

Dicritical holomorphic flows on Stein manifolds

CÉSAR CAMACHO AND BRUNO SCÁRDUA*

Abstract. We study holomorphic flows on Stein manifolds. We prove that a holomorphic flow with isolated singularities and a dicritical singularity of the form $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \dots$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ on a Stein manifold M^n , $n \geq 2$ with $\check{H}^2(M^n, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, is globally analytically linearizable; in particular M is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n . A complete stability result for periodic orbits is also obtained.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). ???

Keywords. ???

1. Introduction. The study of holomorphic flows on Stein surfaces using techniques of Holomorphic Foliations with singularities was introduced by M. Suzuki [16]. Using techniques also from Potential Theory and Complex Analytic Spaces, Suzuki proves that for a non-trivial complete holomorphic vector field X on a Stein manifold M of dimension $n \geq 2$ there is an invariant subset $\sigma \subset M$ of zero logarithmic capacity such that on $M \setminus \sigma$ all orbits of X are isomorphic to exactly one of the following Riemann surfaces: $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ or \mathbb{C} . We shall refer to this saying that *the generic orbit of X is* (isomorphic to) \mathbb{C}^* or \mathbb{C} . Also according to Suzuki we have: (S.i) if the generic orbit is \mathbb{C}^* then there exists a meromorphic function, defined on the full space, which gives the periods of the \mathbb{C}^* -orbits; and (S.ii) orbits isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* are closed on $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ where $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset M$ denotes the codimension ≥ 2 analytic subset of M which is the set of singularities of the one-dimensional holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} induced by X on M . Therefore, as a consequence of the classical Remmert-Stein theorem [6], (S.iii) the closure of an orbit isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* is an analytic curve in M ; and finally (S.iv) if M has dimension two then it is proven by Suzuki that a holomorphic complete vector

*Partially supported by ICTP-Trieste-Italy.

field X on M with generic orbit \mathbb{C}^* always admits a (non-constant) meromorphic first integral on M . Regarding the study of singularities of complete holomorphic vector field we have the land-mark work of J. Rebelo et al (see [11], [12] and [4]).

The general motivation for this paper is to study the classification of the pairs (M, X) where M is a Stein surface and X is a complete holomorphic vector field on M having a suitable isolated singularity. Given a vector field X with isolated singularities on a manifold M we denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the one-dimensional holomorphic foliation on M whose leaves are the nonsingular orbits of X and with singular set $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X)) = \text{sing}(X)$. A singularity $P \in \text{sing}(X)$ is *dicritical* if for some neighborhood $P \in V$ there are infinitely many orbits of $X|_V$ accumulating only at P . The closure of such a local leaf is an invariant analytic curve called a *separatrix* of X through P . We prove the following global linearization theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on a Stein manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$. Assume that X has isolated singularities and some dicritical singularity with first jet of the form $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\forall j$. If $\text{sing}(X)$ is finite and $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then X is holomorphically conjugate to $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$. In particular, M is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n .*

Motivated by the proof of Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following complete stability lemma for periodic orbits of holomorphic flows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on the affine space \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. If for some $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the corresponding orbit is periodic (isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^*) and has finite holonomy group then the generic orbit of X is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* .*

In the situation of Theorem 1.2, as it follows from (S.i), (S.ii) and (S.iii) above, the generic orbit of X is contained in an analytic curve and X admits a meromorphic first integral $F: \mathbb{C}^n \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The very basic underlying idea is to compare the global dynamics of the vector field X with that of the linear model $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ using the very special properties of a dicritical holomorphic flow on a Stein manifold. This idea is already present in [15] though the $n \geq 3$ dimensional case is much more delicate from the technical point of view. Special difficulties arise from the fact that in general it is not possible to extend a holomorphic or meromorphic differential form on an analytic curve to the ambient Stein manifold if the dimension is greater than 2. More precisely, we study the basin of attraction $B(X)$ of the given dicritical singularity and prove this basin is the whole manifold, since the vector fields X and $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ are analytically conjugated in the corresponding basins of attraction, this will imply the global linearization of X as well as the analytic equivalence $M \cong \mathbb{C}^n$. The basic argumentation relies on the fact that an

orbit of X with non-trivial homology must be contained in an analytic curve in M and this will imply that $\partial B(X)$ is analytic and then empty.

