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#### Abstract

We first obtain a height estimate for a compact embedded surface $\Sigma$ with positive constant mean curvature $H$ in a product space $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ is a Hadamard surface with curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}^{2}} \leq-\kappa \leq 0$ and we show that this estimate is optimal. After, we give a condition that implies $\Sigma$, a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ whose boundary is a convex planar curve, stays in a half-space.

Moreover we prove that if $\Gamma_{n} \subset Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ is a sequence of embedded curves converging to point $q$, and $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$are embedded compact $H$-surfaces with $\Gamma_{n}=\partial \Sigma_{n}$, then there is a subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ that either converges to point $q$ or to the rotationally invariant constant mean curvature sphere $S_{H}^{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$tangent to $Q$ at $q$.

Finally, we prove that isolated singularities of sections with precribed mean curvature of a Riemanninan submersion fibered by geodesics of a vertical Killing field, are removable. Also we obtain information on the growth of the difference of two sections $u, v: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{M}$, having the same prescribed mean curvature and $u=v$ on $\partial \Omega$.


Keywords: H-surfaces, Half-Space, removable singularities, Riemannian submersions

## Resumo

Primeiramente obtemos uma estimativa de altura para superfície $\Sigma$ compacta mergulhada com curvatura média constante $H$ em $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, onde $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ uma superfície de Hadamard com curvatura $K_{\mathbb{M}^{2}} \leq-\kappa \leq 0$ e mostramos que esta estimativa é ótima. Apresentamos, em seguida, uma condição que implica que uma $H$-superfície $\Sigma$ compacta mergulhada cujo bordo é uma curva convexa plana, permaneça em um dos semiespaços.

Além disso provamos que se $\Gamma_{n} \subset Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ é uma sequência de curvas mergulhadas convergindo a $q$ e $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$são $H$-superfícies compactas com $\Gamma_{n}=\partial \Sigma_{n}$, então existe uma subsequência de $\Sigma_{n}$ que converge a $q$ ou a $S_{H}^{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$tangente a $Q$.

Finalmente, provamos que singularidades isoladas de seções com curvatura média prescrita de uma submersão Riemanniana cujas fibras são geodésicas de um campo Killing são removíveis. Também obtemos informações sobre o crescimento da diferença de duas

Palavras-chave: H-superfície, semiespaço, singularidade removível, submersão Riemanniana
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## Introduction

In the last decade the geometry of surfaces in the three dimensional homogeneous manifolds has been actively studied. In 1978, when Thurston gave a course at Princeton University, whose subject was the geometry and topology of three dimensional manifolds, he showed that these spaces admit "nice" metrics, i.e, can be endowed with a complete metric with a large isometry group.

Indeed, in Scott's work [28], which is based on Thurston's results, we can see the classification of the homogeneous simply connected 3-dimensional manifolds. Such a manifold has an isometry group of dimension 3,4 or 6 . When the dimension of the isometry group is 6 , then we have a space form. When the dimension of the isometry group is 4 , these manifolds, $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$, admit natural equivariant Riemannian submersions over 2-dimensional space forms $\mathbb{M}^{2}(\kappa) ; \mathbb{M}^{2}(\kappa)=\mathbb{S}^{2}(\kappa)$ for $\kappa \geq 0, \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for $\kappa=0$, and $\mathbb{H}^{2}(\kappa)$ for $\kappa<0$ with 1-dimensional, totally-geodesic fibers and are classified, up to isometry, by the curvature $\kappa$ of the base surface of the fibration and the bundle curvature $\tau$, where $\kappa$ and $\tau$ can be any real number satisfying $\kappa \neq 4 \tau^{2}$. They are the Berger spheres, the Heisenberg group, the special linear group $S l(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the Riemannian product $\mathbb{S}^{2}(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{2}(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$. When the dimension of the isometry group is 3 , the manifold has the geometry of the Lie group $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$.

In recent years the theory of constant mean curvature surfaces in these three-dimensional homogeneous spaces has been rapidly developed by many mathematicians, see for example [1], [2], [7], [8], [12], [18], [20], [29]. One reason for this was the work of Abresch and Rosenberg [1], where they found a holomorphic quadratic differential on any constant mean curvature
surface of a homogeneous Riemannian 3-manifold with isometry group of dimension 4.

In this thesis we make some contributions to this theory. First we will begin to obtain an a priori estimate for the height of a embedded compact constant mean curvature surface in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ is a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{M} \leq-\kappa \leq 0, \kappa$ constant, with boundary belonging to the plane $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$ and transverse to the plane. More specifically, if $\Sigma$ is a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, with boundary belonging to $Q=\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}, \Gamma=\partial \Sigma$, and transverse to the plane $Q$, we denote $\Sigma^{+}=\Sigma \cap \mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}=\Sigma \cap \mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}$. We call $\Sigma_{1}$ the connected component of $\Sigma^{+}$that contains $\Gamma$.

Let $\hat{\Sigma}_{1}$ be the symmetry of $\Sigma_{1}$ through the plane $Q$. Then $\hat{\Sigma}_{1} \cup \Sigma_{1}$ is a compact surface with no boundary and bounds a domain $U$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $U_{1}$ be the intersection of $U$ with the half-space above $Q$. Thus $U_{1}$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, whose boundary, $\partial U_{1}$, consists of the smooth connected surface $\Sigma_{1}$, and the union $\Omega$ of finitely smooth, compact and connected surfaces in $Q$.

We define $A^{+}$the area of $\Sigma_{1}$. Then, using this notation we get
Let $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ be a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}} \leq-\kappa \leq 0$. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, with boundary belonging to $Q=\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$ and transverse to the plane $Q$. If $h$ denotes the height of $\Sigma$ with respect to $Q$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \leq \frac{H A^{+}}{2 \pi}-\frac{\kappa V o l\left(U_{1}\right)}{4 \pi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{+}$is and $U_{1}$ are as defined above. The equality holds if, and only if, $K \equiv-\kappa$ inside $U_{1}$ and $\Sigma$ is a rotational spherical cap.
The study of height estimates for a constant mean curvature surface started with Serrin [27]. Serrin observed that a compact constant mean curvature graph in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, with curvature $H>0$, with planar boundary has height at most $\frac{1}{H}$ above the plane, and by Alexandrov reflection technique [3], one has that a compact constant mean curvature surface with planar boundary cannot extend more than $\frac{2}{H}$. Observe that this estimate is optimal because it is attained by the hemisphere of radius $\frac{1}{H}$.

Later N. Korevaar, R. Kusner, W. Meeks and B. Solomon [16] gave an optimal bound for graphs and for compact embedded surfaces in the hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ with non zero constant mean curvature and boundary on
a plane. On the other hand, for the product space $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ of a Riemannian surface $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ and the real line $\mathbb{R}$, Aledo, Espinar and Gálvez [2] obtained the following

Theorem 1 (Aledo, Espinar, Gálvez). Let $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ be a Riemannian surface without boundary. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact graph in a set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, with constant mean curvature $H>0$ and whose boundary belongs to $Q=\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$. Let c be the minimum of the Gauss curvature on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then the maximum height that $\Sigma$ can rise above $Q$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{4 H}{\sqrt{-4 c H^{2}-c^{2}}} \arcsin \left(\frac{\sqrt{-c}}{2 H}\right) \text { if } c<0 \text { and } H>\frac{\sqrt{-c}}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{H} \text { if } c=0, \\
\frac{4 H}{\sqrt{4 c H^{2}+c^{2}}} \arcsin \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2 H}\right) \text { if } c>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, if the equality holds, then $\Omega$ has constant Gauss curvature $c$ and the Abresch-Rosenberg differential vanishes identically on $\Sigma$.

Observe that our estimate has a different nature from that of Aledo, Espinar and Gálvez [2]. Our estimate depends on area and volume and not on the size of $H$. The hypothesis $H>\frac{\sqrt{-c}}{2}$ is not necessary in our result. Our estimate is inspired by the paper [18].

Our second result is to give the following sufficient condition for a compact constant mean curvature surface in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ to be contained in a halfspace

Let $\Sigma$ be a compact constant mean curvature $H$ surface embedded into $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H>\frac{1}{2}$, whose boundary is a convex planar curve contained in the plane $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$. If $h_{\Sigma}<\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ and $h_{S_{H}}$ are the height of the surfaces $\Sigma$ and the $H-$ sphere (embedded rotationally invariant cmc sphere given by [1]), respectively. Then $\Sigma$ stays in a halfspace determined by $Q$ and is transverse to $Q$ along the boundary. Moreover, $\Sigma$ inherits the symmetries of its boundary.

For the constant mean curvature $H$ surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ whose boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Sigma$ is a convex curve in a plane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, Earp, Brito, Meeks, Rosenberg
proved in [25] that if $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a embedded constant mean curvature surface whose boundary is a convex curve contained in a plane $P$ and if $\Sigma$ is transverse to $P$ along its boundary, then $\Sigma$ is containted in one of the halfspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ determined by $P$. Lopes and Montiel in [18] give other conditions: if $\operatorname{Area}(\Sigma) H^{2} \leq 2 \pi$, where $\Sigma$ is a constant mean curvature $H$ surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then $\Sigma$ stays in a halfspace determined by $P$.

One direct consequence of this last theorem is that:
Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H>\frac{1}{2}$, with convex planar boundary. If $h_{\Sigma}<\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ is the height of $\Sigma$ and $h_{S_{H}}$ is the height of the rotational $H$-sphere, then the surface $\Sigma$ is a graph.

Thus using this result joined with the Theorem 1 we get: Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, with convex planar boundary. Then $\Sigma$ is a graph if, and only if, $h_{\Sigma}<\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ is the height of $\Sigma$ and $h_{S_{H}}$ is the height of the rotational $H$-sphere.

Another interesting problem we will consider is the convergence of a sequence of constant mean curvature $H$ surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with boundary contained in the slice $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$. In this case we prove that:

Let $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$be $H$-surfaces and $\Gamma_{n}=\partial \Sigma_{n} \subset D\left(r_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} / x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq r_{n}\right\}$, with $r_{n}$ a sequence converging to zero. Then there is a subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ that either converges to the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$or to the rotationally invariant constant mean curvature sphere $S_{H}^{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$tangent to $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ at $O$. In the first case the surfaces converge as subsets and in the second the convergence is smooth on compact subsets of $\left\{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}\right\}-\{O\}$.

This kind of problem was proposed by Wente [30] when $\Gamma_{n}$ is an arbitrary Jordan curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ converging to a point $p$ and $\Sigma_{n}$ is an immersed topological disc bounded by $\Gamma_{n}$ which minimizes area among disks bounding a fixed algebraic volume. Later Ros and Rosenberg [23] solved this problem when $\Gamma_{n}$ is a sequence of embedded (perhaps nonconnected) curves converging to a point $p$ and $\Sigma_{n}$ is a sequence of $H$-surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ whose boundary is contained in the plane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. We use ideas in [23] to prove our result above.

