

# A NOTE ON A MAXIMAL CURVE

Arnaldo Garcia\* and Henning Stichtenoth

ABSTRACT. In this note we give a simple proof for the maximality of a curve over a finite field that was recently introduced by Abdon-Bezerra-Quoos. The main ingredient of our proof is a result of Frey-Rück.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a finite field of square cardinality  $|k| = \ell^2$ , with  $\ell$  being some prime power. By definition, a  $k$ -maximal curve  $\mathcal{C}$  is an algebraic curve (projective, non-singular and geometrically irreducible) defined over  $k$  such that its number  $|\mathcal{C}(k)|$  of  $k$ -rational points attains the Hasse-Weil upper bound; i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad |\mathcal{C}(k)| = |k| + 1 + 2g(\mathcal{C})\sqrt{|k|},$$

where  $g(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the genus of the curve  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this note we will be concerned with the case where  $\ell = q^n$  and  $n \geq 3$  is an odd integer. We fix the following notations:

- $n \geq 3$  is an odd integer,
- $q$  is a power of a prime number  $p$ ,
- $k$  is the finite field with  $q^{2n}$  elements,
- $N := (q^n + 1)/(q + 1)$ .

Observe that  $N$  is an integer since  $n$  is odd.

It is a result due to Abdon-Bezerra-Quoos [1] that the following affine plane equation defines a  $k$ -maximal curve:

$$(1.2) \quad Y^{q^2} - Y = Z^N.$$

We denote by  $\chi$  the curve given by Eqn.(1.2). In [1], the maximality of  $\chi$  is proved by an explicit determination of the  $Z$ -coordinates of the  $k$ -rational points, which is in fact very technical and does not give any insight why the curve is maximal. The maximality of  $\chi$  was later used in [5] to prove that the two equations

$$(1.3) \quad Y^{q^2} - Y = Z^N \quad \text{and} \quad X^q + X = Y^{q+1}$$

define an affine space curve whose non-singular projective model is  $k$ -maximal.

---

\*This paper was written while the first author visited Sabanci University in May 2009. His visit was supported by TÜBITAK, Sabanci University and CNPq (Proc. 307569/2006-3).

The particular case  $n = 3$  in Eqn.(1.3) is due to Giulietti-Korchmaros [8]. For  $q \neq 2$  these curves are particularly interesting since they provide the only examples of maximal curves for which it is known that they are not covered by the Hermitian curve over  $k$ .

Maximal curves have the so-called subcover property; i.e., if we have a surjective covering  $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  defined over  $k$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is a  $k$ -maximal curve, then  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is also  $k$ -maximal (see [9]).

The Hermitian curve is the best-known maximal curve over  $k$ , see [10, Lemma 6.4.4]; it can be defined by the affine plane equation

$$(1.4) \quad W^{q^n} - W = \alpha X^{q^n+1} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha^{q^n-1} = -1 .$$

Setting  $Z_1 = X^{q+1}$  in Eqn.(1.4) and noting that the element  $\alpha$  is an  $N$ -th power in the field  $k$ , it follows from the subcover property above that also the following equation gives a  $k$ -maximal curve:

$$(1.5) \quad W^{q^n} - W = Z^N .$$

The aim of this note is to give a simple proof for the maximality of the curve  $\chi$  in Eqn.(1.2). This will be done by comparing certain subcovers of the curve  $\chi$  with some subcover of the curve defined by Eqn.(1.5); the latter one we already know to be maximal over  $k$ , again by the subcover property of maximal curves. The new ingredient of this simplification is a theorem due to Frey-Rück [3] (see also the appendix of [2]) about relations between Zeta functions in Galois coverings of curves defined over finite fields. Our proof avoids the explicit determination of the  $Z$ -coordinates of the rational points in Eqn.(1.2). It would be nice to have a simplification of the proof of the maximality also for the curve in Eqn.(1.3) for  $n \geq 5$  (see [4] for the Giulietti-Korchmaros case  $n = 3$ ).

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We start with a remark describing a specific quotient curve of the curve given by Eqn.(1.5).

**Remark 2.1.** Setting in Eqn.(1.5)

$$w := W^{q^n/p} + W^{q^n/p^2} + \dots + W^p + W ,$$

we get that the following equation

$$(2.1) \quad w^p - w = Z^N$$

defines a  $k$ -maximal curve.

Now we present our proof of the theorem of Abdon-Bezerra-Quoos [1].

**Theorem 2.2.** *The curve  $\chi$  which is defined by the equation*

$$Y^{q^2} - Y = Z^N, \quad N = (q^n + 1)/(q + 1) ,$$

*is maximal over the field  $k$  of cardinality  $q^{2n}$ , with  $n \geq 3$  odd.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathbb{P}^1$  the projective line corresponding to the  $Z$ -coordinate. From the defining equation of the curve  $\chi$  we see that  $\chi$  covers  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and that this covering is  $p$ -elementary abelian of degree  $q^2$ . We are going to show that all intermediate covers  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\chi \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^1 \quad \text{with} \quad \deg \varphi = p ,$$

are maximal curves over  $k$ . After having proved this assertion, the theorem follows immediately from [2, Cor.6.7].

