta & , \text { if }\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \text { is not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant }, \\ \frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x^{l_{1}-1} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}-1}} & , \text { if }\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant. }\end{cases}
$$

and has degree equal to

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})= \begin{cases}d-2 & , \text { if }\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \text { is not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant } \\ d-l_{0} & , \text { if }\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant. }\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore the following statements are equivalent

1. $\{x=0\}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant.
2. $\{w=0\}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant.
3. $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant.

Proof. We have two cases: In the first case, if $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is not $\mathcal{F}$-invariant, then

$$
\eta=-\frac{1}{l_{0}} A d x_{0}+B d x_{1}+C d x_{2}
$$

with $x_{0} A=x_{1} B+x_{2} C$ and $x_{0} \nmid B$, so we have that

$$
\omega=\varphi^{*} \eta=-\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} x^{l_{0}-1} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}} A \circ \varphi d x+B \circ \varphi d y+C \circ \varphi d z-\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}} x^{l_{1}} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}-1} A \circ \varphi d w
$$

Therefore $x \nmid B\left(x^{l_{1}} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}}, y, z\right)$ and $w \nmid B\left(x^{l_{1}} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}}, y, z\right)$. This implies that $\{x=0\}$ and $\{w=0\}$ are not invariant by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. Then the degree of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is $d$.

In the other case, if $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant then

$$
\eta=-\frac{A}{l_{0}} d x_{0}+x_{0} B d x_{1}+x_{0} C d x_{2}
$$

with $A=x_{1} B+x_{2} C$, so

$$
\omega=\frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x^{l_{1}-1} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}-1}}=-\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} w A \circ \varphi d x+x w B \circ \varphi d y+x w C \circ \varphi d z-\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}} x A \circ \varphi d w
$$

induces the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of degree $d-l_{0}$ such that $\{x=0\}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant and $\{w=0\}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant. Note that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\omega)=\operatorname{deg}(N \tilde{\mathcal{F}})= \begin{cases}d & , \text { if }\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \text { is not } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant } \\ d-l_{0}+2 & , \text { if }\left\{x_{0}=0\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{F} \text {-invariant }\end{cases}
$$

### 3.3 Foliations with split tangent bundle

We saw that holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ tangent to the vector field $S$ are the same as holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2}$ via the application $\varphi$. We will now investigate when the tangent bundle of the foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ tangent to $S$ split as a sum of two line-bundles. For that we need some definitions.
Definition 3.3.1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, induced by a 1 -form $\omega$.

1. The tangent sheaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, denoted by $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, is a coherent subsheaf of $T \mathbb{P}^{3}$ generated by the germs of vector fields annihilating $\omega$, i.e., for every open set $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, we have that

$$
T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)=\left\{v \in T \mathbb{P}^{3}(U) \mid i_{v} \omega=0\right\}
$$

2. We say that the tangent sheaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ splits if

$$
T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\left(e_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\left(e_{2}\right)
$$

for some integers $e_{i}$.
Remark 3.3.2. In general $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is not locally free, an example can be found in 20 .
Note that, when the tangent sheaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ splits, the inclusion of $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in $T \mathbb{P}^{3}$ induces sections $X_{i} \in$ $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, T \mathbb{P}^{3}\left(-e_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i=1,2$. It follows from the Euler sequence that these sections are defined by homogeneous vector fields of degree $1-e_{i} \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, which we still denote by $X_{i}$. The foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is induced by the homogeneous 1 -form on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$

$$
\omega=i_{X_{1}} i_{X_{2}} i_{R} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w
$$

Let us look at some previous lemmas.
Lemma 3.3.3. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with split tangent bundle i.e., $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}=T \mathcal{G} \oplus T \mathcal{H}$, and let $C$ be an irreducible hypersurfarce. Then

1. If $C$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant and $\mathcal{H}$-invariant then $C$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant.
2. If $C$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant and $\mathcal{G}$-invariant then $C$ is $\mathcal{H}$-invariant.
3. If $C$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\text {-invariant }}$ and $\mathcal{H}$-invariant then $C$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant.