Finally, we work with Riemannian submersions $\pi: \bar{M}^{n+1} \rightarrow M^{n}$ whose vertical fibers are given by flow lines of a unit Killing field. Observe that among the ambients for which this Riemannian submersion applies, we have the the Heisenberg spaces and the $S l(2, \mathbb{R})$.

In these Riemannian submersions we will prove that
Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain and $p \in \Omega$. Let $u: \Omega-\{p\} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ be a function whose Killing graph has prescribed mean curvature $H$. Then $u$ extends smoothly to a solution at $p$.

This kind of theorem was first proved for minimal graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ by L . Bers [6]. R. Finn generalized this to prove the constant mean curvature equation has removable singularities [13]. We refer the reader to the paper of Nitsche [21] for some history.

The last result of this thesis is the following theorem
Let $\Omega \subset M^{n}$ be a domain, $M^{n}$ the base of a Killing submersion, such that $\Omega$ intersects the boundary of each geodesic ball centered at a fixed point in a region whose volume is bounded by a constant times the radius, and let $u, v$ be two $C^{2}(\Omega)$ functions such that their Killing graphs have the same mean curvature, $H(u)=H(v)$ in $\Omega$ and $u \mid \partial \Omega$ and $v \mid \partial \Omega$ are piecewise differentiable and coincide in the points of continuity. Let $M(r)=\sup |u-v|$ where

$$
\Lambda_{r}=\Omega \cap\{x \in M ; \operatorname{dist}(x, a)=r\} \text {. Then } \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\log r}>0
$$

$$
\text { if } u \neq v \text {. If the volume of } \Lambda_{r} \text { is uniformly bounded then }
$$

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{r}>0 .
$$

Pascal Collin and Romain Krust studied graphs $u$, $v$ over non compact domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, that have the same mean curvature and with $u=v$ on $\partial \Omega$. They proved that when $u \neq v$, then $|u-v|$ must grow at least like $\log (r), r$ radial distance in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

This theorem of Collin and Krust, and its technique of proof, have had many applications and generalizations.

We showed that their techniques apply to sections $u, v: \Omega \subset M \rightarrow \bar{M}$, provided the volume of $\Omega$ intersected with the geodesic spheres of $M$, grows at most linearly in the radius of the sphere. For example, when $\bar{M}=$ Heisenberg Space and $M=$ the flat $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, this is always the case.

We conclude that for graphs of prescribed mean curvature in Heisenberg space, over domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, there is at most one bounded solution of the mean curvature equation over $\Omega$, with given boundary values. In particular, a bounded entire minimal graph is constant.

The organization of this thesis is as follows.
In Chapter 1, we first obtain a height estimate for a compact embedded surface $\Sigma$ with positive constant mean curvature $H$ in a product space $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and we show that this estimate is optimal. After, we give a condition that implies $\Sigma$, a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ whose boundary is a convex planar curve, stays in a half-space. We conclude using these two results that if $\Sigma$ is a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with convex planar boundary and the height of the surface $\Sigma$ is less than or equal to the height of a hemisphere of a complete rotational sphere with constant mean curvature $H$, then $\Sigma$ is a graph. The equality holds if and only if $\Sigma$ is the rotational H -hemisphere.

In Chapter 2, we will prove that if $\Gamma_{n} \subset Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ is a sequence of embedded curves converging to point $q$, and $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$are embedded compact $H$-surfaces and $\Gamma_{n}=\partial \Sigma_{n}$, then there is a subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ that either converges to point $q$ or to the rotationally invariant constant mean curvature sphere $S_{H}^{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$tangent to $Q$ at $q$. In the first case the surfaces converge as subsets and in the second the convergence is smooth on compact subsets of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}-\{q\}$.

Finally, in Chapter 3, we will prove that isolated singularities of sections with precribed mean curvature of a Riemanninan submersion fibered by geodesics of a vertical Killing field, are removable. Also we obtain information on the growth of the difference of two sections $u, v: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{M}$, having the same prescribed mean curvature and $u=v$ on $\partial \Omega$. This generalizes Theorem 2 of [9].

## CHAPTER 1

## Height estimates for cmc surfaces in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$

### 1.1 Introduction

We first obtain a height estimate for a compact embedded surface $\Sigma$ with positive constant mean curvature $H$ in a product space $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. We suppose that $\Sigma$ has planar boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Sigma$, i. e., $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$, and $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ is a Hadamard surface (complete, simply connected, and has everywhere non-positive sectional curvature) with curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}^{2}} \leq-\kappa \leq 0$. Height estimates, when they exist, usually depend only on $H$. Our estimates depend also on area and volume. We show that this estimate is optimal and the equality holds if and only if $\Sigma$ is a rotational spherical cap.

We then prove that if $\Sigma$ is a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ whose boundary, $\Gamma=\partial \Sigma$, is a convex planar curve contained in $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ and the height of the surface $\Sigma$ is less than or equal the height of the hemisphere of the rotational sphere with constant mean curvature $H$, then $\Sigma$ stays in a half-space determined by $Q$ and is transverse to $Q$ along the boundary and inherits the same symmetries of its boundary.

We conclude using these two results that if $\Sigma$ is a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with convex planar boundary and the height of the surface $\Sigma$ is less than or equal to the height of the hemisphere of the rotational sphere with constant mean curvature $H$, then $\Sigma$ is a graph. The equality holds if and only if $\Sigma$ is the rotational $H$-hemisphere.

### 1.2 The height estimates

In this section we first obtain an priori estimate for the height of a compact constant mean curvature surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with planar boundary, where $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ is a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}^{2}} \leq-\kappa \leq 0$. The existence of height estimates reveals, in general, important properties on the geometric behaviour of these surfaces, as we can see in [15], for example. Our estimate has a different nature from those of Serrin [27] and Aledo, Espinar and Gálvez [2]. Our estimate is inspired by the paper [18].

We then give a condition that implies if $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a H-surfaces with $\partial \Sigma \subset Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ then $\Sigma$ lies on one side of its boundary plane. Now we give some notation.

Let $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a 3-dimensional ambient space where $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ is a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}^{2}} \leq-\kappa \leq 0$. Let us denote by $g_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}$ the metric of $\mathbb{M}^{2}$. Thus the canonical metric product of $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by $g_{\mathrm{M}^{2}}+d t^{2}$.

Let $\gamma$ be a horizontal complete geodesic in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$, then $\mathbb{M}^{2}-\{\gamma\}$ has two connected components. We will distinguish them using the following notation.

Definition 1.2.1. Let $J$ be the standard counter-clockwise rotation operator of $\mathbb{M}^{2}$. We call exterior set of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2}, \operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}(\gamma)$, the connected component of $\mathbb{M}^{2}-\{\gamma\}$ towards wich $J \gamma^{\prime}$ points. The other connected component of $\mathbb{M}^{2}-\{\gamma\}$ is called the interior set of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ and denoted by int $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}(\gamma)$.
Definition 1.2.2. Given a complete oriented geodesic $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$, we will call $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ a vertical plane of $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and we will call a slice $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{\tau\}$ a horizontal plane.

Note that a vertical plane is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and horizontal plane is isometric to $\mathbb{M}^{2}$. Throughout this paper we will denote vertical planes by $P$ and horizontal planes by $Q(\tau)=\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{\tau\}, Q(0)=Q$.

The notion of interior and exterior domain of a horizontal oriented geodesic extend naturally to vertical planes.
Definition 1.2.3. For a complete oriented geodesic $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\} \equiv \mathbb{M}^{2}$ we call, respectively interior and exterior of a vertical plane $P=\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ the sets

$$
\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)=\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}(\gamma) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)=\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}(\gamma) \times \mathbb{R} .
$$

We will often use the foliations by vertical plane of $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. We use now make this precise.

Definition 1.2.4. Let $P$ be a vertical plane in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma(t)$ be an oriented horizontal geodesic in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$ with $t$ arc length along $\gamma, \gamma(0)=p_{0} \in P, \gamma^{\prime}(0)$ orthogonal to $P$ at $p_{0}$ and $\gamma(t) \in e x t_{\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)$ for $t>0$. We define the oriented foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$, denoted by $P_{\gamma}(t)$ to be vertical planes orthogonal to $\gamma(t)$ with $P=P_{\gamma}(0)$.

Let $P_{\gamma}(t)$ be the foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$ with $P_{\gamma}(0)=P$ and $q \in P\left(t_{0}\right)$. Let $\xi$ denote the horizontal Killing field of $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ generated by translation along $\gamma\left(\xi\right.$ is tangent to each $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{\tau\}$ and is translation along $\gamma \times\{\tau\}), \xi$ is orthogonal to the planes $P_{\gamma}(t)$.

Remark: Throughout this paper a $H$-surface $\Sigma$ means a compact constant mean curvature (equal to $H$ ) surface in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\partial \Sigma \subset \mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$.

### 1.3 The Main Result

Let $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ be a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{M} \leq-\kappa \leq$ 0 and $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, with boundary belonging to $Q=\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$. Let $\Gamma$ be the boundary of $\Sigma, \Gamma=\partial \Sigma$. We assume $\Sigma$ is transverse to $Q$ along $\Gamma$.

We denote $\Sigma^{+}=\Sigma \cap\left(\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $\Sigma^{-}=\Sigma \cap\left(\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}\right)$. So there is a connected component of $\Sigma^{+}$or $\Sigma^{-}$that contains $\Gamma$. We can assume, without loss of generality, that $\Gamma \subset \partial \Sigma^{+}$. We call $\Sigma_{1}$ the connected component of $\Sigma^{+}$ that contains $\Gamma$.

Let $\hat{\Sigma}_{1}$ be the symmetry of $\Sigma_{1}$ through the plane $Q$. Then $\hat{\Sigma}_{1} \cup \Sigma_{1}$ is a compact embedded surface with no boundary, with corners along $\partial \Sigma_{1}$, and bounds a domain $U$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $U_{1}$ the intersection of $U$ with the half-space above $Q$. Thus $U_{1}$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, whose boundary, $\partial U_{1}$, consists of the smooth connected surface $\Sigma_{1}$, and the union $\Omega$ of finitely smooth, compact and connected surfaces in $Q$. We define $A^{+}$ to be the area of $\Sigma_{1}$.
Theorem 1.3.1. Let $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ be a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}} \leq$ $-\kappa \leq 0$. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, with boundary belonging to $Q=\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$ and transverse to $Q$. If h denotes the height of $\Sigma$ with respect to $Q$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \leq \frac{H A^{+}}{2 \pi}-\frac{\kappa \operatorname{Vol}\left(U_{1}\right)}{4 \pi} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{+}$and $U_{1}$ are as defined above. The equality holds if, and only if, $K \equiv-\kappa$ inside $U_{1}$ and $\Sigma$ is a rotational spherical cap.

