

# Regularity results for semimonotone operators

Rolando Gárciga Otero<sup>\*†</sup>      Alfredo Iusem<sup>‡</sup>

May 17, 2010

## Abstract

We introduce the concept of  $\rho$ -semimonotone point-to-set operators in Hilbert spaces. This notion is symmetrical with respect to the graph of  $T$ , as is the case for monotonicity, but not for other related notions, like e.g. hypomonotonicity, of which our new class is a relaxation. We give a necessary condition for  $\rho$ -semimonotonicity of  $T$  in terms of Lipschitz continuity of  $[T + \rho^{-1}I]^{-1}$  and a sufficient condition related to expansivity of  $T$ . We also establish surjectivity results for maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone operators.

**keywords:** hypomonotonicity, surjectivity, prox-regularity, semimonotonicity.

## 1 Introduction

Before introducing the class of  $\rho$ -semimonotone operators we recall the concept of monotonicity and a few of its relaxations.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  a point-to-set operator and  $G(T)$  its graph.*

*i)  $T$  is said to be monotone iff*

$$\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, u), (y, v) \in G(T).$$

*ii)  $T$  is said to be maximal monotone if it is monotone and additionally  $G(T) = G(T')$  for all monotone operator  $T' : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $G(T) \subset G(T')$ .*

---

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>†</sup>Instituto de Economia da Universidade Federal de Rio de Janeiro, Avenida Pasteur 250, Rio de Janeiro, Urca, RJ, Brazil. CEP: 22290-240 ([rgarciga@ie.ufrj.br](mailto:rgarciga@ie.ufrj.br)). Partially supported by CNPq.

<sup>‡</sup>Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 22460-320, Brazil ([iusp@impa.br](mailto:iusp@impa.br)). Partially supported by CNPq grant no. 301280/86

iii) For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,  $T$  is said to be  $\rho$ -hypomonotone iff

$$\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq -\rho \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall (x, u), (y, v) \in G(T).$$

iv) For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,  $T$  is said to be maximal  $\rho$ -hypomonotone if it is  $\rho$ -hypomonotone and additionally  $G(T) = G(T')$  for all  $\rho$ -hypomonotone operator  $T' : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $G(T) \subset G(T')$ .

v)  $T$  is said to be premonotone iff

$$\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq -\sigma(y) \|x - y\|, \quad \forall (x, u), (y, v) \in G(T),$$

where  $\sigma : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive valued function defined over the whole space  $H$ .

Next we introduce the class of operators which are the main subject of this paper.

**Definition 2.** Let  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  be a point-to-set operator,  $G(T)$  its graph and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  a real number.

i)  $T$  is said to be  $\rho$ -semimonotone iff

$$\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq -\frac{\rho}{2} (\|x - y\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2) \quad (1)$$

for all  $(x, u), (y, v) \in G(T)$ .

ii)  $T$  is said to be maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone if it is  $\rho$ -semimonotone and additionally  $G(T) = G(T')$  for all  $\rho$ -semimonotone operator  $T' : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $G(T) \subset G(T')$ .

The concepts of hypomonotonicity and premonotonicity were introduced in [5] and [2] respectively. We mention that a notion of maximal premonotonicity has also been introduced in [2], but the definition is rather technical and thus we prefer to omit it.

We mention that we restrict the range of the parameter  $\rho$  to the interval  $(0, 1)$  because all operators turn out to be  $\rho$ -semimonotone for  $\rho \geq 1$ , as can be easily verified.

It is clear that monotone operators are both premonotone and  $\rho$ -hypomonotone for all  $\rho > 0$ , and that  $\rho$ -hypomonotone operators with  $\rho \in (0, 1/2)$  are  $2\rho$ -semimonotone. It is also elementary that  $T$  is  $\rho$ -hypomonotone iff  $T + \rho I$  is monotone ( $I$  being the identity operator in  $H$ ).

In order to have a clearer view of the relation among these notions, it is worthwhile to look at the special case of self-adjoint linear operators in the finite dimensional case. If  $\Lambda(A)$  is the spectrum (i.e., set of eigenvalues) of the self-adjoint linear operator  $A : H \rightarrow H$ , it is well known that  $A$  is monotone iff  $\Lambda(A) \subset [0, \infty)$  and it follows easily from the comment above that  $A$  is  $\rho$ -hypomonotone iff  $\Lambda(A) \subset [-\rho, \infty)$ . On the other hand, linear premonotone operators are just monotone. It is also elementary that  $A$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone iff

$$\Lambda(A) \subset (-\infty, -\eta(\rho)] \cup [-\beta(\rho) + \infty),$$

with  $0 < \beta(\rho) < \eta(\rho)$  given by (5) and (7), i.e., the eigenvalues of self-adjoint  $\rho$ -semimonotone operators can lie anywhere on the real line, excepting for an open interval around  $-1/\rho$  contained in the negative halfline.