2. Proof of the linearization theorem. In this section we consider the following framework. X is a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on a Stein manifold M with $\check{H}^2(M^n, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $P_0 \in \text{sing}(X)$ is a singularity where the first jet of X is of the form $j_1(X; P_0) = X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\forall j$. We assume that P_0 is *dicritical*.

The first step toward the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *The generic orbit of X is holomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* .*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{C}(\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ the convex hull of the set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Then we have $0 \notin \mathcal{C}(\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and therefore P_0 is a singularity in the Poincaré domain for X ([1]). Since P_0 is also dicritical, by the Poincaré-Dulac normal form theorem ([1]) X is actually analytically linearizable (analytically conjugate to $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$) in a neighborhood of P_0 and in particular in this neighborhood its flow is periodic. This implies that:

- (i) We have an attraction basin $B_{P_0}(X)$ of the singularity P_0 which is an open neighborhood of P_0 in M^n .
- (ii) Every orbit of X in $B_{P_0}(X)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* .
- (iii) The flow of X is periodic on $B_{P_0}(X)$.

Since X has an open set of orbits diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* , the generic orbit is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . Indeed, by the Identity Principle we obtain from (iii) above that the flow of X is periodic on M^n and therefore every orbit is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . Also, flow conjugation gives a biholomorphism taking $X|_{B(P_0; X)}$ onto $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ on the basin $B(0; X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ which is \mathbb{C}^n . \square

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following key proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $\text{sing}(X)$ is finite. Then the boundary $\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ is a finite union of analytic curves, each curve consists of a non-singular orbit L_0 of X and a single non-dicritical singularity of X at which L_0 accumulates.*

Proposition 2.2 will be proved in several steps. The first is:

Lemma 2.3. *$\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ contains no closed leaf.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $L_0 \subset \partial B_{P_0}(X)$ is a closed leaf. Then $L_0 \subset M^n$ is an analytic curve isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* .

Claim 2.4. *The holonomy group of L_0 is a finite (cyclic) group.*

Proof of Claim 2.4. Let $q \in L_0$ and $\Sigma_q \subset M$ be a transverse $(n-1)$ -disc to L_0 at $q = \Sigma_q \cap L_0$. Denote by $h_q: (\Sigma_q, q) \rightarrow (\Sigma_q, q)$ local holonomy diffeomorphism corresponding to the only non-trivial loop in $L_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. The germ h_q corresponds to a germ in the group $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$ in a natural way. Since $L_0 \subset \partial B_{P_0}(X)$ we have that $q = L_0 \cap \Sigma_q \in \partial B_{P_0}(X) \cap \Sigma_q = \partial(B_{P_0}(X) \cap \Sigma_q)$ so that q is a point of the boundary ∂A of an open subset $\emptyset \neq A \subset \Sigma_q$ such that if $y \in A$ then the orbit $L_y \subset B_{P_0}(X)$.

Since in $B_{P_0}(X)$ the vector field X is conjugate to the linear model $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ we conclude that $X|_{B_{P_0}(X)}$ admits a primitive meromorphic first integral say $F: B_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}$. In particular we have for the holonomy map $F|_{\Sigma_q \cap B_{P_0}(X)} \circ h_q = F|_{\Sigma_q \cap B_{P_0}(X)}$, i.e., $F \circ h_q = F$ in A . Finally, we recall that A is h_q invariant because $B_{P_0}(X)$ is invariant by X . By classical arguments and the Identity Principle this implies that h_q is a finite order map which can be put in the form $h_q(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = (\xi_1 y_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1} y_{n-1})$ with ξ_j a root of 1, $\forall j$, in suitable coordinates $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in (\Sigma_q, q)$. This proves the claim. \square

Claim 2.5. *There is a holomorphic one-form α in M such that $\oint_{\gamma_0} \alpha|_{L_0} = 1$ for a suitable non-trivial cycle $\gamma_0 \in \pi_1(L_0; q)$.*

