# ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE GENUS AND THE NUMBER OF RATIONAL PLACES IN TOWERS OF FUNCTION FIELDS OVER A FINITE FIELD

### ARNALDO GARCIA AND HENNING STICHTENOTH

ABSTRACT. We discuss the asymptotic behaviour of the genus and the number of rational places in towers of function fields over a finite field.

#### 1. Introduction

Y.I.hara and Y.I.Manin discovered independently that the classical Hasse-Weil bound for the number of rational points on a curve over a finite field can be improved substantially if the genus of the curve is large with respect to the cardinality of the underlying finite field.

Manin's proof is based on coding theory. In his paper [12] with the title "What is the maximum number of points on a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ?" he recalls Goppa's construction of error-correcting codes using algebraic curves over a finite field (these codes are nowadays known as algebraic geometric codes), and he shows then that well-known bounds for the parameters of codes (like the Mc Eliece-Rodemich-Rumsey-Welch bound) imply an improvement of the Hasse-Weil upper bound

$$N(\chi) \le q + 1 + 2g(\chi) \cdot \sqrt{q} \tag{1.1}$$

for q=2 or 3 and large genus. Here  $\chi$  denotes a non-singular, absolutely irreducible, projective algebraic curve over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $N(\chi)$  (resp.  $g(\chi)$ ) is the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points (resp. the genus) of  $\chi$ .

While Manin's arguments work only for q = 2 and q = 3, Ihara's results hold for all q. In his short note "Some remarks on the number of rational points on algebraic curves over finite fields" he introduces, for any prime power q, the real number (see [11])

$$A(q) := \limsup_{\chi} N(\chi)/g(\chi),$$

where  $\chi$  runs over all non-singular, absolutely irreducible, projective curves over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with genus  $g(\chi) > 0$ . It follows immediately from the Hasse-Weil bound (1.1) that  $A(q) \leq 2 \cdot \sqrt{q}$ . Ihara's first result is that one has the much stronger estimate

$$A(q) \le (\sqrt{8q+1} - 1)/2. \tag{1.2}$$

A. Garcia was partially supported by PRONEX CNPq # 662408/1996-9 (Brazil).

The idea of his proof is very simple: Let  $N_r(\chi)$  denote the number of rational points on  $\chi$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ , for each  $r \geq 1$ . The Hasse-Weil bound for  $\chi/\mathbb{F}_q$  and for  $\chi/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and the trivial observation that  $N(\chi) = N_1(\chi)$  is less or equal to  $N_2(\chi)$  yield easily the proof of Inequality (1.2).

It turns out to be much harder to obtain non-trivial lower bounds C>0 for A(q). To this end one has to provide an infinite sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n\geq 0}$  of curves  $\chi_n/\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(\chi_n)/g(\chi_n) \geq C$ . Ihara proved in [11] already the fundamental result

$$A(q) \ge \sqrt{q} - 1$$
 for square cardinalities  $q$ , (1.3)

by showing that certain (Shimura-) modular curves have sufficiently many  $\mathbb{F}_q$ rational points, when q is a square. The Inequality (1.3) was again proved by
Tsfasman, Vladut and Zink [16], and these authors showed that (1.3) implies an
improvement of the Gilbert-Varshamov bound (which is a fundamental bound in
coding theory) for all square cardinalities  $q \geq 49$ .

Refining Ihara's method, Drinfeld and Vladut [3] improved Inequality (1.2) further and showed that

$$A(q) \le \sqrt{q} - 1$$
 for all  $q$ . (1.4)

In particular it follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$A(q) = \sqrt{q} - 1$$
, if q is a square. (1.5)

For non-squares  $q=p^{2m+1}$  much less is known about A(q). Based on classfield towers and the Golod-Shafarevic theorem, Serre [14] proved that

$$A(q) \ge c \cdot \log q > 0 \tag{1.6}$$

with some constant c > 0, independent of q (see also [13]). For  $q = p^3$  (p a prime number), Zink [19] proved the lower bound

$$A(p^3) \ge \frac{2(p^2 - 1)}{p + 2}. (1.7)$$

He obtained Inequality (1.7) by using degenerations of Shimura modular surfaces.