Proof. In an affine chart $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, we suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by $\omega, \mathcal{G}$ is given $X_{1}, \mathcal{H}$ is given by $X_{2}$ and $C$ is given by $f$ such that $\omega=i_{X_{1}} i_{X_{2}} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z$. We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \wedge d f=X_{2}(f) i_{X_{1}} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z-X_{1}(f) i_{X_{2}} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the last equality we conclude the lemma.
Example 3.3.4. Let $S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ be a homogeneous vector field with $l_{0}>l_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ the induced foliation. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ tangent to $S$ with split tangent sheaf. Then there exists a $X$ homogeneous vector field such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by

$$
\omega=i_{R} i_{S} i_{X} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w
$$

where $R$ is radial vector field in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the induced foliation by $X$. Applying Lemma 3.3.3 we have that $\{x=0\}$ (or $\{w=0\}$ ) is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant if only if $\{x=0\}$ (or $\{w=0\}$ ) is $\mathcal{H}$-invariant.

Division lemma for the Koszul complex. Let $v$ a singular vector field on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ we denote by $\Omega^{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$ the space of $k$-forms in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. The interior product $i_{v}$ by the vector field $v$ of a $k$-form define a linear application $i_{v}: \Omega^{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$. The Koszul complex of the vector field $v$ is the complex

$$
K(v): 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{3}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{v}} \Omega^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{v}} \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{v}} \Omega^{0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The homology of this complex is the obstruction to the following property: Let $\eta$ be a $k$-form in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $i_{v} \eta=0 \in \Omega^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$, then $\eta=i_{v} \theta$ for some $k+1$-form $\theta$ if only if the class $[\eta] \in H_{k}(K(v))$ is zero.

The vector field $v$ have the following expression

$$
v=v_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+v_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+v_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
$$

and the singular set of $v$ is $Z=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \mid v_{1}(x, y, z)=v_{2}(x, y, z)=v_{3}(x, y, z)=0\right\}$. Now, we are going to state the Division lemmas where the first one is for Koszul complex.

Lemma 3.3.5. If $Z$ have dimension 0 , then

$$
H_{1}(K(v))=0 .
$$

Proof. In fact, let $\eta$ be a 1 -form in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $i_{v} \eta=0$. Since the vector field $v$ is not identically null in $U=\mathbb{C}^{3} \backslash Z$, then there is a covering $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $U$ by open sets $U_{j}$ such that $\eta=i_{v} \theta_{j}$ for some $\theta_{j} \in \Omega^{2}\left(U_{j}\right)$. Thus $i_{v}\left(\theta_{j}-\theta_{k}\right)=0$ in $U_{j} \cap U_{k}$ and therefore there are $\nu_{j k} \in \Omega^{3}\left(U_{j} \cap U_{k}\right)$ such that $\theta_{j}-\theta_{k}=i_{v} \nu_{j k}$. We can write $\nu_{j k}=f_{j k} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z, f_{j k} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{j} \cap U_{k}\right)$ and $\left\{f_{j k}\right\} \in H^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})$. Finally the hypothesis that $Z$ have dimension zero is to apply [27, Theorem 5, page 160] in order to obtain $H^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})=0$. Hence there are $f_{j} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{j}\right)$ such that $f_{j}-f_{k}=f_{j k}$ in $U_{j} \cap U_{k}$. Therefore we can define $\theta$ a 2-form in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $\eta=i_{v} \theta$ and $\left.\theta\right|_{U_{j}}=\theta_{j}-i_{v}\left(f_{j} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z\right)$.