Proof of Claim 2.5. The flow $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \times M \rightarrow M$ of X is a periodic say of period $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. For simplicity we can assume that $\tau = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}$. We introduce therefore an action $\psi: \mathbb{C}^* \times M \rightarrow M$ by setting $\psi(u, p) = \varphi(\log u, p)$ for any chosen branch of $\log u$ (ψ is well-defined due to the periodicity of φ). Since all orbits of X are isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* , we conclude that for any point $p \in M \setminus \text{sing}(X)$ the map $\psi_p: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow L_p \subset M$ is a biholomorphism. Denote now by Ω the one-form $\Omega(u) = \frac{du}{u}$ on \mathbb{C}^* in natural affine coordinates $u \in \mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{C}$. For each $p \in M \setminus \text{sing}(X)$ put $\alpha_p := (\psi_p^{-1})^*(\Omega)$. Then α_p is a (closed) holomorphic one-form in L_p . We claim that if $L_{p_1} = L_{p_2}$ then $\alpha_{p_1} = \alpha_{p_2}$. Indeed, by construction we have $(\psi_{p_j})^*(\alpha_{p_j}) = \Omega$, $j = 1, 2$. Thus, it suffices to show that $(\psi_{p_2}^{-1} \circ \psi_{p_1})^* \Omega = \Omega$. Indeed, this is the case because if we write $p_2 = \psi_{p_1}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda, p_1)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $(\psi_{p_2}^{-1} \circ \psi_{p_1})(u) = u \cdot \lambda^{-1}$ and therefore

$$(\psi_{p_2}^{-1} \circ \psi_{p_1})^* \Omega = (u \cdot \lambda^{-1})^* \left(\frac{du}{u} \right) = \frac{du}{u} - \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{du}{u} = \Omega.$$

Thus, we can construct a holomorphic one-form α_L on each leaf L of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ on $M \setminus \text{sing}(X)$, by the local trivialization of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ in $M \setminus \text{sing}(X)$. We obtain a well-defined one-form α on $M \setminus \text{sing}(X)$ with the property that $\alpha|_L = \alpha_L$, $\forall L \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. A classical result of Hartogs assures that α is holomorphic in $M \setminus \text{sing}(X)$ because it is holomorphic along the leaves $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and also in the transverse directions. Finally, since $\text{cod sing}(X) \geq 2$, classical Hartogs type extension results ([5]) imply that α admits a unique holomorphic extension to M . This proves the claim. \square

Claim 2.6. *The restriction $\alpha|_L$ is exact for every leaf $L \subset B_{P_0}(X)$.*

Proof of Claim 2.6. Indeed, if $L \subset B_{P_0}(X)$ then $\bar{L} = L \cup \{P_0\}$ is an analytic subvariety of M isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^* \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{C}$ and therefore simply-connected. Moreover, α is defined and holomorphic in M and therefore in a neighborhood of \bar{L} . Thus $\alpha|_L$ is exact for every leaf $L \subset B_{P_0}(X)$.

In particular we must have $\oint_{\gamma_L} \alpha|_L = 0$ for every closed cycle $\gamma \subset L$ if $L \subset B_{P_0}(X)$. On the other hand for the given cycle $\gamma_0 \in \pi_1(L_0, q)$ the corresponding holonomy map is $h_{\gamma_0} = h_q: (\Sigma_q, q) \rightarrow (\Sigma_q, q)$ which we have proven to of finite order. This implies that some suitable power γ_0^ℓ of γ_0 has closed lifting $\tilde{\gamma}_y$ to the leaves $L_y \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $y \in \Sigma_q \setminus \{q\}$ close enough to q . Thus, for $y \in \Sigma_q$ close enough to q we have $|\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y} \alpha - \int_{\gamma_0^\ell} \alpha| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\int_{\gamma_0^\ell} \alpha = \ell \int_{\gamma_0} \alpha = \ell \int_{\gamma_0} \alpha|_{L_0} = \ell$. Therefore $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y} \alpha \neq 0$, but $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y} \alpha = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y} \alpha|_{L_y}$ so that $\tilde{\gamma}_y \subset L_y$ is a closed loop with $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y} \alpha|_{L_y} \neq 0$. Since we can take $y \in \Sigma_q$ close enough to q and in $A = B_{P_0}(X) \cap \Sigma_q$ we get a contradiction with what we obtained above. This contradiction proves Lemma 2.3. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Since the leaves of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are closed outside $\text{sing}(X)$ we conclude from Lemma 2.3 that each leaf $L \subset \partial B_{P_0}(X)$ must accumulate on some singularity P of X , this singularity is necessarily non-dicritical because L is also accumulated by leaves L' in $B_{P_0}(X)$ and such a leaf cannot accumulate two singularities of X and therefore cannot accumulate on P .