One of the main properties of maximal monotone operators is related to the regularization of the inclusion problem consisting of finding  $x \in H$  such that  $b \in T(x)$ , with  $T$  monotone and  $b \in H$ . Such problem may have no solution, or an infinite set of solutions, but the problem  $b \in (T + \lambda I)(x)$  is well posed in Hadamard's sense for all  $\lambda > 0$ , meaning that there exists a unique solution, and it depends continuously on  $b$ . This is a consequence of Minty's Theorem (see [4]), which states that for a maximal monotone operator  $T$ , the operator  $T + \lambda I$  is onto, and its inverse is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $L = \lambda^{-1}$ , (and henceforth point-to-point), for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

When the notion of monotonicity is relaxed, one expects to preserve at least some version of Minty's result. In the case of hypomonotonicity, the fact that  $T + \rho I$  is monotone when  $T$  is  $\rho$ -hypomonotone easily implies that Minty's result holds for maximal  $\rho$ -hypomonotone operators whenever  $\lambda$  belongs to  $(\rho, \infty)$ , with the Lipschitz constant of  $(T + \lambda I)^{-1}$  taking the value  $(\lambda - \rho)^{-1}$ .

The situation is more complicated when  $T$  is premonotone. Examples of premonotone operators  $T$  defined on the real line such that  $T + \lambda I$  fails to be monotone for all  $\lambda > 0$  have been presented in [2]. Nevertheless, the following surjectivity result has been proved in [2]: when  $T$  is maximal premonotone and  $H$  is finite dimensional then  $T + \lambda I$  is onto for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Minty's Theorem cannot be invoked in this case, and the proof uses an existence result for equilibrium problems originally established in [3] and extended later on in [1].

Before discussing the  $\rho$ -semimonotone case, it might be illuminating to look at the surjectivity issue in the one-dimensional case. It is easy to check that  $T + \lambda I$  is strictly increasing when  $T$  is monotone and  $\lambda > 0$ , or  $T$  is  $\rho$ -hypomonotone and  $\lambda > \rho$ , and furthermore the values of the regularized operator  $T + \lambda I$  go from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ . The surjectivity is then an easy consequence of the maximality of the graph  $G(T)$ . When  $T$  is pre-monotone,  $T + \lambda I$  may fail to be increasing for all  $\lambda > 0$  (see Example 3 in [2]), but still it holds that the operator values go from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ , and the surjectivity is also guaranteed. This is not the case for  $\rho$ -semimonotone operators. Not only a  $\rho$ -semimonotone operator  $T$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  may be such that  $T + \lambda I$  fails to be monotone for all  $\lambda > 0$ , but  $T$ , and even  $T + \lambda I$ , may happen to be strictly decreasing! (see Example 1 below). We will nevertheless manage to establish regularity of  $T + \lambda I$  when  $T$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone and  $\lambda$  belongs to a certain interval  $(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho)) \subset (0, +\infty)$ , with  $\beta(\rho)$ ,  $\eta(\rho)$  as in (5), (7) below (in the case of  $T$  like in Example 1, the surjectivity will be a consequence of the fact that  $T$  is strictly decreasing). We cannot invoke Minty's result in an obvious way, since  $T + \lambda I$  will not in general be monotone; rather, the proof will proceed through the analysis of the regularity properties of the operator  $[T + \beta(\rho)I]^{-1} + \gamma(\rho)I$ , with  $\gamma(\rho)$  as in (6) below.

## 2 Semimonotone operators

In this section we will establish several properties of semimonotone operators. We start our analysis with some elementary ones.