With this Division lemma we can prove the following lemma that it will be used in Proposition 3.3.9

Lemma 3.3.6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a one-dimension foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree one, with a invariant hyperplane $H$ and isolated singularities on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash H$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ a codimension one foliation of degree $d$ containing $\mathcal{G}$. If $H$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant then $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cong T \mathcal{G} \bigoplus T \mathcal{H}$, for a suitable one-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By a change of coordinates we can assume that $H=\{w=0\}$, and in the affine chart $\mathbb{C}^{3} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash H$, we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are given $\omega$ and $S$ respectively. Since $H$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{0}+\omega_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{d}, \quad i_{R_{0}} \omega_{d} \neq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{j}$ are homogeneous 1-form and $R_{0}=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Since $i_{S} \omega=0$ and $S$ has isolated singularities in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ applying the Division Lemma 3.3.5, there exists a polynomial vector field $X$ such that

$$
\omega=i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z)
$$

We write $X=X_{0}+\cdots+X_{d-1}$ and $S=S_{0}+S_{1}$ where $X_{j}, S_{j}$ are homogeneous vector fields. Using the equality 3.2 we have that $\omega_{d}=i_{S_{1}} i_{X_{d-1}} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z$. Furthermore $i_{R_{0}} i_{S_{1}} i_{X_{d-1}} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z=i_{R_{0}} \omega_{d} \neq 0$. This implies that $X_{d-1}$ is not multiple of $R_{0}$, i.e., $X_{d-1} \wedge R_{0} \neq 0$. So, $X$ induces to a foliation $\mathcal{H}$ of degree $d-1$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\{w=0\}$ is $\mathcal{H}$-invariant. Since $\{w=0\}$ is $\mathcal{H}$-invariant and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ we have that $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \simeq T \mathcal{G} \oplus T \mathcal{H}$. This concludes the proof.

Remark 3.3.7. Note that if $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a holomorphic codimension one foliation of degree $d, \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are one-dimension foliations of degree one and $d-1$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by $\omega, S$ and $X$ respectively, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \simeq T \mathcal{G} \oplus T \mathcal{H}$ is splits.
2. $\omega=i_{R} i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w)$, where $R$ is radial vector field in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$.

Note also that any of these equivalent statements implies that there exist a generator $Y$ of the foliation $\mathcal{H}$ and an integer $\lambda$ such that $[S, Y]=\lambda Y$.

Lemma 3.3.8. Under the notations of Remark 3.3.7, any of the equivalent statements 1 and 2 implies that there exist a generator $Y$ of the foliation $\mathcal{H}$ and an integer $\lambda$ such that $[S, Y]=\lambda Y$.

Proof. By the integrability of $\omega$ one deduces that

$$
[S, X]=\lambda X+F_{1} S+F_{2} R
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $F_{1}, F_{2} \in S_{d-1}$. Recall that $S_{d-1}$ denotes the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-1$. Since, for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
i_{R} i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w)=i_{R} i_{S+\alpha R} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w)
$$

we can suppose that the linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: S_{d-1} & \rightarrow S_{d-1} \\
G & \rightarrow \lambda G-S(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

is invertible.
If we set $Y=X+\psi^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right) S+\psi^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right) R$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[S, Y] } & \left.=[S, X]+\left[S, \psi^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right) S\right]+\left[S, \psi^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right)\right) R\right] \\
& =\lambda X+F_{1} S+F_{2} R+S\left(\psi^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right)\right) S+S\left(\psi^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right)\right) R, \\
& =\lambda X+\left(F_{1}+S\left(\psi^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right)\right)\right) S+\left(F_{2}+S\left(\psi^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right)\right)\right) R, \\
& =\lambda Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $i_{R} i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w)=i_{R} i_{S} i_{Y}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w)$ to conclude the proof of the lemma.

The following proposition characterizes codimension one holomorphic foliations with splits tangent sheaf.