All above-mentioned results on lower bounds for A(q) are based on deep methods from number theory and algebraic geometry (classfield towers, classical modular curves, Shimura modular curves and surfaces, Drinfeld modular curves). Moreover, most sequences  $(\chi_n)_{n\geq 0}$  of curves  $\chi_n/\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(\chi_n)/g(\chi_n) > 0$  which were constructed by those methods are far from being explicit. However, for applications (e.g., in coding theory or cryptography) one needs curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with large genus and many rational points, which are given by explicit equations and such that their rational points are given explicitly by coordinates.

Following an attempt by Feng, Rao and Pellikaan, Garcia and Stichtenoth published in 1995 the first explicit example of a sequence  $(\chi_n)_{n>0}$  of curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ 

with  $q=\ell^2$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}N(\chi_n)/g(\chi_n)=\sqrt{q}-1$ , hence attaining the Drinfeld-Vladut bound (1.4) (see [6]). In subsequent papers, these ideas were further developped (see [7],[8],[9]). For explicit equations for certain modular curves we refer to [4]. Our approach is, in comparison with all others mentioned above, fairly elementary and explicit.

The aim of this paper is to explain our construction of infinite sequences of curves, by presenting one typical example in detail. We will use the language of algebraic function fields which is essentially equivalent to that of algebraic curves. We assume only some basic facts from the theory of function fields: the main tool is ramification theory in finite extensions.

### 2. Preliminaries and notations

Our reference for the theory of algebraic function fields is the book [15]. We fix now some notations which will be used throughout this paper:

$\mathbb{F}_q$	the finite field with cardinality $q$ .
p	the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
$F, E, F_n, \dots$	algebraic function fields (in one variable) over $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We always assume that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the full constant field of $F$ (resp. $E, F_n,$ ).
g(F)	the $genus$ of the function field $F$ .
P,Q,	places of a function field.
$\deg P$	the degree of the place $P$ . In particular, the place is said to be $rational$ (or $\mathbb{F}_q$ - $rational$ ) if $\deg P = 1$ .
$v_P$	the (normalized) discrete valuation associated with the place $P$ .
$\mathbb{P}(F)$	the set of places of $F$ .

 $N(F) = N(F/\mathbb{F}_q)$  the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational places of F.

Let E/F be a finite algebraic extension of function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any place  $P \in \mathbb{P}(F)$  there are finitely many places  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(E)$  lying above P. We then write Q|P and denote by

e(Q|P) the ramification index of Q|P,

f(Q|P) the inertia degree of Q|P.

Then  $\deg Q = f(Q|P) \cdot \deg P$ , and we have the fundamental equality

$$\sum_{Q|P} e(Q|P) \cdot f(Q|P) = [E:F]. \tag{2.1}$$

The place  $P \in \mathbb{P}(F)$  is said to be

ramified in E/F if e(Q|P) > 1 for some Q|P,

wildly ramified in E/F if gcd(e(Q|P), q) > 1 for some Q|P,

tame in E/F if it is not wildly ramified,

totally ramified in E/F if e(Q|P) = [E:F] for some Q|P (it follows from Eq.(2.1) that Q is then the only place above P and that  $\deg Q = \deg P$ ),

completely splitting in E/F if there are exactly m = [E : F] distinct places  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_m \in \mathbb{P}(E)$  lying above P. Then  $\deg Q_i = \deg P$  for all  $Q_i|P$ , as follows from Eq.(2.1).

From the fundamental equality (2.1) we also conclude an estimate for the number of rational places of  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$t \cdot [E:F] \le N(E) \le [E:F] \cdot N(F), \tag{2.2}$$

where t is the number of rational places of F which are completely splitting in the extension E/F.

In addition we assume now that the extension E/F is separable. Then the following formula due to Hurwitz relates the genera of E and F:

$$2g(E) - 2 = [E : F] \cdot (2g(F) - 2) + \deg \text{Diff}(E/F). \tag{2.3}$$

Here Diff(E/F) denotes the different of E/F which is a divisor of the function field  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$Diff(E/F) = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(F)} \sum_{Q|P} d(Q|P) \cdot Q.$$

The integer d(Q|P) is called the different exponent of Q|P, and Dedekind's different theorem asserts that

$$d(Q|P) \ge e(Q|P) - 1,\tag{2.4}$$

with equality if and only if Q|P is tame; i.e., if and only if the characteristic p does not divide e(Q|P)

We will need some results about the behaviour of places in the composite of two function fields. So we consider now a finite extension E/F of the function field  $F/\mathbb{F}_q$  and two intermediate fields  $F \subseteq E_i \subseteq E$  (for i = 1, 2) such that E is the composite field  $E = E_1 \cdot E_2$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(E)$  be a place of E, and let  $Q_i = Q|_{E_i}$  and  $P = Q|_F$  be the places below Q in  $E_i$  and in F. Then the following results hold, (see [15], ch.III).