**Proposition 1.** *An operator  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone if and only if the operator  $T^{-1}$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone; furthermore,  $T$  is maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone if and only if  $T^{-1}$  is maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone.*

**Proof.** The result follows immediately from Definition 2, taking into account that  $(x, u) \in G(T)$  iff  $(u, x) \in G(T^{-1})$ .  $\square$

We mention that monotonicity of  $T$  is also equivalent to monotonicity of  $T^{-1}$ , but the similar statement fails to hold for  $\rho$ -hypomonotone operators. In fact, one of the motivations behind the introduction of the class of  $\rho$ -semimonotone operators is the preservation of this symmetry property enjoyed by monotone operators.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone and  $\alpha$  belongs to  $(\rho, 1/\rho)$  then  $\alpha T$  is  $\bar{\rho}$ -semimonotone with  $\bar{\rho} = \rho \max\{\alpha, 1/\alpha\}$ .*

**Proof.** Note first that  $\bar{\rho}$  belongs to  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $\bar{T} = \alpha T$  and take  $(x, \bar{u}), (y, \bar{v}) \in G(\bar{T})$ . By definition of  $\bar{T}$ , there exist  $u \in T(x), v \in T(y)$  such that  $\bar{u} = \alpha u, \bar{v} = \alpha v$ . By  $\rho$ -semimonotonicity of  $T$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x - y, \bar{u} - \bar{v} \rangle &= \alpha \langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq -\frac{\rho}{2} (\alpha \|x - y\|^2 + \alpha \|u - v\|^2) \\ &= -\frac{\rho}{2} \left( \alpha \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|^2 \right) \geq -\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} (\|x - y\|^2 + \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|^2), \end{aligned}$$

establishing  $\bar{\rho}$ -semimonotonicity of  $\bar{T} = \alpha T$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\delta$ -semimonotone for some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , then  $T$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone for all  $\rho \in (\delta, 1)$ .*

**Proof.** Elementary.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  (or  $T^{-1} : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ ) is  $\delta$ -hypomonotone with  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ , then  $T$  is  $2\delta$ -semimonotone. Moreover, if both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are  $\delta$ -hypomonotone with  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  then  $T$  is  $\delta$ -semimonotone.*

**Proof.** Elementary.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *We mention that a  $\delta$ -hypomonotone operator  $T$  with  $\delta \geq 1/2$ , may fail to be  $\rho$ -semimonotone for all  $\rho$ , but the operator  $A = \frac{\rho}{2\delta} T$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone for all  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ .*

**Proposition 5.** *An operator  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone if and only if*

$$\|x - y + u - v\|^2 \geq (1 - \rho) (\|x - y\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2), \quad \forall (x, u), (y, v) \in G(T).$$

**Proof.** Elementary. □

**Proposition 6.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone then its graph is closed (in the strong topology).*

**Proof.** Elementary. □

## 2.1 The one dimensional case

We study in this section  $\rho$ -semimonotone real valued functions, providing a simple characterization that helps in the construction of a key example and also suggests the line to follow in order to study the general case.

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  define  $\theta(\rho)$  as*

$$\theta(\rho) = \rho^{-1} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}. \quad (2)$$

*A function  $f : X \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone if and only if  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $g(x) = f(x) + \rho^{-1}x$  satisfies*

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \geq \theta(\rho) \|x - y\| \quad (3)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , or equivalently,  $g^{-1} = (f + \rho^{-1}I)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $\theta(\rho)^{-1}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone and define  $g(x) = f(x) + \rho^{-1}x$ . By Definition 2, for all  $x, y \in X$

$$(x - y)[f(x) - f(y)] \geq -\frac{\rho}{2} ((x - y)^2 + [f(x) - f(y)]^2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = \frac{(x - y)[f(x) - f(y)]}{(x - y)^2} \geq -\frac{\rho}{2} \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right]^2 \right). \quad (4)$$

Take any  $x \neq y \in X$  and define  $t = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$ . Then, (4) is equivalent to  $t \geq -\frac{\rho}{2}(1 + t^2)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{2}t^2 + t + \frac{\rho}{2} \geq 0 &\iff t \leq t_1 = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho} \quad \text{or} \quad t \geq t_2 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho} \\ &\iff \left| t + \frac{1}{\rho} \right| \geq \frac{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho} = \theta(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Since for any  $x \neq y$ ,

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} + \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} + \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{x - y}{x - y} = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y},$$

the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Fix  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\delta \geq \rho^{-1}\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$ , and define  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $g(x) = -\delta x - \frac{1}{3}x^3$ . Then

$$g'(x) = -\delta - x^2 \implies |g'(x)| = \delta + x^2 \geq \delta$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $g$  verifies (3). Hence,

$$f(x) = g(x) - \frac{1}{\rho}x = -\left(\delta + \frac{1}{\rho}\right)x - \frac{1}{3}x^3$$

is a  $\rho$ -semimonotone function, in view of Lemma 1. On the other hand, for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $h(x) = f(x) + \lambda x$  fails to be non-decreasing, and hence  $f + \lambda I$  is not monotone.