Proof. From the surface $\Sigma$ we obtain the surface $\Sigma_{1}$, the bounded domain $U_{1} \subset \mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the union $\Omega$ of finitely smooth, compact and connected surfaces in $Q$, as described above. Denote $\vec{H}$ the mean curvature vector of $\Sigma_{1}$ and we take the unit normal $N$ of $\Sigma_{1}$ to point inside $U_{1}$. Let $\pi_{1}$ : $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{2}$ and $\pi_{2}: \mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the usual projections. If we denote by $h_{1}: \Sigma_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the height function of $\Sigma_{1}$, that is, $h_{1}(p)=\pi_{2}(p)$ and $v=\left\langle N, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=T+v N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a tangent vector field on $\Sigma_{1}$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the gradient in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ of the function $t$, it follows that $T$ is the gradient of $h_{1}$ on $\Sigma_{1}$.

If $H$ is zero then $h$, the height of $\Sigma$, is a harmonic function and by the maximum principle we get that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$. So, we suppose that $H>0$.

Let $A(t)$ be the area of $\Sigma_{t}=\left\{p \in \Sigma_{1} ; h_{1}(p) \geq t\right\}$ and $\Gamma(t)=\left\{p \in \Sigma_{1} ; h_{1}(p)=t\right\}$. By [26], theorem 5.8, we get

$$
A^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Gamma(t)} \frac{1}{\left\|\nabla h_{1}\right\|} d s_{t}, \quad t \in O,
$$

where $O$ is the set of all regular values of $h_{1}$.
If we denote by $L(t)$ the length of the planar curve $\Gamma(t)$, the Schwartz inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(t) \leq \int_{\Gamma(t)}\left\|\nabla h_{1}\right\| d s_{t} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \frac{1}{\left\|\nabla h_{1}\right\|} d s_{t}=-A^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Gamma(t)}\left\|\nabla h_{1}\right\| d s_{t}, \quad t \in O . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But we have from (1.2) that, along the curve $\Gamma(t)$,

$$
\left\|\nabla h_{1}\right\|^{2}=1-v^{2}=\left\langle\eta^{t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle^{2} .
$$

where $\eta^{t}$ is the inner conormal of $\Sigma_{t}$ along $\partial \Sigma_{t}$. Since $\Sigma_{t}$ is above the plane $Q(t)$ we know that $\left\langle\eta^{t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle \geq 0$. Hence

$$
\left\|\nabla h_{1}\right\|=\left\langle\eta^{t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle .
$$

Thus (1.3) can be rewritten as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(t) \leq-A^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Gamma(t)}\left\langle\eta^{t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle d s_{t} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we recall the Flux Formula. Let $\Sigma_{t}$ and $\Omega(t)$ be two compact, smooth, embedded not necessarily connected surfaces in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that their boundaries coincide. Assume that there exists a compact domain $U(t)$ in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the boundary of $U(t)$ is $\partial U(t)=\Sigma_{t} \cup \Omega(t)$ and it is orientable. Notice that the boundary of $U(t)$ is smooth except perhaps along $\partial \Sigma_{t}=\partial \Omega(t)$.

Let $N_{\Sigma_{t}}, N_{\Omega(t)}$ be the unit normal fields to $\Sigma_{t}$ and $\Omega(t)$, respectively, that point inside $U(t)$. Denote by $\eta^{t}$ the unit conormal to $\Sigma_{t}$ along $\partial \Sigma_{t}$, pointing inside $\Sigma_{t}$. Finally assume that $\Sigma_{t}$ is a compact surface with constant mean curvature $H=\left\langle\vec{H}, N_{\Sigma_{t}}\right\rangle>0$. Let $Y$ be a Killing vector field in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then by the Flux Formula (Proposition 3 in [15]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Sigma_{t}}\left\langle Y, \eta^{t}\right\rangle=2 H \int_{\Omega(t)}\left\langle Y, N_{Q(t)}\right\rangle . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.5), take $Y=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Gamma(t)}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\prime}}, \eta^{t}\right\rangle=2 H\|\Omega(t)\|
$$

where $\|\Omega(t)\|$ is the area of the planar region $\Omega(t)$. Thus if we substitute in (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(t) \leq-2 H A^{\prime}(t)\|\Omega(t)\|, \quad \text { for almost every } t \geq 0, t \in O \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(t) \geq 4 \pi\|\Omega(t)\|+\kappa\|\Omega(t)\|^{2} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\Omega(t)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{t}} \Omega_{i}(t)$, where $\Omega_{1}(t), \ldots, \Omega_{n_{t}}(t)$ are bounded domains which are determined in the plane $Q(t)$ by the closed curve $\Gamma(t)$, and $\left\|\Omega_{i}(t)\right\|$ (with $i=0 \ldots, n_{t}$ ) the area of the corresponding $\Omega_{i}(t)$. Then $\|\Omega(t)\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{t}}\left\|\Omega_{i}(t)\right\|$. We know by [4] that the equation (1.7) is true if $n_{t}=1$. Suppose that the result is true for $n_{t}=m$. We will prove this is true to $m+1$.

Let $\widetilde{L}(t)$ be the length of $\widetilde{\Omega}(t)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{i}(t)$. We know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{L}^{2}(t) & \geq 4 \pi\|\widetilde{\Omega}(t)\|+\kappa\|\widetilde{\Omega}(t)\|^{2}, \quad \text { by hypothesis of induction. }  \tag{1.8}\\
L_{m+1}^{2}(t) & \geq 4 \pi\left\|\Omega_{m+1}(t)\right\|+\kappa\left\|\Omega_{m+1}(t)\right\|^{2} \quad \text { by }[4] . \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation (1.8) and (1.9) implies, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{L}(t) & \geq \sqrt{\kappa}\|\widetilde{\Omega}(t)\| \\
L_{m+1}(t) & \geq \sqrt{\kappa}\left\|\Omega_{m+1}(t)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus
$\widetilde{L}(t) L_{m+1}(t) \geq \kappa| | \widetilde{\Omega}(t)\left|\left\|\left|\Omega_{m+1}(t)\left\|\Rightarrow 2 \tilde{L}(t) L_{m+1}(t) \geq 2 \kappa| | \tilde{\Omega}(t)\left|\left\|\mid \Omega_{m+1}(t)\right\|\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$
Adding (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) we get

$$
\left.\widetilde{L}(t)+L_{m+1}(t)\right)^{2} \geq 4 \pi\left(\|\widetilde{\Omega}(t)\|+\left\|\Omega_{m+1}(t)\right\|\right)+\kappa\left(\|\widetilde{\Omega}(t)\|+\left\|\Omega_{m+1}(t)\right\|\right)^{2}
$$

and this prove (1.7).
Using (1.6) and (1.7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi\|\Omega(t)\|+\kappa\|\Omega(t)\|^{2} \leq-2 H A^{\prime}(t)\|\Omega(t)\| \\
& 4 \pi\|\Omega(t)\|+\kappa\|\Omega(t)\|^{2}+2 H A^{\prime}(t)\|\Omega(t)\| \leq 0 \\
& \left(4 \pi+2 H A^{\prime}(t)+\kappa\|\Omega(t)\|\right)\|\Omega(t)\| \leq 0 \\
& 4 \pi+2 H A^{\prime}(t)+\kappa\left\|\Omega_{i}(t)\right\| \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating this inequality from 0 to $h=\max _{p \in \Sigma} h_{1}(p) \geq 0$, one gets

$$
4 \pi h+2 H(A(h)-A(0))+\kappa \operatorname{Vol}\left(U_{1}\right) \leq 0,
$$

then

$$
A^{+}=A(0) \geq \frac{2 \pi h}{H}+\frac{\kappa \operatorname{Vol}\left(U_{1}\right)}{2 H},
$$

which is the inequality that we looked for.
If the equality holds, then all the inequalities above become equalities. In particular, by $[4], \Gamma(t)$ is the boundary of a geodesic disk in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{t\}$, for every $t \geq 0$, and $K_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}(p) \equiv-\kappa$ for all $p \in U$.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{M}^{2} \times\{0\}$ be the geodesic disk such that $\partial D=\partial \Sigma$ and $p \in D$ the center of $D$. Let $\gamma$ be a horizontal complete oriented geodesic passing through the point $p$ with $\gamma(0)=p$ and $P_{\gamma}(t)$ the oriented foliation of vertical planes along the $\gamma$ given by definition 1.2.4. Let $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be a vertical plane in this horizontal foliation such that does not touch $\Sigma$. Then, doing Alexandrov
reflection with the planes $P_{\gamma}(t)$, starting at $t=t_{1}$, and decrease $t$ we obtain, by the symmetries of $\partial D$, that $\Sigma$ is symmetric with respect to $P_{\gamma}(0)$. Since $\gamma$ is an arbitrary horizontal complete geodesic passing through the point $p$, we have that $\Sigma$ is a rotational spherical cap.

Corollary 1.3.2. Let $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ be a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}} \leq$ $-\kappa \leq 0$. If $\Sigma$ is a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ without boundary and area $A$. Let $U$ be the compact domain bounded by $\Sigma$, then $\Sigma$ lies in a horizontal slab with height less than $\frac{H A}{2 \pi}-\frac{\kappa \operatorname{Vol}(U)}{4 \pi}$. One has equality if, and only if, $\Sigma$ is a sphere of revolution, in which case the thinnest slab has height exactly $\frac{H A}{2 \pi}-\frac{\kappa \operatorname{Vol}(U)}{4 \pi}$.
Corollary 1.3.3. Let $\mathbb{M}^{2}$ be a Hadamard surface with Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathbb{M}} \leq$ $-\kappa \leq 0$. If $\Sigma$ is a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{M}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with boundary in a plane $Q$ and transverse to $Q$, then

$$
\kappa \operatorname{Vol}\left(U_{1}\right)<2 \pi H A^{+} .
$$

where $A^{+}$and $U_{1}$ are as defined in the previous theorem.

### 1.4 Horizontal H-cylinders in $H^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$

Now we use a translation invariant H-hypersurfaces given by P. Bérard and R. Sa Earp in [5] and we give some conditions that implies that $\Sigma$ lies above $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ when $\partial \Sigma \subset Q$. We recall some ideas here.