Proposition 3.3.9. Assume the notations and conditions of Lemma 3.2.1. Suppose that $\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})>1$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is the induced foliation by $S$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. There exists $\mathcal{H}$ a one-dimension foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that

$$
T \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \simeq T \mathcal{G} \oplus T \mathcal{H}
$$

2. $\{x=0\}$ (or $\{w=0\}$ ) is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant.
3. $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 2)$ By Remark 3.3 .7 we have that $\omega=i_{R} i_{S} i_{X}(d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w)$ and $[S, X]=\lambda X$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the induced one-dimension foliation by $X$. Suppose that $\{x=0\}$ is not $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant then by the Example 3.3.4 we have that $\{x=0\}$ is not $\mathcal{H}$-invariant. We write

$$
X=A \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+C \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+D \frac{\partial}{\partial w}
$$

where $A=A_{e}(y, z, w)+\cdots+A_{0} x^{e}, D=D_{e}(x, y, w)+\cdots+D_{0} w^{e}$. Since $\{x=0\}$ is not $\mathcal{H}$-invariant, then $A_{e} \neq 0$, i.e., there exists non zero monomial $a_{i_{0} j_{0} k_{0}} y^{i_{0}} z^{j_{0}} w^{k_{0}}$, such that $i_{0}+j_{0}+k_{0}=e$. Since $[S, X]=\lambda X$, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[S, a_{i_{0} j_{0} k_{0}} y^{i_{0}} z^{j_{0}} w^{k_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right] } & =\lambda a_{i_{0} j_{0} k_{0}} y^{i_{0}} z^{j_{0}} w^{k_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
\left(l_{1}\left(i_{0}+j_{0}\right)-l_{0}\right) a_{i_{0} j_{0} k_{0}} y^{i_{0}} z^{j_{0}} w^{k_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & =\lambda a_{i_{0} j_{0} k_{0}} y^{i_{0}} z^{j_{0}} w^{k_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x},
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=l_{1}\left(i_{0}+j_{0}\right)-l_{0}=l_{1}\left(e-k_{0}\right)-l_{0} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D_{e}=\sum_{i+j+k=e} d_{i j k} i x^{i} y^{j} z^{k}$, again using $[S, X]=\lambda X$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[S, d_{i j k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right] } & =\lambda d_{i j k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \\
\left(i l_{0}+(j+k) l_{1}\right) d_{i j k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} & =\lambda d_{i j k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i j k}\left(i l_{0}+(j+k) l_{1}-\lambda\right)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.3) and $i+j+k=e$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i l_{0}+(j+k) l_{1}-\lambda=i\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)+l_{0}+k_{0} l_{1}>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.5) in the equality (3.4) we conclude that $d_{i j k}=0, \forall i+j+k=e$, that is $D_{e}=0$ therefore, $\{w=0\}$ is $\mathcal{H}$-invariant then by Example 3.3.4 we have that $\{w=0\}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant and by Lemma 3.2.1 we have that $\{x=0\}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-invariant. This is a contradiction.
$2) \Rightarrow 1$ ) It is immediate using Lemma 3.3.6.
2) $\Leftrightarrow 3$ ) Follows from Lemma 3.2.1.

Now we will only be interested in holomorphic foliations with split tangent sheaf. The main idea to construct components is to use stability theorems for splits tangent sheaf following bellow.

Theorem 3.3.10. Let $n \geq 3, d \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d, n)$ be a singular holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ given by $\omega$. If codim $\operatorname{Sing}(d \omega) \geq 3$ and

$$
T \mathcal{F} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}\left(e_{i}\right), \quad e_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

then there exist a Zariski-open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{F}$ ol $(d, n)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $T \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}\left(e_{i}\right)$ for every $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U}$.

The proof can be found in 20 .
Observe that for applying the above theorem it is necessary a condition in the codimension of the singular set of $d \omega$. Therefore, we are going to study the codimension of the singular set of $d \omega$.

### 3.4 Codimension of the singular set of $d \omega$

Through the following lemma we can characterize the singular set $d \omega$.
Lemma 3.4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation with normal $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle of degree $d>l_{0}+1$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2}$ given by $\eta$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with split tangent bundle given by $\omega$. Then