Claim 2.7. $\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ contains no isolated point.

Proof of Claim 2.7. Indeed, if $P \in \partial B_{P_0}(X)$ is an isolated point then necessarily $P \in \text{sing}(X)$ and also since $B_{P_0}(X)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{2n} , we have $M \approx \mathbb{R}^{2n} \cup \{\infty\} = S^{2n}$ which is compact, contradiction. \square

Summarizing the above discussion we have proved Proposition 2.2. \square

The last step before the proof of Theorem 1.1 is:

Lemma 2.8. $M = B_{P_0}(X) \cup \partial B_{P_0}(X)$.

Proof. Put $U = M - \partial B_{P_0}(X)$ and $V = B_{P_0}(X)$. By Proposition 2.2 the boundary $\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ is a thin set, it is a finite union of analytic curves in a complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Therefore U is connected and we have $V \subset U$ with $\partial V = \partial U = \partial B_{P_0}(X)$. Therefore, $U = V$ and $M = B_{P_0}(x) \cup \partial B_{P_0}(X)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The case $n = 2$ is proved in [15] (that is the only case where we need $\check{H}^2(M^n, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$). Assume therefore $n \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.8 the flow gives a conjugation $\Psi: M \setminus \partial B_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ between X and $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$. The map Ψ writes in coordinate functions as $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n)$ where each $\Psi_j: M \setminus \partial B_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic. Since $\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ has codimension $n - 1 \geq 2$, the classical Hartogs Extension Theorem gives a holomorphic extension of each Ψ_j , and therefore of Ψ ,

to a holomorphic map $\tilde{\Psi}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Observe that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is also a local biholomorphism. Indeed, the singular set of $\tilde{\Psi}$ is given by $\{\text{Det Jac } \tilde{\Psi} = 0\}$ which is either empty or has codimension one. Finally, since $\Psi_*X = X_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$ on $M \setminus \partial B_{P_0}(X)$ by the Identity Principle we also have $\Psi_*X = X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ on M . Therefore Ψ takes orbits of X in M onto orbits of $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ in \mathbb{C}^n . In particular, $\Psi(\partial B_{P_0}(X))$ is a finite union of orbits and singularities of X in \mathbb{C}^n . However, every orbit of $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ is contained in the attraction basin $B_0(X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)})$ of the origin and therefore (since $\Psi(B_{P_0}(X)) = B_0(X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)})$) we conclude that any orbit in $\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ should be contained in $B_{P_0}(X)$, absurd. This implies that $\partial B_{P_0}(X)$ contains only singularities of X and this case we have already excluded above. Hence $\partial B_{P_0}(X) = \emptyset$ and indeed $M = B_{P_0}(X)$ and Ψ defines a conjugation between X on M and $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ on \mathbb{C}^n . This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

3. Stability for periodic orbits. The proof of Theorem 1.2 requires the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *A periodic orbit of a complete holomorphic vector field X on \mathbb{C}^n is contained in an analytic curve which is a (complete) intersection of $(n-1)$ principal analytic subsets of codimension one.*

Proof. Denote by $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ the flow of X and write $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n)$ in coordinate functions. Let $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a non-singular point whose orbit L_p is periodic, $L_p \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, say of period $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We define the set $\Delta_j := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \varphi_\tau^j(z) = z_j\}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $L_p \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n \Delta_j$ and we have:

Claim 3.2. $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \Delta_j$ is an analytic subset of \mathbb{C}^n of dimension ≥ 1 and it is of codimension ≥ 1 except if φ is periodic of period τ .

Proof of Claim 3.2. We define $\pi_j: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the projection $\pi_j(z) = z_j$ and $f_j: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f_j(z) = \varphi_\tau^j(z) - \pi_j(z)$. Then f_j is an entire function and $\Delta_j = f_j^{-1}(0)$ so that each Δ_j is an analytic subset of dimension $\geq n-1$ of \mathbb{C}^n . Moreover clearly φ is periodic of period τ on \mathbb{C}^n if and only if $\Delta_j = \mathbb{C}^n$, $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that φ is not periodic of period τ and let $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that $\Delta_{j_0} \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^n$. Then $\Delta \subset \Delta_{j_0}$ has codimension ≥ 1 . \square