Let $\gamma_{1}$ be a geodesic passing through $0 \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ in $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ and let $P_{1}=\gamma_{1} \times \mathbb{R}=\left\{\left(\gamma_{1}(s), t\right),(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$ the vertical plane, where $s$ is the signed hyperbolic distance to 0 on $\gamma_{1}$.

Take a geodesic $\gamma_{2}$ such that $\gamma_{2}(0)=\gamma_{1}(0), \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(0) \perp \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(0)$. We consider the hyperbolic translation with respect to the geodesic $\gamma_{2}$. In the vertical plane $P_{1}$ we take the curve $\alpha(s)=(s, f(s))$, where $f$ is a real function.

In $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{f(s)\}$ we translate the point $\alpha(s)$ by the translations with respect to $\gamma_{2} \times\{f(s)\}$ and we get the equidistant curves $\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{\alpha(s)}$ passing through $\alpha(s)$, at distance $s$ from $\gamma_{2} \times\{f(s)\}$. The curve $\alpha$ then generates a translation surface $C=\bigcup_{s}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{\alpha(s)}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Principal Curvatures: The principal directions of curvature of $C$ are tangent to the curve $\alpha$ in $P_{1}$ and the directions tangent to $\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{\alpha(s)}$. The
corresponding principal curvatures with respect to the unit normal pointing downwards are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{P_{1}} & =-f^{\prime \prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
k_{\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{a(s)}} & =-f^{\prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tanh (s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality comes from the fact that $P_{1}$ is totally geodesic and flat. The second equality follows from the fact that $\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{\alpha(s)}$ is at distance $s$ from $\gamma_{2} \times\{f(s)\}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{f(s)\}$.

Mean Curvature: The mean curvature of the translation surface $C$ associated with $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 H(s) & =-f^{\prime \prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}-f^{\prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tanh (s) \\
2 H(s) \cosh (s) & =-f^{\prime \prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cosh (s)-f^{\prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sinh (s) \\
2 H(s) \cosh (s) & =-\frac{d}{d s}\left(f^{\prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cosh (s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that $H=$ constant. Observe that in our case $H>0$. The generating curves of translation surfaces with mean curvature $H$ are given by the differential equation

$$
-f^{\prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cosh (s)=2 H \sinh (s)+d_{1}
$$

where $d_{1}$ is a constant.
We want that $f^{\prime}(0)=0$, thus we take $d_{1}=0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
-f^{\prime}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & =2 H \tanh (s) \\
-f^{\prime}(s) & =2 H \tanh (s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} & =4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right) \\
\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} & =4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)+\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} 4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s) \\
\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} & =\frac{4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}{\left(1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have two first-order linear ordinary differential equations given by

$$
f_{+}^{\prime}(s)=-\frac{2 H \tanh (s)}{\sqrt{1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}} \text { and } f_{-}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{2 H \tanh (s)}{\sqrt{1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}}
$$

with $s \in\left(-s_{H}, s_{H}\right)$, where $s_{H}=\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{1}{2 H}\right)$.
Resolving the equations above we get, respectively

$$
f_{+}(s)=-\frac{2 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}} \arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}{\sqrt{1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}}\right)+d_{2}
$$

and

$$
f_{-}(s)=\frac{2 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}} \arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}{\sqrt{1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}}\right)+d_{3}
$$

where $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are constant.
We want that $\lim _{s \rightarrow \pm s_{H}} f_{+}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \pm s_{H}} f_{-}(s)=0$, so we take $d_{2}=-d_{3}=$ $\frac{H \pi}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{+}(s)=-\frac{2 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}\left(\arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}{\sqrt{1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f_{-}(s)=\frac{2 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}\left(\arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}{\sqrt{1-4 H^{2} \tanh ^{2}(s)}}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) .
$$

We have two curves: $\alpha_{+}(s)=\left(s, f_{+}(s)\right)$ and $\alpha_{-}(s)=\left(s, f_{-}(s)\right)$. The curve $\alpha=\alpha_{+} \cup \alpha_{-}$generates a complete embedded translation invariant $H$-surface, $C_{H}$. We call this surface an $H$-cylinder.

We observe that the height of $C_{H}$ is given by

$$
h_{C_{H}}=-\frac{4 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}\left(\arctan \left(\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\arctan \frac{1}{x}=\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan x, x>0$, we get

$$
h_{C_{H}}=\frac{4 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}}\right) .
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { But } \arctan x=\arcsin \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^{2}}}\right) \text {, then } \\
& \qquad h_{C_{H}}=\frac{4 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}} \arcsin \left(\frac{1}{2 H}\right) . \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By Aledo, Espinar and Gálvez [2] we have that the height of the rotational $H$-sphere, $S_{H}$, is

$$
\frac{8 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}} \arcsin \left(\frac{1}{2 H}\right),
$$

therefore,

$$
h_{C_{H}}=\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2} .
$$

Now, we use these $C_{H}$ cylinders to prove the following.
Remark: In this subsection the height of a compact $H$-surface $\Sigma$ embedded into $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the height difference between its upper point and lower point.

Theorem 1.4.1. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded into $H^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H>\frac{1}{2}$, whose boundary is a convex planar curve contained in the plane $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$. Assume $2 h_{\Sigma}<h_{S_{H}}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ and $h_{S_{H}}$ are the height of the surfaces $\Sigma$ and the $H$ sphere, respectively. Then $\Sigma$ stays in a half-space determined by $Q$ and is transverse to $Q$ along the boundary. Moreover, $\Sigma$ inherits the symmetries of its boundary.

To prove this, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 1.4.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H>\frac{1}{2}$, with planar boundary. If $2 h_{\Sigma}<h_{S_{H}}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ and $h_{S_{H}}$ are the height of the surfaces $\Sigma$ and $H$-sphere, respectively, then the surface $\Sigma$ lies inside the right vertical cylinder determined by the convex hull of its boundary.

Proof. Suppose that there is some point of $\Sigma$ projecting on a point $q_{1} \in$ $Q$ outside the convex hull $V$ of the boundary of $\Sigma$, and choose $q_{2} \in V$ minimizing the distance to $q_{1}$. Denote by $\gamma_{1}$ the geodesic of $Q$ passing through $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}, \gamma_{1}(0)=q_{2}, \gamma_{1}(a)=q_{1}, a>0$. Let $\gamma_{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ be a complete geodesic with $\gamma_{2}(0)=\gamma_{1}(0), \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(0) \perp \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(0)$.

Consider $C_{H}$ a horizontal constant mean curvature cylinder generated by $\alpha \subset P_{1}=\gamma_{1} \times \mathbb{R}$, as described above, with curvature $H$.


Figure 1.1:

We consider a half-cylinder $C_{\gamma_{1}}$ generated by $\alpha(s), s \in\left[0, s_{H}\right]$ or $s \in$ [ $\left.-s_{H}, 0\right]$. We move $C_{\gamma_{1}}$ by horizontal translation along $\gamma_{1}$ far enough so that it does not touch the surface $\Sigma$ and we place its concave side in front of $\Sigma$.

The surface $\Sigma$ is inside a slab $B$ parallel to $Q$ with height less than $\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$. This slab is not necessarily symmetric with respect to $Q$ but we may utilize half-cylinders with axis in the central plane of $B$, then making a vertical translation if necessary, we can suppose that $B$ is symmetric with respect to $Q$, see figure 1.2.


Figure 1.2:
Now we proceed to approach the half-cylinder $C_{\gamma_{1}}$ to $\Sigma$ by the horizontal translation along $\gamma_{1}$ and in this way we get a first (and so tangential) contact
point between the two surfaces.
As $\gamma_{2}$ lies inside $Q$ and there is a point of $\Sigma$ projecting on the point $q_{1}$ outside the convex hull of the boundary, this contact point so obtained is a nonboundary point of the surface $\Sigma$. It is also an interior point of the half-cylinder $C_{\gamma_{1}}$, because $\Sigma$ is inside the slab $B \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times\left(-\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}, \frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}\right)$. On the other hand, this half-cylinder has constant mean curvature $H$, with respect to the normal field pointing to its concave part. As we already know that $\Sigma$ is in that concave part, by elementary comparison we have the same choice of normal at the contact point gives mean curvature $H$ for $\Sigma$. But this is a contradiction to the maximum principle. As a consequence, all the points of the surface $\Sigma$ must project on the convex hull of its boundary.

Proof of Theorem 1.4.1. By the previous lemma we know that, if $\Omega$ is a compact convex domain in $Q$ with $\partial \Omega=\partial \Sigma$, then $\Sigma \cap \operatorname{ext}(\Omega)=\emptyset$. Then one can consider a hemisphere $S$ under the plane $Q$ whose boundary disc $D$ is contained in $Q$ and is large enough that $\Omega \subset \operatorname{int}(D)$ and $S \cap \Sigma=\emptyset$. Thus $\Sigma \cup(D-\Omega) \cup(S-D)$ is a compact embedded surface in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and so determines an interior domain, we call $U$. Choose a unit normal $N$ for $\Sigma$ in such a way that $N$ point into $U$ at each point. If there are points of the surface $\sum$ in both half-spaces determined by $Q$, then $N$ takes the same value at the points where the height function attains its maximum and minimum respectively. Reversing $N$ if necessary, we can conclude that the normal of $\Sigma$ (for which $H>0$ ) takes the same value at the highest and the lowest points of the surface.

Lower a sphere $S_{H}^{2}$ to the highest point or pushing it up to the lowest one we obtain a contradiction using the interior maximum principle. Thus the surface lies in one of the half-spaces determined by the plane $Q$ and rises in it less than $\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$. Using again half-cylinders $C_{H}$ with axis in a plane parallel to $Q$ and height $\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$, the boundary maximum principle shows us that the surface is transversal along its boundary.

Let $\gamma$ be a horizontal complete oriented geodesic passing through the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be a vertical plane such that $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma=\emptyset$. We take the oriented foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$ given in definition 1.4, with $P=P_{\gamma}(0)$. Now, by applying Alexandrov reflection with these planes, starting $t=t_{1}$ and decreasing $t$, we obtain that $\Sigma$ has all the symmetries of its boundary.

Corollary 1.4.3. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H>\frac{1}{2}$, with convex planar boundary. Then $\Sigma$ is a graph if, and only if, $h_{\Sigma}<\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ and $h_{S_{H}}$ are the height of the surfaces $\Sigma$ and the $H$-sphere, respectively.

Proof. If the $\Sigma$ is a graph the proof follow by [2], Theorem 2.1. Suppose now that $h_{\Sigma}<\frac{h_{S_{H}}}{2}$. By Theorem 1.4.1 we have that $\Sigma$ must be contained in one of the half-spaces determined by the boundary plane and, moreover, by Lemma 1.4.2 $\Sigma$ is inside the right vertical cylinder determined by the convex hull of its boundary. Using Alexandrov reflection with horizontal planes we get that $\Sigma$ is a graph.