In Eqn.(1.2) we set, for  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ ,

$$y := (\beta Y)^{q^2/p} + (\beta Y)^{q^2/p^2} + \cdots + (\beta Y)^p + (\beta Y) ,$$

then we get the following equation:

$$(2.2) \quad y^p - y = \beta(Y^{q^2} - Y) = \beta Z^N .$$

As the element  $\beta$  varies over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ , the curves given by Eqn.(2.2) are exactly the intermediate curves  $\mathcal{C}$  mentioned above, see [6]. Since

$$(q^2 - 1) \text{ divides } (q^n - 1) \cdot \frac{q^n + 1}{N} = (q^n - 1)(q + 1) ,$$

any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$  is in fact an  $N$ -th power in the field  $k$ . Thus for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ , the curve  $\mathcal{C}$  defined by Eqn.(2.2) is  $k$ -isomorphic to the curve given by Eqn.(2.1). Hence all such curves  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $k$ -maximal, which finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** It has been shown that the curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{36}$  given by  $Y^9 - Y = X^7$  (which is the special case  $q = n = 3$  of Eqn.(1.2)) is not Galois covered by the Hermitian curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{36}$ , see [7]. It seems plausible that this assertion holds for all curves in Eqn.(1.2) with  $q \neq 2$ . In the case  $q = 2$  it is Galois covered, see [1]. A surprising fact is that both the Hermitian curves and the curves  $\chi$  from Eqn.(1.2) are fibre products over  $\mathbb{P}_1$  of curves which are isomorphic to the one defined by Eqn.(2.1).

**Remark 2.4.** Using the curve (1.3), one can construct other curves with many rational points as follows. Denote by  $\varphi(X)$  the polynomial

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(X) := X^{q^3} + X - (X^q + X)^{(q^3+1)/(q+1)} = (X^q + X) \cdot \left( \frac{X^{q^2} - X}{X^q + X} \right)^{q+1} .$$

Then the maximal curve over  $k = \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$  defined by Eqn.(1.3) can also be given by the equation

$$(2.4) \quad Z^{q^n+1} = \varphi(X) .$$

The high inseparability of  $\varphi(X)$  in Eqn.(2.3) is the key point in showing that the genus  $\gamma$  of the curve given by Eqn.(2.4) is small; we have that

$$(2.5) \quad 2\gamma = (q - 1) \cdot (q^{n+1} + q^n - q^2) .$$

From the maximality of this curve we get

$$(2.6) \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \mid \varphi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}| = q^{n+2} - q^3 + q^2.$$

Now we define another curve  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $k$  by

$$(2.7) \quad Z^{q^n} + Z = \varphi(X).$$

The genus and number of rational points of  $\mathcal{C}$  are given by

$$(2.8) \quad 2g(\mathcal{C}) = (q^n - 1)(q^3 - q^2) \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{C}(k)| = (q^{n+2} - q^3 + q^2) \cdot q^n + 1,$$

where the last equality above follows from Eqn.(2.6). One should also compare the genera in Eqn.(2.5) and Eqn.(2.8). The curve  $\mathcal{C}$  is particularly interesting for  $q = 2$ ; in this case one has

$$(2.9) \quad 2g(\mathcal{C}) = 4 \cdot 2^n - 4 \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{C}(k)| = 4 \cdot 2^{2n} - 4 \cdot 2^n + 1.$$

Note that a maximal curve over  $k$  with the genus as in Eqn.(2.9) (if such a curve exists) would have  $5 \cdot 2^{2n} - 4 \cdot 2^n + 1$   $k$ -rational points.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abdon, J. Bezerra and L. Quoos, *Further examples of maximal curves*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **213** (2009), 1192 - 1196.
- [2] I. Duursma, H. Stichtenoth and C. Voss, *Generalized Hamming weights for duals of BCH codes, and maximal algebraic function fields*, in *Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory*, R. Pellikaan, M. Perret and S.G. Vladut (Eds.), de Gruyter Berlin-New York (1996), 53-65.
- [3] G. Frey and H.-G. Rück, *The strong Lefschetz principle in algebraic geometry*, Manuscr. Math. **55** (1986), 385-401.
- [4] A. Garcia, *A note on the Giulietti-Korchmaros maximal curve*, to appear in Proceedings of AGCT-11 (held at CIRM, Marseille, Nov. 2007).
- [5] A. Garcia, C. Güneri and H. Stichtenoth, *A generalization of the Giulietti-Korchmaros maximal curve*, to appear in Adv. Geom.
- [6] A. Garcia and H. Stichtenoth, *Elementary abelian  $p$ -extensions of algebraic function fields*, Manuscr. Math. **72** (1991), 67-79.
- [7] A. Garcia and H. Stichtenoth, *A maximal curve which is not a Galois subcover of the Hermitian curve*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **37** (2006), 139-152.
- [8] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmaros, *A new family of maximal curves over a finite field*, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 229-245.
- [9] G. Lachaud, *Sommes d'Eisenstein et nombre de points de certaines courbes algebriques sur les corps finis*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **305** (1987), 729-732.
- [10] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **254**, Springer Verlag, 2009.

Arnaldo Garcia, IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, garcia@impa.br  
 Henning Stichtenoth, Sabanci University, 34956 Istanbul, Turkey, henning@sabanciuniv.edu