\square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a non-singular point whose orbit L_p is closed and periodic. There is a holomorphic one-form Ω in \mathbb{C}^n such that $\Omega|_{L_p} = \alpha$ writes as*

$\alpha(z) = \frac{dz}{z}$ in some suitable coordinate $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof. If $n = 2$ then we refer to the analogous result proven in [15]. Assume therefore that $n \geq 3$. We have $L_P \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n \Delta_j$ as above. In order to fix the ideas we assume that $n = 3$. We have three cases to consider:

$$\text{1st case: } \dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^3 \Delta_j \right) = 1.$$

In this case, since each $\Delta_j \in \mathbb{C}^3$ is a principal analytic subset (of codimension ≤ 1) we can suppose that $\Delta_1 \neq \Delta_2$ and $\Delta_1 \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^3$, $\Delta_2 \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^3$ but $\Delta_3 \subset \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$. So that L_P is an irreducible component of the set $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$.

Since $\Delta_1 \neq \Delta_2$, the restriction $g_2 = f_2|_{\Delta_1}$ does not vanish identically and actually L_P is an irreducible component of $\{q \in \Delta_1 : g_2(q) = 0\}$. Now, Δ_1 is a Stein analytic subspace of \mathbb{C}^3 and $g_2: \Delta_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, $g_2 \not\equiv 0$, therefore by classical Cartan's Extension Theorem ([7]) we have a holomorphic extension α_1 to Δ_1 of a one-form α defined on L_P by $\alpha(z) = \frac{dz}{z}$ on a suitable coordinate $z: L_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ (notice that, since L_P is closed in \mathbb{C}^n , L_P is an analytic curve in Δ_1 and therefore we can suppose that $L_P = g_2^{-1}(0)$). The one-form α_1 on $\Delta_1 = \{q \in \mathbb{C}^3 : f_1(q) = 0\}$ also extends to a holomorphic one-form Ω on \mathbb{C}^3 by the same theorem of Cartan.

This proves the lemma in this first case.

$$\text{2nd case: } \dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^3 \Delta_j \right) = 3.$$

In this case $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = \mathbb{C}^3$ and the flow φ of X is periodic of period τ on \mathbb{C}^3 . In this situation we can replace φ by a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* -action $\psi: \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with the same orbits. Now by arguments of [16] and [13] (\mathbb{C}^* is a reductive Lie group), we know that all the orbits of φ are contained in analytic curves in \mathbb{C}^3 and we can define a one-form Ω on \mathbb{C}^3 representing the Lie Algebra of \mathbb{C}^* .

$$\text{3rd case: } \dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^3 \Delta_j \right) = 2.$$

We can assume that $\Delta_3 \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^3$ but $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \mathbb{C}^3$.

Claim 3.4. Δ_3 is invariant by X .

Proof of Claim 3.4. Let $q \in \Delta_3$ and take $\tilde{q} = \varphi_s(q)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We have to show that $\tilde{q} \in \Delta_3$, that is, $f_3(\tilde{q}) = 0$, which is equivalent to $\varphi_\tau^3(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}_3$ if we write $\tilde{q} = (\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Now, $\varphi_\tau^3(\tilde{q}) = \varphi_\tau^3(\varphi_s(q)) = \varphi_s^3(\varphi_\tau(q))$ by the flow condition. Since $q \in \Delta_3$ we have $\varphi_\tau(q) = q$ so that $\varphi_\tau^3(\tilde{q}) = \varphi_s^3(q)$. By choice $\tilde{q} = \varphi_s(q)$ so that $\tilde{q}_3 = \varphi_s^3(q)$ and therefore $\varphi_\tau^3(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}_3$ \square

Since Δ_3 is invariant by X we have an induced holomorphic action $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi|_{\Delta_3 \times \mathbb{C}}: \Delta_3 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta_3$ and L_P is an orbit of $\tilde{\varphi}$ of period τ and closed in Δ_3 .

Also we can, repeating for $\tilde{\varphi}$ arguments already used for φ , consider only two cases:

(3.i): $\tilde{\varphi}$ is periodic of period τ on Δ_3 .

In this case $\tilde{\varphi}$ is associated to a \mathbb{C}^* -action $\tilde{\psi}$ on Δ_3 and we can construct a holomorphic one-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ on Δ_3 corresponding to the Lie Algebra of \mathbb{C}^* . The one-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ extends to a holomorphic one-form Ω on \mathbb{C}^3 .