# CHAPTER 2 

## CMC Surfaces in a Half-Space in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter we will consider compact embedded surfaces of constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$with boundary in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$. The structure of these surfaces seems far from being understood. We shall consider a simple situation concerning this problem. For this we use ideas of A. Ros and H. Rosenberg, see [23].

Antonio Ros and Harold Rosenberg showed in [23] that if $\Gamma_{n}$ is a sequence of embedded (perhaps nonconnected) curves in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \Gamma_{n} \subset\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$, converging to a point $q$, and $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}$ is a sequence of embedded compact 1 -surfaces ( $H=1$ ), with $\partial \Sigma_{n}=\Gamma_{n}$, then some subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ converges either to $q$ or to the unit sphere tangent to $\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$ at $q$ (the convergence being smooth on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{p\}$ ). We will show that a similar result is true for $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

### 2.2 Notations

Here we will use the Poincaré disk model of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ which is represented as the domain

$$
\mathbb{D}=\left\{z=(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; x^{2}+y^{2}<1\right\}
$$

endowed with the metric $g=\frac{4|d z|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}$.
The complete geodesics in this model are given by arcs of circles or straight lines which are orthogonal to the boundary at infinity

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\infty}^{1}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|z|=1\right\} .
$$

We orient $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ so that its boundary at infinity is oriented counter-clockwise. Let $\gamma$ be a complete oriented geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$, then

$$
\partial_{\infty} \gamma=\left\{\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}\right\},
$$

where $\gamma^{-}=\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma(t)$ and $\gamma^{+}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma(t)$. Here $t$ is arc length along $\gamma$. Then we can identify a geodesic $\gamma$ with its boundary at infinity, writing

$$
\gamma=\left\{\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}\right\} .
$$

We observe that given an oriented geodesic $\gamma=\left\{\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}\right\}$in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ then $\mathbb{H}^{2}-\{\gamma\}$ has two connected components. We will distinguish then using the following notation.
Definition 2.2.1. Let $J$ be the standard counter-clockwise rotation operator. We call exterior set of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$, ext $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}(\gamma)$, the connected component of $\mathbb{H}^{2}-\{\gamma\}$ towards which $J \gamma^{\prime}$ points. The other connected component of $\mathbb{H}^{2}-\{\gamma\}$ is called the interior set of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ and denoted by int $t_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}(\gamma)$.

On the other hand, we consider the product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ represented as the domain

$$
\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}=\left\{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ;(x, y) \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

endowed with the product metric.
Definition 2.2.2. Given a complete oriented geodesic $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$, we will call $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ a vertical plane of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and we will call a slice $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{\tau\}$ a horizontal plane.

Note that a vertical plane is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and horizontal plane is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^{2}$. Throughout this chapter we will denote vertical planes by $P$ and horizontal planes by $Q(\tau)=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{\tau\}, Q(0)=Q$.

The notion of interior and exterior domain of a horizontal oriented geodesic extend naturally to vertical planes.
Definition 2.2.3. For a complete oriented geodesic $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\} \equiv \mathbb{H}^{2}$ we call, respectively interior and exterior of a vertical plane $P=\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ the sets

$$
\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)=\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}(\gamma) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)=\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}(\gamma) \times \mathbb{R} .
$$

We will often use foliations by vertical planes of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. We now make this precise.
Definition 2.2.4. Let $P$ be a vertical plane in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma(t)$ be an oriented horizontal geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ with $t$ arc length along $\gamma, \gamma(0)=p_{0} \in P, \gamma^{\prime}(0)$ orthogonal to $P$ at $p_{0}$ and $\gamma(t) \in e x t_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)$ for $t>0$. We define the oriented foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$, denoted by $P_{\gamma}(t)$ to be the vertical planes orthogonal to $\gamma(t)$ with $P=P_{\gamma}(0)$.

Let $P_{\gamma}(t)$ be the foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$ with $P_{\gamma}(0)=P$ and $q \in P\left(t_{0}\right)$. Let $\xi$ denote the horizontal Killing field of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ generated by translation along $\gamma$ ( $\xi$ is tangent to each $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{\tau\}$ and is translation along $\gamma \times\{\tau\}), \xi$ is orthogonal to the planes $P_{\gamma}(t)$.
Definition 2.2.5. We call the surface $\Sigma$ a horizontal graph over a domain $\Omega \subset P$ if $\Sigma$ is a graph over $\Omega$ with respect to the orbits of $\xi$.
Remark 2.2.6. H-horizontal graphs are strongly stable. See remark 2.1, [20].

### 2.3 The main result

In the first part we consider the case $H>\frac{1}{2}$. After we give the analogous result to $H \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.3.1. Let $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of $H$-horizontal graphs, $H>\frac{1}{2}$, in the ext $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)$ such that $\Sigma_{n}$ is a graph over an open subset $\Omega_{n} \subset K \subset P, K$ a compact. Then there exists a subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ which converges on compact subsets of $\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)$ to a $H$-horizontal graph (perhaps empty) in $\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)$.

Remark 2.3.2. We are not assuming any restriction on the open sets $\Omega_{n}$. The assumption that $\Sigma_{n}$ is a horizontal graph in $\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P)$ means that $\Sigma_{n}$ is the graph of a positive function $u_{n}$ on $\Omega_{n}$, where $u_{n}$ extends continuously to the closure of $\Omega_{n}$ with zero values. Note that the convergence above holds only in the interior. In general we cannot control the limit surface in $P$.

Proof. It follow from Espinar, Gálvez and Rosenberg, Theorem 6.2 in [11], that all the $H$-horizontal graphs $\Sigma_{n}$ are contained in a fixed compact of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $P_{\gamma}(t)$ be the foliation as described above. We take $\epsilon>0$ and $\Sigma_{n}^{\epsilon}=\Sigma \cap e x t_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(P_{\gamma}(\epsilon)\right)$. From Rosenberg, Souam and Toubiana [24], there exists a constant $C_{1}=C_{1}(H, \epsilon)$ such that $\left\|A_{\Sigma_{n}^{e}}\right\| \leq C_{1}$, where $A_{\Sigma_{n}^{e}}$ is
the second fundamental form of $\Sigma_{n}^{\epsilon}$. Meeks and Tinaglia showed (in [19], Corollary 5.2): Suppose $N^{3}$ is a complete, simply connected three-manifold with absolute sectional curvature bounded by $s_{0}$ and suppose $\Sigma \subset N^{3}$ is a properly immersed CMC surface which is almost-embedded, has constant mean curvature $H$ and satisfies $\left\|A_{\Sigma}\right\| \leq C_{1}$ for some constant $C_{1} \geq 0$, then there is a constant $C_{2}>0$ depending on $C_{1}, H, s_{0}$ such that the area of $\Sigma$ in ambient balls of radius one is at most $C_{2}$. This result implies, in our case, that there exist a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that $\operatorname{Area}\left(\sum_{n}^{\epsilon}\right) \leq C_{2}, C_{2}=C_{2}(H, \epsilon)$.

Standard compactness techniques yield a subsequence (which we also call) $\sum_{n}^{\epsilon}$ that converges on compact subsets of $\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}(P(\epsilon))$, see for instance [31] Theorem 3. The limit is either empty or a $H$-surface properly immersed $\Sigma^{\epsilon}$.

Suppose the limit is not empty. Let $n=\langle N, \xi\rangle$, where $N$ is the unit normal vector field of $\Sigma^{\epsilon}$, we have that, since $\xi$ is a Killing field, $\Delta n+$ $\left(\left\|A_{\Sigma^{\epsilon}}\right\|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(N)\right) n=0$. As $\Sigma^{\epsilon}$ is a limit of graphs we get $n \leq 0$ and, so Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [14] implies that $n<0$ in $\Sigma^{\epsilon}$, or $n \equiv 0$ on a connected component of $\Sigma^{\epsilon}$. In the first case, we conclude that $\Sigma^{\epsilon}$ is a graph over $\Omega \subset P_{\gamma}(\epsilon)$.

In the second case, we know in this connected component, $\Sigma_{0^{\prime}}^{\epsilon} \partial \Sigma_{0}^{\epsilon} \subset P(\epsilon)$ and $\Sigma_{0}^{\epsilon}$ is compact, since $\Sigma_{n}$ are contained in a fixed compact. Then let $q \in \Sigma_{0}^{\epsilon}$ be a furthest point from $P(\epsilon)$.

Let $P_{\gamma}(t)$ be the foliation of vertical plane along $\gamma$ and $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ outside the compact $\tilde{K}$. Now, take the foliation of the planes $P_{\gamma}(t)$, starting at $t=t_{1}$, and decrease $t$. There exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is the first vertical plane that touch $\Sigma_{0}^{\epsilon}$ in a point $q$. We have in this point that $N(q)=-\xi(q)$. This is impossible because $\langle N, \xi\rangle=0$ in $\Sigma_{0}^{\epsilon}$. This proves the lemma.

This proof also works for H - vertical graphs, that is
Corollary 2.3.3. Let $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of $H$-vertical graphs, $H>\frac{1}{2}$, in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that $\Sigma_{n}$ is a graph over an open subset $\Omega_{n} \subset K \subset Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$, $K$ compact. Then there exists a subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ which converges on compact subsets of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$to a $H$-vertical graph (perhaps empty) in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Now, we will prove the main result.
Theorem 2.3.4. Let $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$be an embedded $H$-surfaces with $H>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\Gamma_{n}=\partial \Sigma_{n} \subset D\left(r_{n}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq r_{n}\right\}$, with $r_{n}$ a sequence converging to zero. Then there is a subsequence of $\Sigma_{n}$ that either converges to
the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$or to the rotationally invariant constant mean curvature sphere $S_{H}^{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$tangent to $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ at $O$. In the first case the surfaces converge as subsets and in the second the convergence is smooth on compact subsets of $\left\{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}\right\}-\{O\}$.

Proof. It follows from the Alexandrov reflection technique and the vertical height estimates, see [2] theorem 2.1, and the Espinar, Gálvez and Rosenberg, theorem 6.2 in [11] that all the $\Sigma_{n}$ are contained in a fixed compact $\tilde{K}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Let $\gamma$ be a horizontal complete oriented geodesic passing through the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be a vertical plane outside this compact $\tilde{K}$. We take the oriented foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$ given in definition 2.2.4, with $P=P_{\gamma}(0)$. Let $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be a vertical plane outside the compact $\tilde{K}$.