- a) If  $e(Q_1|P) = 1$  and  $e(Q_2|P) = [E_2 : F]$ , then it follows that  $e(Q|Q_1) = e(Q_2|P) = [E : E_1]$  and  $e(Q|Q_2) = 1$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is algebraically closed in  $E_1$ , then it is also algebraically closed in the field E. (2.5)
- b) If P is completely splitting in  $E_2/F$ , then the place  $Q_1$  splits completely in  $E/E_1$ . (2.6)

The assertion in (2.5) is a special case of Abhyankar's lemma (see [15], Prop. III.8.9).

# 3. Basic theory of towers of function fields

As we pointed out in the Introduction, we want to construct explicitly sequences  $(F_i)_{i\geq 0}$  of function fields  $F_i/\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $g(F_i)\to\infty$  and  $\limsup_{i\to\infty}N(F_i)/g(F_i)$  is large. By the Drinfeld-Vladut bound (1.4) we always have that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \sup N(F_i) / g(F_i) \le A(q) \le \sqrt{q} - 1, \tag{3.1}$$

and any sequence with  $\limsup_{i\to\infty} N(F_i)/g(F_i) > 0$  yields by (3.1) a non-trivial lower bound for A(q). We will not consider arbitrary infinite sequences of function fields but we will focus on towers only.

**Definition 3.1.** A tower of function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is an infinite sequence  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, \ldots)$  of function fields  $F_i/\mathbb{F}_q$  having the following properties:

- i)  $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \ldots$ , and for each  $n \ge 1$  the extension  $F_n/F_{n-1}$  is separable of degree  $[F_n:F_{n-1}] > 1$ .
- ii)  $g(F_i) > 1$  for some  $j \ge 0$ .

It is clear by the Hurwitz genus formula (2.3) that  $g(F_i) \to \infty$  for  $i \to \infty$ . As we will show, the limit  $\lim_{i\to\infty} N(F_i)/g(F_i)$  exists for any tower  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, \ldots)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a tower of function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then the two sequences

$$(N(F_i)/[F_i:F_0])_{i\geq 0}$$
 and  $(g(F_i)/[F_i:F_0])_{i\geq 0}$ 

are convergent, with

$$0 \le \lim_{i \to \infty} N(F_i)/[F_i : F_0] < \infty \text{ and } 0 < \lim_{i \to \infty} g(F_i)/[F_i : F_0] \le \infty.$$

*Proof.* i) For  $i \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{N(F_i)/[F_i:F_0]}{N(F_{i-1})/[F_{i-1}:F_0]} = \frac{N(F_i)}{[F_i:F_{i-1}]\cdot N(F_{i-1})} \le 1$$

by (2.2). The sequence  $(N(F_i)/[F_i:F_0])_{i\geq 0}$  is therefore monotonously decreasing, hence convergent.

ii) Choose  $j \ge 0$  such that  $g(F_j) > 1$ . As in item i) one shows that the sequence  $((g(F_i) - 1)/[F_i : F_0])_{i \ge j}$  is monotonously increasing, using the Hurwitz genus

formula (2.3). Hence the sequence  $((g(F_i)-1)/[F_i:F_0])_{i\geq 0}$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$ , and the sequence  $(g(F_i)/[F_i:F_0])_{i>0}$  has the same limit.

Now the following definitions make sense:

**Definition 3.3.** For a tower  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i>0}$  of function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  we define

$$u(\mathcal{F}/F_0) := \lim_{i \to \infty} N(F_i)/[F_i : F_0], \text{ the } splitting \ rate \ of } \mathcal{F}/F_0,$$

and

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) := \lim_{i \to \infty} g(F_i)/[F_i : F_0], \text{ the } genus \text{ of } \mathcal{F}/F_0.$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have that

$$0 \le \nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) < \infty$$
 and  $0 < \gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \le \infty$ .