(3.ii): $\tilde{\varphi}$ is not periodic on Δ_3 and L_P is given by an analytic equation $\{\tilde{f}_3 = 0\}$ for some holomorphic function $f_3: \Delta_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\tilde{f}_3 \not\equiv 0$. Again, by Cartan's Theorem, the one-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ is obtained on Δ_3 by extension of $\alpha(z) = \frac{dz}{z}$ on $L_P \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, and by its turn $\tilde{\Omega}$ extends to \mathbb{C}^3 . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $n = 2$ the result is proven in [15]. Assume therefore $n \geq 3$. By Lemma 3.3 there is a holomorphic one-form Ω on \mathbb{C}^n such that $\alpha = \Omega|_{L_P}$ writes as $\alpha(z) = dz$ in a coordinate $z: L_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Now there is a loop $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow L_P$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \Omega = \int_{\gamma} \Omega|_{L_P} = \oint_{L_P} \alpha = \oint_{S^1} dz/z = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}, \text{ i.e., } \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma} \Omega = 1.$$

Since by hypothesis the holonomy of the leaf L_P is finite, say of order k , there is a transverse $(n-1)$ -disc $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $\Sigma \cong \mathbb{D}^{n-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} : |z| < 1\}$, such that $\Sigma \cap L_P = \{P\}$ (notice that L_P is closed so that and the holonomy group we can assume $\#(\Sigma \cap L_P) = 1$).

$\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_P, \Sigma)$ of the leaf L_P of the foliation \mathcal{F} induced by φ is (conjugate to) a finite subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$ of order k . In particular the k -th power $[\gamma^k] \in \pi_1(L_P, P)$ has a closed holonomy lifting $[\tilde{\gamma}_y^k] \in \pi_1(L_y, y)$ to each leaf L_y of \mathcal{F} through $y \in \Sigma$.

$$\text{We have } \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y^k} \Omega = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y^k} \Omega|_{L_y} = \oint_{\tilde{\gamma}_y^k} \Omega|_{L_y}.$$

Since $\oint_{\gamma^k} \Omega = k \oint_{\gamma} \Omega = 2\pi k \sqrt{-1}$ if $q \in \Sigma$ is close enough to P then also $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y^k} \Omega \neq 0$ and therefore $\Omega|_{L_y}$ is a (closed) holomorphic one-form on the Riemann surface L_y whose line integral along the closed path $\tilde{\gamma}_y^k \in \pi_1(L_y, y)$ is not zero. This implies that L_y is not simply-connected and therefore necessarily L_y is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . We also claim that L_y is closed analytic in \mathbb{C}^n . Indeed, otherwise since a periodic orbit is closed in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (\mathcal{F})$, L_y accumulates a single singularity say Q of \mathcal{F} . In particular $\bar{L}_y = L_y \cup \{Q\}$ is a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n isomorphic to \mathbb{C} and is simply-connected. Since Ω is defined in the space \mathbb{C}^n it also admits a restriction to \bar{L}_y and therefore necessarily $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_y^k} \Omega = 0$ yielding a contradiction in the above notation.

This shows that there is an invariant open subset W containing L_P and no singularity of \mathcal{F} such that every orbit (leaf) in W is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* and is a closed analytic subset of \mathbb{C}^n . This implies that the generic orbit of φ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . \square

As a straightforward corollary of the proof of Theorem 1.2 we obtain:

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. Given a closed orbit $L_P \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (for some $P \in \mathbb{C}^n$) isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* and of finite holonomy there is an invariant open neighborhood W of L_P in \mathbb{C}^n such that W contains no singularity of X and each orbit in W is closed isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* and of finite holonomy group. In other words the set*

$$\mathcal{H} = \{P \in \mathbb{C}^n : L_P \text{ is closed isomorphic to } \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and has finite holonomy group}\}$$

is an invariant open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \text{sing}(X)$.

4. Some questions and conjectures. We give a general construction:

Example 4.1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain and, ($n \geq 1$), and denote by $\text{Aut}(D)$ the (topological) group of automorphisms of D endowed with the compact open topology. A classical result of H. Cartan ([8]) states that $\text{Aut}(D)$ is a real Lie group whose Lie algebra $\text{Aut}(D)$ consists of all complete holomorphic vector fields $X: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. In particular we can have $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ bounded and Stein. This shows that there is a large collection of Stein manifolds equipped with holomorphic flows and our main result shows that the existence of a non-degenerate dicritical singularity is actually a strong restriction on both, the complete vector field and the ambient Stein manifold.