Ler $r>0$ be a positive constant. For $n$ large, $\partial \Sigma_{n} \subset D(r)$ where $D(r)=$ $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq r\right\}$ so, doing Alexandrov reflection with the planes $P_{\gamma}(t)$, starting at $t=t_{1}$, and decrease $t$, the symmetry of the part $\Sigma_{n}$ in $\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(P_{\gamma}(t)\right)$ does not touch $\Sigma_{n}$ if $P_{\gamma}(t)$ does not touch $\partial D(r)$ and this part of $\Sigma_{n}$ in $e x t_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(P_{\gamma}(t)\right)$ is a horizontal graph over a part of $P_{\gamma}(t)$.

Now, Alexandrov reflexion with horizontal planes and [2] give that the part of each $\Sigma_{n}$ above $P(a)$, where $a=\frac{4 H}{\sqrt{4 H^{2}-1}} \arcsin \left(\frac{1}{2 H}\right)$, is a vertical graph, so by Lemma 2.3.1 and Corollary 2.3.3 we get that $\Sigma_{n}$ converges on compact subsets of $\left(\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)-I$, where $I=0 \times[0, a]$.

If outside of all compact subsets of $\left(\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)-I$ the convergence is empty, then for $n$ large, $\Sigma_{n}$ is uniformly close to $I$. We take $\bar{H} \geq H$ and let $S_{\bar{H}}$ be the hemisphere of constant mean curvature $\bar{H}$ with $\partial S_{\bar{H}} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{a\}$. When we move $\partial S_{\bar{H}}$ from $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{a\}$ to $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ down we obtain, by Comparison Theorem, that $\Sigma_{n}$ is always below $S_{\bar{H}}$ (recall that $\partial \Sigma_{n} \subset D(r)$ with $r>0$ small enough). Therefore, letting $\bar{H}$ go to infinity we conclude $\Sigma_{n}$ converge to $O$.

Suppose that $\Sigma_{n}$ converges to a surface $\Sigma$. This surface has constant mean curvature $H$ and is properly embedded in $\left(\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)-I$. Let $\gamma$ a horizontal complete oriented geodesic passing through the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be a vertical plane outside this compact $\tilde{K}$. For each $r>0$, we know, doing Alexandrov reflection with the planes $P_{\gamma}(t)$, starting at $t=t_{1}$, and decrease $t$, the symmetry of the part $\Sigma$ in $\operatorname{ext}_{\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(P_{\gamma}(t)\right)$ does not touch $\Sigma$ if $P_{\gamma}(t) \cap D(r)=\emptyset$. Therefore the symmetry of the part $\Sigma$ by these planes lies in the domain enclosed by $\Sigma$ (since this hold for $\Sigma_{n}, n$ large). So this works up until $r=0$ by continuity and $\Sigma$ is a rotational surface about the vertical line throught $O$ and each component of $\Sigma$ has multiplicity one, then $\Sigma$ is
$S_{H}^{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
Finally we show the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $\left(\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}\right)-\{O\}$. Given $\epsilon>0$, there exists $r>0$ so that for $n$ large

$$
\Sigma_{n} \cap\left(D(r) \times\left(\frac{3}{2} a, \infty\right)\right)=\Sigma_{n} \cap D(r) \times(2 a-\epsilon, 2 a+\epsilon)
$$

and this intersection is a graph above $D(r)$. Coming down with horizontal planes $Q(t)=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{t\}$ from $t=2 a$ to $t=a$ we see that $\Sigma_{n} \cap(D(r) \times(\epsilon, 2 a-\epsilon))=$ $\emptyset$. So we have uniform estimates for $\Sigma_{n}$ on compact subsets of $\left(\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}\right)-\{O\}$, not just on compact subsets of $\left(\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}\right)-I$.

Now we deal with $H$-surfaces with $H \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. We use here the $H$ cylinder, see section 1.4.

Lemma 2.3.5. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, with planar boundary. Then the surface $\Sigma$ lies inside the right vertical cylinder determined by the convex hull of its boundary.

Proof. Suppose that there is some point of $\Sigma$ projecting on a point $q_{1} \in$ $Q$ outside the convex hull $V$ of the boundary of $\Sigma$, and choose $q_{2} \in V$ minimizing the distance to $q_{1}$. Denote by $\gamma_{1}$ the geodesic of $Q$ passing through $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}, \gamma_{1}(0)=q_{2}, \gamma_{1}(a)=q_{1}, a>0$. Let $\gamma_{2} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$ be a complete geodesic with $\gamma_{2}(0)=\gamma_{1}(0), \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(0) \perp \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(0)$.

Consider $C_{\bar{H}}$ a horizontal constant mean curvature cylinder with curvature $\bar{H}, \bar{H}>\frac{1}{2}$, see section 1.4. We take the half-cylinder $C_{\gamma_{1}}$ and we move $C_{\gamma_{1}}$ by horizontal translation along $\gamma_{1}$ far enough so that it does not touch the surface $\Sigma$ and we place its concave side in front of $\Sigma$.

Since the height $h_{C_{\bar{H}}}$ goes to infty when $\bar{H}$ goes to $\frac{1}{2}$, we can choose $\bar{H}$ such that $h_{C_{H}}>2 h_{\Sigma}$, where $h_{\Sigma}$ is the height of $\Sigma$. Observe that we not suppose that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Now we proceed to approach the half-cylinder $C_{\gamma_{1}}$ to $\Sigma$ by the horizontal translation along $\gamma_{1}$ and in this way we get a first (and so tangential) contact point between the two surfaces.

As $\gamma_{2}$ lies inside $Q$ and there is a point of $\Sigma$ projecting on the point $q_{1}$ outside the convex hull of the boundary, this contact point so obtained is a nonboundary point of the surface $\Sigma$. It is also an interior point of the halfcylinder $C_{\gamma_{1}}$, because $h_{C_{H}}>2 h_{\Sigma}$. On the other hand, this half-cylinder has constant mean curvature $\bar{H}>H$, with respect to the normal field pointing to its concave part. As we already know that $\Sigma$ is in that concave part, by elementary comparison we have the same choice of normal at the contact
point gives mean curvature $H$ for $\Sigma$. But this is a contradiction to the maximum principle. As a consequence, all the points of the surface $\Sigma$ must project on the convex hull of its boundary.

Theorem 2.3.6. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact $H$-surface embedded into $H^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, H \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, whose boundary is a convex planar curve contained in the plane $Q=\mathbb{H}^{2} \times\{0\}$. Then $\Sigma$ stays in a half-space determined by $Q$ and is transverse to $Q$ along the boundary. Moreover, $\Sigma$ is a graph and inherits the symmetries of its boundary.

Proof. By the previous lemma we know that, if $\Omega$ is a compact convex domain in $Q$ with $\partial \Omega=\partial \Sigma$, then $\Sigma \cap \operatorname{ext}(\Omega)=\emptyset$ and using Alexandrov reflection with horizontal planes we get that $\Sigma$ is a graph. Then one can consider a hemisphere $S$ under the plane $Q$ whose boundary disc $D$ is contained in $Q$ and is large enough that $\Omega \subset \operatorname{int}(D)$ and $S \cap \Sigma=\emptyset$. Thus $\Sigma \cup(D-\Omega) \cup(S-D)$ is a compact embedded surface in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and so determines an interior domain, we call $U$. Choose a unit normal $N$ for $\Sigma$ in such a way that $N$ point into $U$ at each point. If there are points of the surface $\Sigma$ in both half-spaces determined by $Q$, then $N$ takes the same value at the points where the height function attains its maximum and minimum respectively. Reversing $N$ if necessary, we can conclude that the normal of $\Sigma$ (for which $H>0$ ) takes the same value at the highest and the lowest points of the surface.

By lower a slice $Q(t)$ to the highest point or pushing it up to the lowest one we obtain a contradiction using the interior maximum principle. Thus the surface lies in one of the half-spaces determined by the plane $Q$. Using half-cylinders $C_{\bar{H}}, \bar{H}>\frac{1}{2}$, with axis in a plane parallel to $Q$ and the lowest point in $Q$, the boundary maximum principle shows us that the surface is transversal along its boundary.

Let $\gamma$ be a horizontal complete oriented geodesic passing through the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be a vertical plane such that $P_{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma=\emptyset$. We take the oriented foliation of vertical planes along $\gamma$ given in definition 2.2.4, with $P=P_{\gamma}(0)$. Now, by applying Alexandrov reflection with these planes, starting $t=t_{1}$ and decreasing $t$, we obtain that $\Sigma$ has all the symmetries of its boundary.

Using the Lemma 2.3.5 we can prove the following.
Theorem 2.3.7. Let $\Sigma_{n} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ be an embedded $H$-surfaces with $H \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\Gamma_{n}=\partial \Sigma_{n} \subset D\left(r_{n}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq r_{n}\right\}$, with $r_{n}$ a sequence converging to zero. Then $\Sigma_{n}$ converges, as subset, to the origin $O \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $r=r(\bar{H})$ be the diameter of $S_{\bar{H}}$, where $S_{\bar{H}}$ is the rotationally invariant constant mean curvature $\bar{H}$ sphere, $\bar{H}>\frac{1}{2}$. For $n$ large $\partial \Sigma_{n} \subset D(r)$, where $D(r)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq r\right\}$, and by the lemma 2.3.5 we get that each $\Sigma_{n}$ lies inside the right vertical cylinder determined by the convex hull of its boundary and thus $\Sigma_{n} \subset D(r) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $S^{+}=S_{\bar{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{2} \times[0, \infty)$ be the superior hemisphere. We will prove that $\Sigma_{n}$ is below $S^{+}$for all $n$. Suppose not, so for some $n$ the highest point of $\Sigma_{n}$ is bigger than the height of $S^{+}$. Lift up $S^{+}$to be above $\Sigma_{n}$, then move $S^{+}$down towards $\Sigma_{n}$, we get the first tangent point of $\Sigma_{n}$. This is a interior point of $S^{+}$since $\Sigma_{n} \subset D(r) \times \mathbb{R}$. But this is a contradiction by maximum principle. Using the same idea we obtain that $\Sigma_{n}$ is above $S^{-}=S_{\bar{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{2} \times[-\infty, 0)$. This prove the theorem since that $r$ goes to 0 when $\bar{H}$ goes to infinity.

## Removable singularities for sections of Riemannian submersions of prescribed mean curvature

### 3.1 Introduction

We will prove that isolated singularities of sections with precribed mean curvature of a Riemanninan submersion fibered by geodesics of a vertical Killing field, are removable. Also we obtain information on the growth of the difference of two sections $u, v: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{M}$, having the same prescribed mean curvature and $u=v$ on $\partial \Omega$. This generalizes theorem 2 of [9].