Corollary and Definition 3.4. The limit of the tower  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) := \lim_{i \to \infty} N(F_i) / g(F_i),$$

exists and one has

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)/\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0).$$

Hence it follows that  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) > 0$  if and only if  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) > 0$  and  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\frac{N(F_i)}{g(F_i)} = \frac{N(F_i)/[F_i:F_0]}{g(F_i)/[F_i:F_0]},$$

all assertions follow from Lemma 3.2.

The inequality  $0 \le \lambda(\mathcal{F}) \le A(q)$  motivates the following definition:

**Definition 3.5.** The tower  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i>0}$  of function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is said to be

asymptotically good, if  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ ; asymptotically bad, if  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ ; asymptotically optimal, if  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = A(q)$ .

By Corollary 3.4 a tower is asymptotically good if and only if its splitting rate is positive and its genus is finite. Therefore we study these two properties separately and give simple sufficient conditions for them to hold.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a tower over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We define two sets of places in the function field  $F_0$ :

$$V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) := \{ P \in \mathbb{P}(F_0) \mid P \text{ is ramified in } F_n/F_0 \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \}, \text{ and}$$
  
 $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0) := \{ P \in \mathbb{P}(F_0) \mid P \text{ is a rational place which splits completely in all extensions } F_n/F_0 \}.$ 

The set  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is called the ramification locus of  $\mathcal{F}/F_0$ , and  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is the completely splitting locus of  $\mathcal{F}/F_0$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is a tower over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , whose completely splitting locus  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is non-empty. Then

$$\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \ge t > 0,$$

with  $t := |S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)|$ .

Proof. Let  $P \in S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ ; then there are  $[F_n : F_0]$  rational places in  $\mathbb{P}_0(F_n)$  lying above P, for any  $n \geq 0$ . Hence  $N(F_n) \geq t \cdot [F_n : F_0]$ , and the lemma follows immediately from the definition of  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ .

Now we give a sufficient criterion for the genus  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  to be finite.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a tower over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- 1) the ramification locus  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is finite;
- 2) all extensions  $F_n/F_0$  are tame.

Then the genus  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is finite. More precisely,

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \le g(F_0) + (s-2)/2,$$

where  $s := \sum_{P \in V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)} \deg P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}(F_0)$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(F_n)$  with Q|P. Then the different exponent d(Q|P) is equal to e(Q|P) - 1, since the extension  $F_n/F_0$  is tame. We obtain therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{deg\,Diff}(F_n/F_0) &= \sum_{P \in V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)} \sum_{Q \mid P} d(Q \mid P) \cdot \operatorname{deg} Q \\ &\leq \sum_{P \in V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)} (\sum_{Q \mid P} e(Q \mid P) \cdot f(Q \mid P)) \cdot \operatorname{deg} P \\ &= [F_n : F_0] \cdot s, \end{aligned}$$

with  $s = \sum_{P \in V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)} \deg P$ . The Hurwitz genus formula gives now

$$2g(F_n) - 2 \le [F_n : F_0](2g(F_0) - 2 + s),$$

and the assertion of Lemma 3.8 follows.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a tower over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) the ramification locus  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is finite;
- 2) all extensions  $F_n/F_0$  are tame;
- 3) the completely splitting locus  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is non-empty.

Then the tower is asymptotically good.

## 4. A SIMPLE EXAMPLE

In this section we present in detail a very simple example of an optimal tower over the field with 9 elements. The analysis of this particular tower is typical for many other examples of asymptotically good towers, see Section 5 below. The tower  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, ...)$  is defined as follows:  $F_0 := \mathbb{F}_9(x_0)$  is the rational

function field over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ , and for all  $n \geq 1$  let  $F_n = F_{n-1}(x_n)$ , where  $x_n$  satisfies the equation

$$x_n^2 = \frac{x_{n-1}^2 + 1}{2x_{n-1}}. (4.1)$$

We must first show that the sequence of function fields  $(F_0, F_1, F_2, ...)$  is in fact a tower over the field  $\mathbb{F}_9$ ; in particular we have to show that  $F_i \subsetneq F_{i+1}$  and that  $\mathbb{F}_9$  is algebraically closed in  $F_i$ , for all  $i \geq 0$ . Before proving this, we study the "basic function field" corresponding to Eq.(4.1); this is the function field

$$F = \mathbb{F}_9(x, y), \text{ with } y^2 = \frac{x^2 + 1}{2x}.$$
 (4.2)