1. If $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)=(2,1)$ then $\{x=w=0\} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\omega) \cap \operatorname{Sing}(d \omega)$.
2. If multialg ${ }_{[1: 0: 0]}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$ then $\{y=z=0\} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\omega) \cap \operatorname{Sing}(d \omega)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 .9 we have that $\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant. Therefore, we can write

$$
\eta=-\frac{A}{l_{0}} d x_{0}+x_{0} B d x_{1}+x_{0} C d x_{2}
$$

such that $A=x_{1} B+x_{2} C$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(B)=\operatorname{deg}(C)=d-l_{0}-1=q l_{0}+r, 0 \leq r<l_{0}$, we can express $B$ and $C$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=x_{0}^{q-i} B_{i l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+x_{0}^{q-(i+1)} B_{(i+1) l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{0} B_{(q-1) l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B_{q l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \\
& C=x_{0}^{q-i} C_{i l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+x_{0}^{q-(i+1)} C_{(i+1) l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{0} C_{(q-1) l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B_{q l_{0}+r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{j}, C_{j}$ are homogeneous polynomial of degree $j$ and

$$
\operatorname{multialg}_{[1: 0: 0]}(\mathcal{F})=i l_{0}+r+1
$$

Applying Lemma 3.2.1 we have that

$$
\omega=\frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x^{l_{2}-1} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}-1}}=-\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} w A \circ \varphi d x+x w B \circ \varphi d y+x w C \circ \varphi d z-\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}} x A \circ \varphi d w
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \circ \varphi=x^{l_{1}(q-i)} w^{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)(q-i)} A_{i l_{0}+r}(y, z)+\cdots+A_{q l_{0}+r}(y, z), \\
& B \circ \varphi=x^{l_{1}(q-i)} w^{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)(q-i)} B_{i l_{0}+r}(y, z)+\cdots+B_{q l_{0}+r}(y, z), \\
& C \circ \varphi=x^{l_{1}(q-i)} w^{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)(q-i)} C_{i l_{0}+r}(y, z)+\cdots+C_{q l_{0}+r}(y, z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\{x=w=0\} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\omega)$. If $i l_{0}+r \geq 2$ we have that $\{y=z=0\} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\omega)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega= & \left(\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} w(A \circ \varphi)_{y}+w B \circ \varphi+x w(B \circ \varphi)_{x}\right) d x \wedge d y \\
& +\left(\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} w(A \circ \varphi)_{z}+w C \circ \varphi+x w(C \circ \varphi)_{x}\right) d x \wedge d z \\
& +\left(\frac{2 l_{1}-l_{0}}{l_{0}} A \circ \varphi+\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} w(A \circ \varphi)_{w}-\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}} x(A \circ \varphi)_{x}\right) d x \wedge d w \\
& +x w\left((C \circ \varphi)_{y}-(B \circ \varphi)_{z}\right) d y \wedge d z \\
& -x\left(B \circ \varphi+w(B \circ \varphi)_{w}+\frac{l_{0}-l_{1}}{l_{0}}(A \circ \varphi)_{y}\right) d y \wedge d w \\
& -x\left(C \circ \varphi+w(C \circ \varphi)_{w}+\frac{l_{0}-l_{1}}{l_{1}}(A \circ \varphi)_{z}\right) d z \wedge d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, note that if $\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)=(2,1)$ we see that $\{x=w=0\} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(d \omega)$. If $i l_{0}+r \geq 2$ we have that $\{y=z=0\} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(d \omega)$. This concludes the lemma.

In the case multialg ${ }_{[1: 0: 0]}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$, it is possible to find examples of foliations induced by $\omega$ such that $d \omega$ has isolated singularities, as we see below.

Example 3.4.2. In the case multialg ${ }_{[1: 0: 0]}(\mathcal{F})=1$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2}$ given by