### 3.2 Killing Graphs

Let $\pi: \bar{M}^{n+1} \rightarrow M^{n}$ be Riemannian submersion such that the orbits of the vertical fibers are geodesics of a nonsingular unit Killing field denoted by $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{M})$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain. We assume that the integral curves $\phi_{s}$ of $\xi$ in $\bar{M}_{0}=\pi^{-1}(\Omega)$ are complete non compact.

We first derive a formula for the mean curvature of a section of $\bar{M}$.
Lemma 3.2.1. Let $\Sigma$ be a hypersurface of $\bar{M}$, transverse to the fibers of $\xi$. Let $N$ be a unit normal vector field to $\Sigma$. Then

$$
\operatorname{div}_{M}\left(\pi_{*} N\right)=n\langle\vec{H}, N\rangle_{\bar{M}}=n H
$$

Proof. Let $\bar{x} \in \Sigma, \pi(\bar{x})=x \in \Omega$, and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be an orthonormal frame of $M$ in a neighborhood of $x$. Let $\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}$ be their horizontal lifts to $\bar{M}$.

Extend a neighborhood of $\bar{x}$ in $\Sigma$ to a foliation of a neighborhood of $\bar{x}$ in $\bar{M}$, by the flow of $\xi$. Also extend $N$ to this neighborhood as well (by $\xi$ ). It is well know that

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\bar{M}}(N)=n\langle N, \vec{H}\rangle=n H,
$$

where $\vec{H}$ is the mean curvature vector of the leaves of the local foliation.
Write $N=N^{h}+\langle N, \xi\rangle \xi$. Then

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\bar{M}}(N)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} N, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\xi} N, \xi\right\rangle .
$$

Since $\xi\langle N, \xi\rangle=0,\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\xi} N, \xi\right\rangle=-\left\langle N, \bar{\nabla}_{\xi} \xi\right\rangle=0$.
We have $N=N^{h}+\langle N, \xi\rangle \xi$, so

$$
\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} N, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} N^{h}, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle+\langle N, \xi\rangle\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} \xi, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle
$$

By O'Neills formula [22], $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}^{i} \bar{X}_{i}$ is horizontal, so differentiating $\left\langle\xi, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle=$ 0 ,

$$
\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} \xi, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\xi, \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle=0
$$

Again, by $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Neills formula,

$$
\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}_{i}} N^{h}, \bar{X}_{i}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\left\langle\nabla_{X_{i}} \pi\left(N^{h}\right), X_{i}\right\rangle_{M},
$$

and this proves the lemma.

Now consider two section $u, v: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{M}$, transverse to $\xi$, such that the surfaces $\Sigma_{u}=u(\Omega)$ and $\Sigma_{v}=v(\Omega)$ have the same prescribed mean curvature at each $x \in \Omega$. We assume the mean curvature function $H$ is continuous on $\Omega$. Let $X_{u}, X_{v}$ be the vector fields on $\Omega$, the projection of the unit normals $N_{u}$, and $N_{v}$ to the sections. Let $\varphi=u-v$ be the function on $\Omega$, distance along the $\xi$ orbits from $v(x)$ to $u(x), x \in \Omega$.

It is not hard to see that

$$
\left\langle\nabla \varphi, X_{u}-X_{v}\right\rangle_{M}=\left\langle\widetilde{\nabla \varphi}, N_{u}-N_{v}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}} \geq 0,
$$

and one has equality precisely when $\varphi$ is constant. It is usefull to have an explicit formular for the quantities involved, so we will prove the above
statement using formulas in local coordinates derived in [10]. We will prove:

$$
\left\langle\nabla \varphi, X_{u}-X_{v}\right\rangle_{M}=\left(\frac{W_{u}+W_{v}}{2}\right)\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|^{2},
$$

where $W_{u}=\frac{1}{\left\langle N_{u}, \xi\right\rangle}, W_{v}=\frac{1}{\left\langle N_{v}, \xi\right\rangle}$.
Consider a smooth embedding i : $\Omega \rightarrow \bar{M}$, which is a section of the fibration, and assume $\Sigma_{0}=i(\Omega)$ is transverse to $\xi$.

Thus, the hypersurfaces $\Sigma_{s}=\phi_{s}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)$ foliate $\bar{M}_{0}$ by isometric hypersurfaces.

Definition 3.2.2. The Killing graph $\Sigma=\Sigma_{u}$ of a function $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ is the hypersurface

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\phi(u(p), p) ; p \in \Sigma_{0}\right\}
$$

where $u$ is seen as a function on $\Sigma_{0}$ by taking $u(p)=u(x)$ when $\pi(p)=x$.

### 3.3 The Mean Curvature Equation

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a frame on $\Omega$ and $\sigma_{i j}=\left\langle X_{i}, X_{j}\right\rangle_{M}$. Let $\bar{Y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{Y}_{n}$ be the corresponding local frame on $\Sigma_{0}$, i.e., $\bar{Y}_{i}(p)=i_{*} X_{i}(x)$, where $x \in \Omega$ and $p=i(x)$.

We extend $\bar{Y}_{i}$ by the flow:

$$
\bar{Y}_{i}(\phi(s, p))=(\phi)_{*}\left(\bar{Y}_{i}(p)\right),
$$

$p \in \Sigma_{0}$.
Let $\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}$ in $\bar{M}$ denote the horizontal lifts of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. If $q=\phi(s, p)$ for $p \in \Sigma_{0}$, then $\pi(q)=\pi \circ \phi(s, p)=\pi(p)$. Therefore

$$
\bar{X}_{i}(q)=\phi_{*}(s, p) \bar{X}_{i}(p)
$$

since $\phi_{*}(s, p) \bar{X}_{i}(p)$ is horizontal and

$$
\pi_{*}(q) \phi_{*}(s, p) \bar{X}_{i}(p)=(\pi \circ \phi)_{*}(s, p) \bar{X}_{i}(p)=\pi_{*}(p) \bar{X}_{i}(p) .
$$

Also

$$
\left\langle\bar{X}_{i}, \bar{X}_{j}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\left\langle X_{i}, X_{j}\right\rangle_{M}=\sigma_{i j} .
$$

We denote by $s$, the function on $\bar{M}_{0}$ which is distance from $q$ to $\Sigma_{0}$, along the integral curve of $\xi$ from $q$ to $\Sigma_{0}$. We calculate $\bar{X}_{i}(s)$.

Let $\bar{\nabla} s$ be the gradient of the function $s$. Using

$$
\pi_{*}(q) \bar{Y}_{i}=\pi_{*}(p) i_{*} X_{i}(x)=X_{i}(x)=\pi_{*}(q) \bar{X}_{i}
$$

and

$$
1=\xi(s)=\langle\bar{\nabla} s, \xi\rangle_{\bar{M}}
$$

we have that two frames in $\bar{M}$ are related by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\nabla} s=\xi+\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{j}(s) \bar{X}_{i}, \\
\bar{Y}_{i}=\delta_{i} \xi+\bar{X}_{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sigma^{i j}$ is the inverse of $\sigma_{i j}$. Then

$$
\delta_{i}=\left\langle\bar{Y}_{i}(q), \xi(q)\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\left\langle\left(\phi_{s}\right)_{*}(p) \bar{Y}_{i}(p),\left(\phi_{s}\right)_{*}(p) \xi(p)\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\left\langle\bar{Y}_{i}(p), \xi(p)\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}
$$

and

$$
0=\bar{Y}_{j}(s)=\left\langle\bar{\nabla} s, \bar{Y}_{j}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\delta_{j}+\bar{X}_{j}(s) .
$$

Let $\Sigma$ be a Killing graph. Consider $\Sigma$ as given by the immersion

$$
\mathbf{I}_{u}: x \in \Omega \subset M \mapsto \phi(u(x), \mathbf{i}(x)) .
$$

Its tangent bundle is spanned by the vector fields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathbf{I}_{u}\right)_{*} X_{i} & =X_{i}(u) \phi_{s}+(\phi \circ i)_{*} X_{i}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =X_{i}(u) \xi+\bar{Y}_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

We may regard $u$ as a function in $\bar{M}_{0}$ by means of the extension $u(q)=$ $u(x)$ if $\pi(q)=x$. Thus $\xi(u)=0$ and hence

$$
\bar{X}_{i}(u)=\bar{Y}_{i}(u)-\delta_{i} \xi(u)=\bar{Y}_{i}(u)=X_{i}(u) .
$$

Therefore, we have using (1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{I}_{u}\right)_{*} X_{i} & =\bar{X}_{i}(u) \xi+\bar{Y}_{i} \\
& =\bar{X}_{i}(u) \xi+\left(\delta_{i} \xi+\bar{X}_{i}\right) \\
& =\bar{X}_{i}(u) \xi-\bar{X}_{i}(s) \xi+\bar{X}_{i} \\
& =\bar{X}_{i}(u-s) \xi+\bar{X}_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it is easy see that the unit normal vector field to $\Sigma$ pointing upwards is

$$
N=\frac{1}{W}\left(\xi-\hat{u}^{j} \bar{X}_{j}\right)
$$

where $\hat{u}^{j}=\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(u-s)$ and $W^{2}=1+\sigma_{i j} \hat{u}^{i} \hat{u}^{j}=1+\hat{u}^{i} \hat{u}_{i}$ for $\hat{u}_{i}=\sigma_{i j} \hat{u}^{j}$. We extend $N$ to $\bar{M}_{0}$ by the flow $\xi$.

Observe that if we define

$$
G u=\hat{u}^{j} X_{j}=\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(u-s) X_{j}
$$

then $-\frac{G u}{W}=N^{h}$ and Lemma 3.2.1 gives $\operatorname{div}_{M}\left(\pi\left(-\frac{G u}{W}\right)\right)=n H$.
Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
G u & =\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(u) X_{j}-\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(s) X_{j} \\
& =\sigma^{i j} X_{i}(u) X_{j}-\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(s) X_{j} \\
& =\nabla u-\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(s) X_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(s) X_{j}$ are defined om $M$ since they are independent of $s$. Hence for two sections $u, v: G(u)-G(v)=\nabla u-\nabla v$.

Notice that $\sigma^{i j} \bar{X}_{i}(s) X_{j}$ are defined on $M$ since they are independent of $s$. Hence if $u$ and $v$ are sections with the same mean curvature then $G u-G v=$ $\nabla u-\nabla v$.

### 3.4 Some Results

We will now prove the removable singularities theorem. First, a lemma.
Lemma 3.4.1. Let $u$ and $v$ be functions in $C^{2}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle G u-G v, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle_{M}=\left(\frac{W_{u}+W_{v}}{2}\right)\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|_{\bar{M}}^{2} \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{u}^{2}=1+\|G u\|_{M}^{2}$ and $W_{v}^{2}=1+\|G u\|_{M}^{2}$, with equality at a point if and only if, $\nabla u=\nabla v$.