We also fix an element  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_9$  with  $\delta^2 = -1$ . The following notation will be useful. Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  be a function field and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(E)$  be a place of E. Let  $z \in E$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  we write  $z = \alpha$  (at Q) if Q is a zero of  $z - \alpha$ , and  $z = \infty$  (at Q) if Q is a pole of z.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_9(x, y)$  be defined by Eq.(4.2). Then we have:

- i)  $[F: \mathbb{F}_9(x)] = [F: \mathbb{F}_9(y)] = 2$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_9$  is the full constant field of F.
- ii) In the extension  $F/\mathbb{F}_9(x)$ , exactly the places with x=0,  $x=\infty$  and  $x=\pm\delta$  are ramified.
- iii) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(F)$  be the place with  $x = \infty$  (by item ii) there exists exactly one such place). Then  $y = \infty$  (at Q), and Q is unramified in  $F/\mathbb{F}_9(y)$ .

*Proof.* Clear from the theory of Kummer extensions of algebraic function fields (see [15], Prop.III.7.3) □

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $F_0 = \mathbb{F}_9(x_0)$ , and for all  $n \ge 1$  let  $F_n = F_{n-1}(x_n)$ , where  $x_n$  satisfies Eq.(4.1). Then the following holds:

- i)  $[F_n: F_0] = 2^n$ , for all  $n \ge 0$ .
- ii) The pole of  $x_0$  is totally ramified in the extension  $F_n/F_0$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_9$  is algebraically closed in  $F_n$ .
- iii) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(F_n)$  be the pole of  $x_0$  in  $F_n$  (which is unique by item ii)). Then Q is unramified in the extension  $F_n/\mathbb{F}_9(x_n)$ .

Proof. The case n = 1 is clear from Lemma 4.1, and we assume that the corollary holds for n. Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(F_{n+1})$  be a pole of  $x_0$  in  $F_{n+1}$ , and denote by  $Q_1, Q_2$  and P the places below Q in the fields  $F_n, \mathbb{F}_9(x_n, x_{n+1})$  and  $\mathbb{F}_9(x_n)$ . Then  $Q_1$  is the pole of  $x_0$  in  $F_n$  and (by induction hypothesis)  $e(Q_1|P) = 1$ , and P is the pole of  $x_n$  in  $\mathbb{F}_9(x_n)$ . Moreover  $Q_2$  is a simple pole of  $x_{n+1}$ , and  $Q_2|P$  is totally ramified. Now we apply (2.5) (Abhyankar's lemma) and obtain all assertions for the case n+1.

For the rest of this section we consider the sequence  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, ...)$  of function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_9$  which is defined by Eq.(4.1). Note that we have not proved yet that  $\mathcal{F}$  is indeed a tower, since we haven't shown that  $g(F_j) \geq 2$  for some j. Thus will be done in Lemma 4.3 below.

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_9$  we denote by  $P_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{P}(F_0)$  the zero of  $x_0 - \alpha$  and by  $P_{\infty}$  the pole of  $x_0$  in the rational function field  $F_0 = \mathbb{F}_9(x_0)$ . Recall that  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_9$  is an element with  $\delta^2 = -1$ .

Lemma 4.3. With notations as above, we have:

- i) The four places  $P_0, P_{\infty}, P_{\delta}$  and  $P_{-\delta}$  are totally ramified in the extension  $F_2/F_0$ , and the genus of  $F_2$  is at least  $g(F_2) \geq 3$ .
- ii) In the extension  $F_5/F_0$  also the places  $P_1$  and  $P_{-1}$  are ramified.

*Proof.* i) The assertion about ramification follows easily from Lemma 4.1 and (2.5), and then the Hurwitz genus formula (2.3) for the extension  $F_2/F_0$  gives

$$2g(F_2) - 2 \ge 4 \cdot (-2) + 4 \cdot (4 - 1) = 4$$
,

hence  $g(F_2) \geq 3$ . In fact it is easily shown that  $g(F_2) = 3$ .

ii) Since we will not need this result, we leave the proof to the reader (use Lemma 4.1 again!).