$$
\eta=-\left(x_{0}^{q} x_{1}-2 x_{0}^{q} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{q l_{0}}-x_{1}^{q l_{0}} x_{2}\right) d x_{0}+l_{0} x_{0}\left(x_{0}^{q}+x_{2}^{q l_{0}}\right) d x_{1}+l_{0} x_{0}\left(-2 x_{0}^{q}-x_{1}^{q l_{0}}\right) d x_{2}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})=(q+1) l_{0}+1, q \geq 1, l_{0}>l_{1} \geq 1$ and $l_{0} \geq 3$.
Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega= & \frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x^{l_{1}-1} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}-1}}, \\
\omega= & -l_{1} w\left(x^{q l_{1}} y w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}-2 x^{q l_{1}} z w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+y z^{q l_{0}}-y^{q l_{0}} z\right) d x+l_{0} x w\left(x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+z^{q l_{0}}\right) d y \\
& +l_{0} x w\left(-2 x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}-y^{q l_{0}}\right) d z-\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right) x\left(x^{q l_{1}} y w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}-2 x^{q l_{1}} z w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+y z^{q l_{0}}-y^{q l_{0}} z\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(N \tilde{\mathcal{F}})=q l_{0}+3$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})=q l_{0}+1$.
We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega= & w\left(\left(l_{1}+(q+1) l_{0}\right) x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right) z^{q l_{0}}-l_{1} y^{q l_{0}-1} z\right) d x \wedge d y \\
& +w\left(-2\left(l_{1}+l_{0}\left(q l_{1}+1\right)\right) x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+q l_{0} l_{1} y z^{q l_{0}-1}-\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right) y^{q l_{0}}\right) d x \wedge d z \\
& +\left(2 l_{1}-l_{0}\right)\left(x^{q l_{1}} y w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}-2 x^{q l_{1}} z w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+y z^{q l_{0}}-y^{q l_{0}} z\right) d x \wedge d w \\
& -q l_{0}^{2} x w\left(z^{q l_{0}-1}-y^{q l_{0}-1}\right) d y \wedge d z \\
& +x\left(-\left(2 l_{0}-l_{1}\right) z^{q l_{0}}+q l_{0} y^{q l_{0}-1} z-\left(\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)\left(q l_{0}+1\right)+l_{0}\right) x^{q l_{0}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}\right) d y \wedge d w \\
& +x\left(2\left(l_{0}\left(q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)+1\right)+l_{0}-l_{1}\right) x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}+\left(2 l_{0}-l_{1}\right) y^{q l_{0}}-q l_{0}\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right) y z^{q l_{0}-1}\right) d z \wedge d w
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(d \omega)=\left\{[0: 0: 0: 1],[1: 0: 0: 0],[0: \xi: 1: 0] \mid \xi^{q l_{0}-1}=1\right\}
$$

Furthermore by Proposition 3.3 .9 we have that

$$
\omega=i_{R} i_{S} i_{X} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w
$$

where $R=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, and

$$
X=-\left(2 x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}-y^{q l_{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\left(x^{q l_{1}} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}-z^{q l_{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} .
$$

It verifies that

$$
[S, X]=l_{1}\left(q l_{0}-1\right) X
$$

Example 3.4.3. Now the case multialg ${ }_{[1: 0: 0]}(\mathcal{F})=2$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\left(l_{0}, 1,1\right)}^{2}$ given by

$$
\eta=-\frac{\left(x_{1}^{e+1}+x_{2}^{e+1}\right)}{l_{0}} d x_{0}+x_{0}\left(-x_{0}^{q} x_{2}+x_{1}^{e}\right) d x_{1}+x_{0}\left(x_{0}^{q} x_{1}+x_{2}^{e}\right) d x_{2}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(N \mathcal{F})=(q+1) l_{0}+2, e=q l_{0}+1, q \geq 1, l_{0}>l_{1} \geq 1$ and $l_{0} \geq 3$.
Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega= & \frac{\varphi^{*} \eta}{x^{l_{1}-1} w^{l_{0}-l_{1}-1}} \\
\omega= & -\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} w\left(y^{e+1}+z^{e+1}\right) d x+x w\left(-x^{l_{1} q} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)} z+y^{e}\right) d y \\
& +x w\left(x^{l_{1} q} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)} y+z^{e}\right) d z+-\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}} x\left(y^{e+1}+z^{e+1}\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(N \tilde{\mathcal{F}})=q l_{0}+4$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})=q l_{0}+2$.
Hence we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega= & w\left(\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}}(e+1) y^{e}+y^{e}-\left(l_{1} q+1\right) x^{l_{1} q} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right) z}\right) d x \wedge d y \\
& +w\left(\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}}(e+1) z^{e}+z^{e}+\left(l_{1} q+1\right) x^{l_{1} q} w^{q\left(l_{0} l_{1}\right)} y\right) d x \wedge d z \\
& +2 x^{l_{1} q+1} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)+1} d y \wedge d z \\
& -x\left(\left(\left(\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}}(e+1)+1\right) y^{e}-\left(q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)+1\right) x^{l_{1} q} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)} z\right) d y \wedge d w\right. \\
& -x\left(\left(\frac{\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}{l_{0}}(e+1)+1\right) z^{e}+\left(q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)+1\right) x^{l_{1} q} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)} y\right) d z \wedge d w \\
& +\frac{\left(2 l_{1}-l_{0}\right)}{l_{0}}\left(y^{e+1}+z^{e+1}\right) d x \wedge d w
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(d \omega)=\left\{[0: 0: 0: 1],[1: 0: 0: 0],[0:-\xi: 1: 0] \mid \xi^{e+1}=1\right\}
$$