### 3 Prox-regularity properties

The surjectivity properties of  $T + \lambda I$  for a  $\rho$ -semimonotone operator  $T$  are related to its connection with the operator  $[T + \beta I]^{-1} + \gamma I$ , presented in the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $I$  be the identity operator in  $H$ . Take  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  and define  $\beta, \gamma, \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  as

$$\beta = \beta(\rho) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho}, \tag{5}$$

$$\gamma = \gamma(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}, \tag{6}$$

$$\eta = \eta(\rho) = \frac{1}{\gamma} + \beta = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho}. \tag{7}$$

- i) An operator  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone if and only if the operator  $(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \gamma I$  is monotone.
- ii) An operator  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone if and only if the operator  $(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \gamma I$  is maximal monotone.

**Proof.** Consider  $A : H \times H \rightarrow H \times H$  defined as  $A(x, u) = (u - \gamma x, (1 + \beta\gamma)x - \beta u)$ . It is elementary that  $A$  is invertible, with  $A^{-1}(x, u) = (u + \beta x, (1 + \beta\gamma)x + \gamma u)$ . Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = A(x, u)$  and  $\bar{T} = (T + \beta I)^{-1} + \gamma I$ . We claim that  $(x, u) \in G(\bar{T})$  if and only if  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in G(T)$ . We proceed to prove the claim:  $(x, u) \in G(\bar{T})$  iff  $u \in (T + \beta I)^{-1}(x) + \gamma x$  iff  $\bar{x} = u - \gamma x \in (T + \beta I)^{-1}(x)$  iff  $x \in (T + \beta I)(\bar{x}) = T(\bar{x}) + \beta \bar{x}$  iff  $\bar{u} = x - \beta \bar{x} \in T(\bar{x})$  iff  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in G(T)$ .

The claim is established and we proceed with the proof of (i). Consider pairs  $(x, u), (y, v) \in G(\bar{T})$  and let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = A(x, u)$  as before, and also  $(\bar{y}, \bar{v}) = A(y, v)$ . Observe that  $\bar{T}$  is monotone if and only if, for all  $(x, u), (y, v) \in G(\bar{T})$ , it holds that

$$0 \leq \langle x - y, u - v \rangle = \langle (\bar{u} + \beta \bar{x}) - (\bar{v} + \beta \bar{y}), [(1 + \gamma\beta)\bar{x} + \gamma \bar{u}] - [(1 + \gamma\beta)\bar{y} + \gamma \bar{v}] \rangle = \\ (1 + 2\gamma\beta)\langle \bar{x} - \bar{y}, \bar{u} - \bar{v} \rangle + (1 + \gamma\beta)\beta\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|^2 + \gamma\|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|^2, \quad (8)$$

using the definition of  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), (\bar{y}, \bar{v})$  and the formula of  $A^{-1}$  in the first equality. Note that the inequality in (8) is equivalent to

$$\langle \bar{x} - \bar{y}, \bar{u} - \bar{v} \rangle \geq -\frac{(1 + \gamma\beta)\beta}{1 + 2\gamma\beta}\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{1 + 2\gamma\beta}\|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|^2 = \\ -\frac{\rho}{2}(\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|^2 + \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|^2), \quad (9)$$

using (5), (6) in the equality. In view of the claim above and the invertibility of  $A$ ,  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), (\bar{y}, \bar{v})$  cover  $G(T)$  when  $(x, u), (y, v)$  run over  $G(\bar{T})$ . Thus, we conclude from (1) that the inequality in (9) is equivalent to the  $\rho$ -semimonotonicity of  $T$ .

We proceed now with the proof of (ii): In view of (i), if we can add a pair  $(x, u)$  to  $G(\bar{T})$  while preserving the monotonicity of  $\bar{T}$ , then we can add the pair  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = A(x, u)$  to  $G(T)$  and preserve the  $\rho$ -semimonotonicity of  $T$ , and viceversa. It follows that the maximal monotonicity of  $\bar{T}$  is equivalent to the maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotonicity of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone then the operator  $(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \mu I$  is onto for all  $\mu > \gamma(\rho)$ , where  $\gamma(\rho)$  is given by (6).*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2(ii),  $\bar{T} = (T + \beta I)^{-1} + \gamma I$ , with  $\beta(\rho)$  as in (5), is maximal monotone. Since

$$(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \mu I = [(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \gamma I] + (\mu - \gamma)I = \bar{T} + (\mu - \gamma)I$$

and  $\mu - \gamma > 0$ , the result follows from Minty's Theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is maximal  $\rho$ -semimonotone then the operator  $T + \lambda I$  is onto for all  $\lambda \in (\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$ , where  $\beta(\rho)$  and  $\eta(\rho)$  are given by (5) and (7) respectively.*