4.1. Conjectures. We think that (1) and (2) below are true:

(1) *On a Stein surface M^2 with $H^{\vee 2}(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ a complete holomorphic vector field without singularities and generic orbit \mathbb{C}^* has all orbits isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* .*

As a consequence of the work of Nishino ([9], [10]), Saito ([14]) and Suzuki ([17]) the above conjecture is true for complete holomorphic vector fields on \mathbb{C}^2 .

(2) *A complete holomorphic vector field X on \mathbb{C}^2 with isolated singularities and having a singularity at the origin of the form $X(x, y) = nx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - my \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$; is analytically linearizable on \mathbb{C}^2 . The same holds if we replace \mathbb{C}^2 by a Stein surface M^2 with $H^{\vee 2}(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.*

This is proved in [3] for complete *polynomial* vector fields.

4.2. Questions. Our study motivates the following questions.

- (1) Given a holomorphic flow φ on \mathbb{C}^2 , is it possible to have an exceptional minimal subset? Can an orbit diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C} accumulate on a non-closed orbit also diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C} ?
- (2) Is it true that a periodic flow on a Stein manifold M^n , $n \geq 2$, always admits a meromorphic first integral $M \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}$?

References

- [1] V. I. ARNOLD, Geometrical methods in the theory of ordinary differential equations, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [2] C. CAMACHO AND A. LINS NETO, The topology of integrable forms near a singularity. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Pub. Math.* **55**, 5–35 (1982).
- [3] D. CERVEAU AND B. SCÁRDUA, Complete polynomial vector fields in two complex variables. *Forum Mathematicum* **17**(3), 407–430 (2005).
- [4] E. GHYS AND J. C. REBELO, Singularités des flots holomorphes II. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **47**(4), 1117–1174 (1997).
- [5] R. C. GUNNING, Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables, Vol. I. Function theory, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Monterey, CA, 1990.
- [6] R. C. GUNNING, Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables, Vol. II, Local Theory, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Monterey, CA, 1990.
- [7] R. C. GUNNING, Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables, Vol. III. Homological theory, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Monterey, CA, 1990.
- [8] R. NARASIMHAM, Several Complex Variables, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, 1971.
- [9] T. NISHINO, Nouvelles recherches sur les fonctions entières de plusieurs variables complexes (II) Fonctions entières qui se réduisent à celles d’une variable. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **9**, 221–274 (1969).
- [10] T. NISHINO, Nouvelles recherches sur les fonctions entières de plusieurs variables complexes (III) Sur quelques propriétés topologiques des surfaces premières. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **10-2**, 245–271 (1970).
- [11] J. C. REBELO, Singularités des flots holomorphes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **46**(2), 411–428 (1996).
- [12] J. C. REBELO, Complete algebraic vector fields on affine surfaces II. *J. Differential Equations* **216**(1), 32–77 (2005).
- [13] R. W. RICHARDSON, Principal orbit types for reductive groups acting on Stein manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **208**, 323–331 (1974).
- [14] H. SAITO, Fonctions entières qui se réduisent à certains polynomes (1). *Osaka J. Math.* **9**, 293–332 (1972).

- [15] B. SCÁRDUA, On the classification of holomorphic flows and Stein surfaces. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* **52**(1), 79–83 (January 2007).
- [16] M. SUZUKI, Sur les opérations holomorphes de \mathbb{C} et de \mathbb{C}^* sur un espace de Stein. *Séminaire Norguet, Springer Lect. Notes* **670** 1977, 80–88.
- [17] M. SUZUKI, Sur les opérations holomorphes du groupe additif complexe sur l'espace de deux variables complexes. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4^e série* **10**, 517–546 (1977).

CÉSAR CAMACHO, IMPA-Estrada D. Castorina, 110 Jardim Botânico, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, CEP. 22460-320, BRAZIL

BRUNO SCÁRDUA, Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68530, 21.945-970 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, BRAZIL and ICTP, Trieste, Italy
e-mail: scardua@impa.br

Received: 27 September 2006