Also by Proposition 3.3.9 we have that

$$
\omega=i_{R} i_{S} i_{X} d x \wedge d y \wedge d z \wedge d w
$$

where $R=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+w \frac{\partial}{\partial}, S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, and

$$
X=-\frac{x^{q l_{1}+1} w^{q\left(l_{0}-l_{1}\right)}}{l_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{z^{l_{1}}}{l_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\frac{y^{e}}{l_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

and verify that

$$
[S, X]=l_{0} l_{1} q X
$$

### 3.5 Automorphism of a foliation

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension one foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by $\omega$. The automorphism group of $\mathcal{F}, \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$, is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)=\mathbb{P}(G L(\mathbb{C}, 4))$ formed by automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ which send $\mathcal{F}$ to itself. In other words

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right) \mid \phi^{*} \omega \wedge \omega=0\right\}
$$

$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ is clearly a closed subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$, and therefore the connected component of the identity is a finite dimensional connected Lie group. We will denote by $\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$ its Lie algebra, which can be identified with a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a u t}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)=\mathfrak{s l}(4)$, more specifically,

$$
\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{v \in \mathfrak{a u t}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right) \mid L_{v} \omega \wedge \omega=0\right\}
$$

where $L$ is the Lie derivative. We define the $\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})$ as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$ annihilating $\omega$, i.e.,

$$
\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{v \in \mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F}) \mid i_{v} \omega=0\right\} .
$$

Notice that $\operatorname{fix}(\mathcal{F})$ is nothing more than $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, T \mathcal{F}\right)$. We also point out that $\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$, and the subgroup $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ generated by $\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})$ is not necessarily closed.

We have now the following lemma. The proof is an adaption of an argument of Cerveau and Mattei, see [14, page 35-36].

Lemma 3.5.1. The following assertions hold true:

1. If $\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})=\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$ then $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to an algebraic action.
2. If $\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$ then $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by a closed rational 1-form without divisorial components in its zero set.

Proof. The connected component of the identity of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed. If $\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})=\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$ then $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ is also closed and therefore correspond to an algebraic subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$. Item 1 follows. To prove Item 2, let $v$ be a vector field in $\mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})-\mathfrak{f i x}(\mathcal{F})$. If $\omega \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(d+2)\right)$, where $d$ is the degree of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the closed meromorphic 1 -form over $\mathbb{P}^{3}$

$$
\tilde{\omega}=\frac{\omega}{i_{v} \omega} .
$$

It is sufficient to show that $\tilde{\omega}$ is closed 1-form. In fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{\omega}=\frac{\omega \wedge d \omega(v)-\omega(v) d \omega}{(\omega(v))^{2}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v \in \mathfrak{a u t}(\mathcal{F})$ we have that

$$
L_{v}(\omega) \wedge \omega=0
$$

where $L_{v}=d i_{v}+i_{v} d$ is the Lie derivative. Therefore

$$
d \omega(v) \wedge d \omega+i_{v}(d \omega) \wedge \omega=0
$$

By the integrability of $\omega$ we obtain

$$
\omega(v) d \omega+\left(i_{v} d \omega\right) \omega=0
$$

From this last equality we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \wedge d \omega(v)-\omega(v) d \omega=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing (3.7) by 3.6 we conclude that $d \tilde{\omega}=0$.