**Proof.** Fix  $\beta(\rho), \gamma(\rho)$  and  $\eta(\rho)$  as in (5)-(7). Given  $\lambda \in (\beta, \eta)$ , define  $\mu = (\lambda - \beta)^{-1} > 0$ . In view of (7),  $\lambda < \eta$  implies that  $\mu > \gamma$ . By Corollary 1,  $(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \mu I$  is onto. Fix  $y \in H$ . We must

exhibit some  $z \in H$  such that  $y \in (T + \lambda I)(z)$ . Since  $(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \mu I$  is onto, there exists  $x \in H$  such that  $\mu y \in [(T + \beta I)^{-1} + \mu I](x)$ , or equivalently,  $\mu(y - x) \in (T + \beta I)^{-1}(x)$ , that is to say,

$$x \in (T + \beta I)[\mu(y - x)] \quad (10)$$

Define  $z = \mu(y - x)$ . In view of (10),  $y - \frac{1}{\mu}z = x \in (T + \beta I)(z)$ , which is equivalent to

$$y \in \left[ T + \left( \beta + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) I \right] (z) = (T + \lambda I)(z), \quad (11)$$

in view of the definition of  $\mu$ . It follows from (11) that the chosen  $z$  is an appropriate one, thus establishing the surjectivity of  $T + \lambda I$ .  $\square$

We prove next that if  $T$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone then  $[T + \lambda I]^{-1}$  is point-to-point and continuous for an appropriate  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\beta(\rho)$  and  $\eta(\rho)$  be given by (5) and (7) respectively. If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone then the operator  $(T + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz continuous for all  $\lambda \in (\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$ , with Lipschitz constant  $L(\lambda)$  given by*

$$L(\lambda) = \frac{|1 - \rho\lambda| + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{2\lambda - \rho(1 + \lambda^2)}, \quad (12)$$

and henceforth point-to-point.

**Proof.** Take  $u, v \in H$ ,  $x \in (T + \lambda I)^{-1}(u)$  and  $y \in (T + \lambda I)^{-1}(v)$ . We must prove that

$$\|x - y\| \leq L(\lambda)\|u - v\|. \quad (13)$$

Note that  $u - \lambda x \in T(x)$ ,  $v - \lambda y \in T(y)$ , so that, applying Definition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\rho}{2} [\|x - y\|^2 + \|u - v - \lambda(x - y)\|^2] &\leq \langle (u - \lambda x) - (v - \lambda y), x - y \rangle = \\ &\langle u - v, x - y \rangle - \lambda\|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Expanding the last term in the leftmost expression of (14) and rearranging, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \lambda - \frac{\rho}{2}(1 + \lambda^2) \right] \|x - y\|^2 - \frac{\rho}{2}\|u - v\|^2 &\leq (1 - \lambda\rho)\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \leq \\ &|1 - \lambda\rho|\|u - v\|\|x - y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From the fact that  $\lambda \in (\beta, \eta)$ , it follows easily that  $\lambda - \frac{\rho}{2}(1 + \lambda^2) > 0$ , so that, taking  $u = v$  in (15), we obtain that  $x = y$ , and henceforth (13) holds when  $u = v$ . Otherwise, define

$$\omega = \frac{\|x - y\|}{\|u - v\|}$$



and observe that the inequality in (15) is equivalent to

$$[2\lambda - \rho(1 + \lambda^2)]\omega^2 - 2|1 - \lambda\rho|\omega - \rho \leq 0. \quad (16)$$

Again, the fact that  $\lambda \in (\beta, \eta)$  guarantees that the coefficient of  $\omega^2$  in the left hand side of (16) is positive, so that (16) holds iff  $\omega$  belongs to the interval whose extremities are the two roots of the quadratic in the left hand side of (16), namely

$$\omega_1 = \frac{|1 - \rho\lambda| - \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{2\lambda - \rho(1 + \lambda^2)}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{|1 - \rho\lambda| + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{2\lambda - \rho(1 + \lambda^2)}.$$