Proof. We know that $G u=\hat{u}^{i} X_{i}, G v=\hat{v}^{j} X_{j}, W_{u}^{2}=1+\hat{u}_{i} \hat{u}^{i}, W_{v}^{2}=1+\hat{v}_{j} \hat{v}^{j}, N_{u}=$ $\frac{1}{W_{u}}\left(\xi-\hat{u}^{i} Y_{i}\right), N_{v}=\frac{1}{W_{v}}\left(\xi-\hat{v}^{j} Y_{j}\right)$ and

$$
\left\langle\bar{X}_{i}, \bar{X}_{j}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\left\langle X_{i}, X_{j}\right\rangle_{M} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle N_{u}-N_{v}, N_{u}-N_{v}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}} & =\left\langle N_{u}, N_{u}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}+\left\langle N_{v}, N_{v}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}-2\left\langle N_{u}, N_{v}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}} \\
& =2-2\left\langle N_{u}, N_{v}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}} \\
& =2-\frac{2}{W_{u} W_{v}}\left(1+\hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}^{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}^{i}=\left(W_{u} W_{v}-\frac{W_{u} W_{v}}{2}\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|_{\bar{M}}^{2}\right)-1 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Futher

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle G u-G v, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle_{M} & =\frac{\hat{u}_{i} \hat{u}^{i}}{W_{u}}-\frac{\hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}^{i}}{W_{v}}-\frac{\hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}^{i}}{W_{u}}-\frac{\hat{v}_{i} \hat{v}^{i}}{W_{v}} \\
& =\frac{W_{u}^{2}-1}{W_{u}}-\hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}^{i}\left(\frac{1}{W_{u}}+\frac{1}{W_{v}}\right)+\frac{W_{v}^{2}-1}{W_{v}} \\
& =\frac{W_{u}+W_{v}}{2}\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|_{\bar{M}}^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.3). Thus equality yields $N_{u}=N_{v}$ and this implies that $\nabla u=\nabla v$ since $G_{u}-G_{v}=\nabla u-\nabla v$

Theorem 3.4.2. Let $u: \Omega-\{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \Omega \subset M$, be a function whose Killing graph has prescribed mean curvature $H$. Then u extends smoothly to a solution at $p$.

Proof. Let $R$ be small so that there exists a smooth function $v$ defined on $B_{R}(p)$, with:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_{M} \frac{G v}{W}=n H, & \text { in } \quad B_{R}(p), \\
v=u, & \text { in } \quad \partial B_{R}(p),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

This exists by [10].
Let $C$ be a positive constant. Define

$$
\varphi=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
u-v, & \text { if } & |u-v|<C, \\
C, & \text { if } & |u-v| \geq C,
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, $\varphi$ is Lipschitz and $\nabla \varphi=\nabla u-\nabla v=G u-G v$ in the set $|u-v|<C$ and $\nabla \varphi=0$ in the complement of this set. We have for $0<r<R$

$$
\int_{\partial A(r, R)} \varphi\left\langle\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}, v\right\rangle \leq 2 \operatorname{Cvol}\left(S_{r}\right),
$$

where $W_{u}=\sqrt{1+\|G u\|^{2}}, W_{v}=\sqrt{1+\|G v\|^{2}}$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(S_{r}\right)$ is the volume of $S_{r}=\partial B_{r}(p)$.

Since the Killing graphs of $u$ and $v$ have the same mean curvature, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_{M} \varphi\left(\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right) & =\left\langle\nabla \varphi, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle+\varphi \operatorname{div}_{M}\left(\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right) \\
& =\left\langle\nabla \varphi, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\nabla u-\nabla v, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle G u-G v, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}},\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

on $|u-v|<C$. By Stokes Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A(r, R)} \operatorname{div}_{M} \varphi\left(\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right)=\int_{\partial A(r, R)} \varphi\left\langle\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}, v\right\rangle \leq 2 C \operatorname{vol}\left(S_{r}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Futhermore, by Lemma 4.1, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}_{M} \varphi\left(\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right) & =\left\langle G u-G v, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle_{M} \\
& =\frac{W_{u}+W_{v}}{2}\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|_{\bar{M}^{\prime}}^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

when $|u-v|<C$ and $\operatorname{div}_{M} \varphi\left(\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right)=0$ when $|u-v| \geq C$. Thus we have, by (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$
0 \leq \int_{A(r, R) \cap\{|u-v|<C\}} \operatorname{div}_{M} \varphi\left(\frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{Cvol}\left(S_{r}\right)
$$

As $r$ decreases to zero we get that $N_{u}=N_{v}$ on the set $|u-v|<C$. Hence $G u=G v$ in the set $|u-v|<C$.

Since $C$ was arbitrary, we have that $G u=G v$ in $A(0, R)$ and $u=v$ in $B_{R}(p)-\{p\}$. Thus $u=v$ in $B_{R}(p)$.

### 3.5 Asymptotic Properties of Sections $u, v: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{M}$ with the same prescribed mean curvature and equal on $\partial \Omega$.

In [9], Pascal Collin and Romain Krust studied graphs $u, v$ over non compact domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, that have the same mean curvature and with $u=v$ on $\partial \Omega$. They proved that when $u \neq v$, then $|u-v|$ must grow at least like $\log (r), r$ radial distance in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

This theorem of Collin and Krust, and its technique of proof, have had many applications and generalizations.

We now show that their techniques apply to sections $u, v: \Omega \subset M \rightarrow \bar{M}$, provided the volume of $\Omega$ intersected with the geodesic spheres of $M$, grows at most linearly in the radius of the sphere. For example, when $\bar{M}=$ Heisenberg Space and $M=$ the flat $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, this is always the case.

Theorem 3.5.1. Let $\Omega \subset M^{n}$ be a domain such that $\Omega$ intersects the boundary of each geodesic ball centered at a fixed point in a region whose volume is bounded by a constant times the radius, and $u, v$ two $C^{2}(\Omega)$ functions such that their Killing graphs have the same mean curvature, $H(u)=H(v)$ in $\Omega$ and $u \mid \partial \Omega$ and $v \mid \partial \Omega$ are piecewise differentiable and coincide in the points of continuity. Let $M(r)=\sup _{\Lambda_{r}}|u-v|$ where $\Lambda_{r}=\Omega \cap\{x \in M ; \operatorname{dist}(x, a)=r\}$. Then $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{\log r}>0$ if $u \neq v$. If the volume of $\Lambda_{r}$ is uniformly bounded then $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{r}>0$.

Proof. First observe that if $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact then $u=v$ in $\Omega$. For, in this case, one can move the graph $\Sigma_{u}$ of $u$, by the flow $\phi_{t}$ of $\xi$, and $\phi_{t}\left(\partial \Sigma_{u}\right) \cap \partial \Sigma_{u}=\emptyset$ for $t \neq 0$. Choose a largest $|t| \neq 0$ such that $\phi_{|t|}\left(\Sigma_{u}\right) \cap \Sigma_{v} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\phi_{|t|}\left(\Sigma_{u}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{v}$ touch at an interior point, hence they are equal by the maximum principle. This is a contradiction.

Recall that the unit normal to the graph $\Sigma_{u}$ of $u$ is written:

$$
N_{u}=\frac{-G u}{W_{u}}+\frac{1}{W_{u}} \xi,
$$

where $-G u$ is horizontal. Let $X_{u}$ and $X_{v}$ be the horizontal projections of $\frac{G u}{W_{u}}$ and $\frac{G v}{W_{v}}$ to $M$; also we will think of $G u$ and $G v$ as tangent to $M$.

We saw that

$$
G u-G v=\nabla u-\nabla v
$$

and

$$
\left\langle G u-G v, \frac{G u}{W_{u}}-\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\right\rangle_{\bar{M}}=\left\langle\nabla u-\nabla v, X_{u}-X_{v}\right\rangle_{M}=\frac{\left(W_{u}+W_{v}\right)}{2}\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|_{\bar{M}}^{2} .
$$

These equations are precisely what one needs to prove theorem 3.5.1 using the technique of Collin-Krust.

We begin the argument and we refer the reader to [9] for the completion of the proof.

Assuming $u \neq v$, we can suppose

$$
A=\{x \in \Omega / u(x)>v(x)\}
$$

is not bounded and connected.
Define $A_{r}=\{x \in A, \operatorname{dist}(x, p)<r\}$ and $\Lambda_{r}=\{x \in A, \operatorname{dist}(x, p)=r\}$. We will denote $\operatorname{vol}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$ the volume of the $\Lambda_{r}$. Let $r_{0}$ such that $\mu=\int_{A_{r_{0}}}\left|X_{u}-X_{v}\right|^{2}>0$, where $X_{u}=\frac{G u}{W_{u}}, X_{v}=\frac{G v}{W_{v}}\left(\mu\right.$ exists since $u \neq v$ and $\left.A_{r_{0}} \neq \emptyset\right)$.

By Stokes theorem we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\partial A_{r}}(u-v)\left\langle X_{u}-X_{v}, v\right\rangle & =\int_{A_{r}} \operatorname{div}\left((u-v)\left(X_{u}-X_{v}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{A_{r}}\left\langle\nabla u-\nabla v, X_{u}-X_{v}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{A_{r}}\left\langle G u-G v, X_{u}-X_{v}\right\rangle . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 4.1 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle G u-G v, X_{u}-X_{v}\right\rangle_{M}=\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{u}+W_{v}\right)\left\|N_{u}-N_{v}\right\|_{\bar{M}}^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{u}+W_{v}\right) \geq 1$. We have by (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{r}}(u-v)\left\langle X_{u}-X_{v}, v\right\rangle=\int_{\partial A_{r}}(u-v)\left\langle X_{u}-X_{v}, v\right\rangle \geq \int_{A_{r}}\left|X_{u}-X_{v}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu+\int_{A_{r}-A_{r_{0}}}\left|X_{u}-X_{v}\right|^{2} \leq M(r) \eta(r), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta(r)=\int_{\Lambda_{r}}\left|X_{u}-X_{v}\right| .
$$

By Schwartz's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(r)^{2} \leq \operatorname{vol}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \int_{\Lambda_{r}}\left|X_{u}-X_{v}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the reader can read [9], for the completion of the argument.

Remark. For graphs of prescribed mean curvature in Heisenberg space, over domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we conclude there is at most one bounded solution of the mean curvature equation over $\Omega$, with given boundary values. In particular, a bounded entire minimal graph is constant.
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