### 3.6 Irreducible components on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ tangent to $S=l_{0} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+$ $l_{1} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$

For the foliations of higher degree, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4. If $l_{0}>l_{1}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)=1, l_{0} \geq 3$ and $q \geq 1$, then

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right), l_{1}\left(q l_{0}-1\right), q l_{0}+1\right)},
$$

is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{F o l}\left(q l_{0}+1,3\right)$ and

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Fol}\left(\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, l_{1}\right), l_{0} l_{1} q, q l_{0}+2\right)},
$$

is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{F o l}\left(q l_{0}+2,3\right)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the foliation of the Example 3.4 .2 or 3.4 .3 given by $\omega$ which is generated by two one-dimensional foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, say $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, the foliations defined by the homogeneous vector fields $S$ and $X$ respectively, furthermore its tangent bundle $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ splits as the sum of two line bundles $T \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cong T \mathcal{G} \oplus T \mathcal{H}$.

Now, let $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \in \Sigma}, 0 \in \Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic family of foliations such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{F}_{0}$. Since $d \omega$ has isolated singularities, applying the Theorem 3.3.10 we have that for small $|t|$,

$$
T \mathcal{F}_{t}=T \mathcal{G}_{t} \oplus T \mathcal{H}_{t}
$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ is generated by two one-dimension foliations $\mathcal{G}_{t}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{t}$. As as consequence, $\mathcal{G}_{t}$ is generated by a global vector field $S_{t}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with zeros of codimension at least two. Notice that $\mathbb{C} S_{t} \subset \mathfrak{f i x}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{f i x}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \neq \mathfrak{a u t}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$. Lemma 3.5.1 implies that $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ is given by a closed meromorphic 1-form with zero set of codimension at least two, then by [33, Lemma 5.4] implies that $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ can be deformed to a foliation defined by a logarithmic 1 -form. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ belongs to irreducible components of type rational or logarithmic. On the other hand [21, Theorem 3] that says the generic element of the logarithmic foliation of degree greater than or equal 3 on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ has isolated singularity and thus its tangent sheaf is not split, this is a contradiction.

If we assume $\mathfrak{f i x}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\mathfrak{a u t}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{f i x}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)>1$ then, as $S_{t}$ has no divisorial components in its zero set, any two elements in it will generate $T \mathcal{F}_{t}$. Thus $T \mathcal{F}_{t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, this is a contradiction with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})>3$.

Finally, we have that $\mathfrak{f i x}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\mathfrak{a u t}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\mathbb{C} S_{t}$. Lemma 3.5.1 $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ is tangent to action of onedimension Lie group. We can see $S_{t}$ as a deformation of $S$ by automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. In open set $U_{3}=\mathbb{C}^{3}$ we can write

$$
S_{t}=\left(\lambda_{1}(t) x+a_{1}(t) y+a_{2}(t) z\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(\lambda_{2}(t) y+a_{3}(t) z\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\lambda_{3}(t) z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

such that $S_{0}=S=l_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+l_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+l_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Notice that we can take $S_{t}$ sufficiently close to $S$ and similarly $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ sufficiently close to $l_{0}, l_{1}$ and $l_{1}$ respectively. Hence $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \neq 0$. Since the solutions of foliation induced by $S_{t}$ are algebraic, we have two possibilities: Either $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$, or $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=0$. We conclude that $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the conditions $\lambda_{1}(0)=l_{0}, \lambda_{2}(0)=l_{1}, \lambda_{3}(0)=l_{1}$ it follows that $\lambda_{1}=l_{0}, \lambda_{2}=l_{1}, \lambda_{3}=l_{1}$. This proves the theorem.
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