It is not hard to check that  $\omega_1 < 0 < \omega_2$ ; the right inequality is immediate, and the left one follows easily from the fact that  $\lambda$  belongs to  $(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$ . Since  $\omega = \|x - y\|/\|u - v\|$  is positive, we conclude that (16) is equivalent to  $\omega \leq \omega_2$ , which is itself equivalent to (13), in view of the definition of  $L(\lambda)$ , given in (12). The fact that  $(T + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is point-to-point is an immediate consequence of (13).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone then the operator  $(T^{-1} + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz continuous for all  $\lambda \in (\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$ , with Lipschitz constant  $L(\lambda)$  given by (12). If in addition  $T$  is maximal, then  $T^{-1} + \lambda I$  is onto for all  $\lambda \in (\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$ .*

**Proof.** The result follows from Proposition 1, Corollary 2 and Theorem 3.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *Note that  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} \beta(\rho) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} \eta(\rho) = 1$ , and that  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(\rho) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \eta(\rho) = +\infty$ , so that the “regularity window” of a  $\rho$ -semimonotone operator  $T$  (i.e., the interval of values of  $\lambda$  for which  $T + \lambda I$  is onto and its inverse is Lipschitz continuous), approaches the whole positive halfline when  $\rho$  approaches 0, i.e., when  $T$  approaches plain monotonicity, and reduces to a thin interval around 1 when  $\rho$  approaches 1 (remember that when  $\rho = 1$  the inequality in (1) holds for any operator  $T$ , meaning that no “regularity window” can occur for  $\rho = 1$ ).*

**Remark 3.** *Observe that*

$$0 < \beta(\rho) < \rho < 1 < \frac{1}{\rho} < \eta(\rho)$$

for all  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , so that 1,  $\rho$  and  $\rho^{-1}$  always belong to the “regularity window” of a  $\rho$ -semimonotone operator  $T$ . We present next the values of the Lipschitz constant  $L(\lambda)$  of  $(T + \lambda I)^{-1}$  for the case in which  $\lambda$  takes these three special values:

$$L(1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho}} \right), \quad L(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right), \quad L\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}.$$

We state next that the characterization of semimonotonicity presented in Lemma 1 for the one dimensional case is a necessary condition for the general case.

**Corollary 4.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone then the operator  $(T + \rho^{-1}I)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant equal to  $\theta(\rho)^{-1}$ , where  $\theta(\rho)$  is given by (2).*

**Proof.** The result follows from Theorem 3 and Remark 3 with  $\lambda = \rho^{-1}$ .  $\square$

A sufficient condition can be stated in terms of expansivity of  $T$ . We prove next that if  $T$  is expansive, with expansivity constant larger than or equal to  $\eta(\rho)$  as given by (7) (an assumption stronger than Lipschitz continuity of  $(T + \rho^{-1}I)^{-1}$  with Lipschitz constant equal to  $\theta(\rho)^{-1}$ ), then  $T$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone.

**Proposition 7.** *Take  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . If  $T : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  is  $\nu$ -expansive with  $\nu \geq \eta(\rho)$ , then  $T$  is  $\rho$ -semimonotone.*

**Proof.** Fix  $u \in T(x)$  and  $v \in T(y)$ , with  $x \neq y$ . Define  $t = \frac{\|u - v\|}{\|x - y\|}$ . Then  $t \geq \nu$  because  $T$  is  $\nu$ -expansive. Therefore  $t \geq t_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{\rho}$ , where  $t_2$  is the largest root of the quadratic  $\frac{\rho}{2}t^2 - t + \frac{\rho}{2}$ , as in the proof of Lemma 1. Thus,

$$\frac{\rho}{2}(t^2 + 1) \geq t \implies \frac{\rho}{2}(\|x - y\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2) \geq \|u - v\|\|x - y\| \geq -\langle x - y, u - v \rangle$$

for all  $x \neq y$ . Since the inequality in (1) is trivially valid when  $x = y$ , the result holds.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Iusem, A.N., Kassay, G., Sosa, W. On certain conditions for the existence of solutions of equilibrium problems. *Mathematical Programming* **116** (2009) 259-273.
- [2] Iusem, A.N., Kassay, G., Sosa, W. An existence result for equilibrium problems with some surjectivity consequences. *Journal of Convex Analysis* **16** (2009) 807-826.
- [3] Iusem, A.N., Sosa, W. New existence results for equilibrium problems. *Nonlinear Analysis* **52** (2002) 621-635.
- [4] Minty, G. A theorem on monotone sets in Hilbert spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **11** (1967) 434-439.
- [5] Pennanen, T. Local convergence of the proximal point method and multiplier methods without monotonicity. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **27** (2002) 170-191.