We are now going to determine the ramification locus and the genus of the above tower (see Def. 3.6).

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be the tower over  $\mathbb{F}_9$  which is defined by Eq.(4.1). Then we have:

- i) The ramification locus of  $\mathcal{F}/F_0$  is the set  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ , with  $A = \{0, \infty, \pm 1, \pm \delta\}$ , and hence  $|V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)| = 6$ .
- ii) The genus of  $\mathcal{F}/F_0$  satisfies  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \leq 2$ .

Proof. i) Let A be as above, and consider a place  $P \in V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ . Then for some  $n \geq 1$  there exists a place  $Q \in \mathbb{P}(F_n)$  such that Q|P and Q is ramified over  $F_{n-1}$ . Considering  $F_n$  as the composite field of  $F_{n-1}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_9(x_{n-1}, x_n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_9(x_{n-1})$ , we conclude from (2.5) (Abhyankar's lemma) that Q is ramified in  $\mathbb{F}_9(x_{n-1}, x_n)/\mathbb{F}_9(x_{n-1})$ , and then it follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $x_{n-1} = 0$  or  $\infty$  or  $\pm \delta$  at Q. We have therefore  $x_{n-1} = \alpha \in A$ , for some  $\alpha \in A$ .

Suppose now that  $x_i = \beta \in A$  at the place Q, for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . If we can show that this implies  $x_{i-1} = \gamma \in A$  at Q, it will follow that  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is contained in the set  $\{P_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A\}$ , and in particular that  $|V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)| \leq 6$ . Now we see from Eq.(4.1)

$$x_i^2 = \frac{x_{i-1}^2 + 1}{2x_{i-1}},$$

that

$$x_i = 0 \text{ at } Q \implies x_{i-1} \in \{\pm \delta\} \text{ at } Q,$$
  
 $x_i = \infty \text{ at } Q \implies x_{i-1} \in \{0, \infty\} \text{ at } Q,$   
 $x_i = \pm 1 \text{ at } Q \implies x_{i-1} = 1 \text{ at } Q,$   
 $x_i = \pm \delta \text{ at } Q \implies x_{i-1} = -1 \text{ at } Q.$ 

This proves our claim that  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \subseteq \{P_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ . From item ii) of Lemma 4.3 follows equality (but in the following we need only the inclusion " $\subseteq$ ").

ii) Follows from item i) and Lemma 3.8. Note that we have just used that the cardinality of  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is at most 6.

Now we consider the completely splitting locus  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  and the splitting rate  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be the tower over  $\mathbb{F}_9$  which is defined by Eq.(4.1). Then we have:

- i) The completely splitting locus of  $\mathcal{F}/F_0$  is  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{P_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$ , with  $B = \{1 + \delta, 1 \delta, -1 + \delta, -1 \delta\}$ , and hence  $|S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)| = 4$ .
- ii) The splitting rate of  $\mathcal{F}/F_0$  satisfies  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* i) One checks that for  $x = \beta \in B$  the equation

$$y^2 = \frac{x^2 + 1}{2x} = \frac{\beta^2 + 1}{2\beta}$$

has both roots in the set B (here one uses that p=3). It follows by induction (using (2.6)) that the places  $P_{\beta}$  with  $\beta \in B$  split completely in the tower  $\mathcal{F}$ . For  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_9 \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus B$ , the place  $P_{\alpha}$  belongs to the ramification locus  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  by Lemma 4.4, and therefore  $P_{\alpha} \notin S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ . This proves item i).

ii) This follows from item i) and Lemma 3.7. Note that here we have just used that  $|S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)| \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** The tower  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_9$  which is defined by Eq.(4.1) has the limit

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = 2 = \sqrt{9} - 1;$$

so it attains the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, and it is therefore an asymptotically optimal tower over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ .

Proof. Since  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)/\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  (see Corollary 3.4), we get from Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 that  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq 4/2 = 2$ . On the other hand, the Drinfeld-Vladut bound (1.4) gives the estimate  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$ , and so we obtain that  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ .

**Remark 4.7.** One can consider the tower  $\mathcal{F}$  given by Eq.(4.1) over the field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , for any odd prime number p. Fixing an element  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  with  $\delta^2 = -1$  one can easily see that Lemma 4.4 holds also for p > 3, and hence that

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \le 2$$
 for all  $p \ge 3$ . (4.3)

The determination of the completely splitting locus  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  is for arbitrary prime numbers  $p \geq 3$  much harder than in the special case p = 3. One can prove that

$$|S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)| = 2(p-1).$$
 (4.4)

It follows from (4.4) that the splitting rate  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  satisfies  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \geq 2(p-1)$ , therefore

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)/\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \ge p-1.$$

This lower bound for  $\lambda(\mathcal{F})$  is equal to the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, and so the tower  $\mathcal{F}$  given by Eq.(4.1) is in fact asymptotically optimal over the quadratic fields  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , for all prime numbers  $p \geq 3$ .

The analysis of the set  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  involves the so-called Deuring polynomial  $H_p(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  which is defined by

$$H_p(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)/2} {\binom{(p-1)/2}{j}}^2 \cdot X^j$$
.

The key point of this analysis is to show that all roots of the equation  $H_p(\beta^4) = 0$  are in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and that

$$S(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{ P_\beta \mid H_p(\beta^4) = 0 \}. \tag{4.5}$$

We proved these assertions for p=3 in Lemma 4.5 (note that  $H_3(X^4)=X^4+1$ ). For p=5 one has  $H_5(X^4)=X^8-X^4+1\in\mathbb{F}_5[X]$  and we leave it to the reader as an exercise to prove (4.5) in this case. For p=7 one has to consider the polynomial  $H_7(X^4)=X^{12}+2X^8+2X^4+1$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_{49}$ , and already in this case it is non-trivial to prove (4.5) directly. For general  $p\geq 3$  we refer to [9], Sec. 5.

#### 5. Further examples

In this section we present some further examples of recursively defined towers  $\mathcal{F}$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We say that a tower  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, ...)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is defined recursively by the equation

$$\varphi(y) = \psi(x) \tag{5.1}$$

(with rational functions  $\varphi(Y), \psi(X)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ) if the following conditions hold:

i)  $F_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0)$  is the rational function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and for all  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$F_{i+1} = F_i(x_{i+1})$$
 with  $\varphi(x_{i+1}) = \psi(x_i)$ .

ii)  $[F_{i+1}: F_i] = \deg \varphi(Y)$ , for all  $i \geq 0$ .

For instance, the tower  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_9$  that we analyzed in Section 4, is recursively defined by the equation  $y^2 = (x^2 + 1)/2x$ .

**Remark 5.1.** Observe that it is not clear a priori, if an equation  $\varphi(y) = \psi(x)$  defines a tower: it can happen that the equation  $\varphi(Y) = \psi(x_i)$  becomes reducible over the field  $F_i = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0, ..., x_i)$  for some  $i \geq 0$ , or that the constant field of  $\mathbb{F}_q(x_0, ..., x_i)$  is larger than  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Therefore one has to investigate in every specific case if a particular Eq.(5.1) actually defines a tower.

**Example 5.2.** (Towers of Fermat type, see [9],[18]). A tower over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which is defined recursively by the equation

$$y^m = a \cdot (x+b)^m + c$$
, with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $(m,q) = 1$  (5.2)

is called a *Fermat tower* over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . One can show that Eq.(5.2) defines a tower if and only if m > 1 and  $abc \neq 0$ . The condition (m,q) = 1 ensures that Fermat towers are tame; i.e., all extensions  $F_n/F_0$  are tame. For specific values of m, a, b and c, Fermat towers have nice properties, e.g.

a) If  $q \equiv 1 \mod m$  and a = 1, then the pole  $P_{\infty}$  of  $x_0$  in  $F_0$  splits completely in the Fermat tower  $\mathcal{F}$ ; hence  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \geq 1$ , by Lemma 3.7.

b) There are examples of Fermat towers with finite ramification locus.

We point out two special cases of Fermat towers:

**Example 5.3.** (see [8]) Let  $q = p^e$  with e > 1 and m = (q - 1)/(p - 1). Then the Fermat tower  $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q$  which is defined recursively by the equation

$$y^m = 1 - (x+1)^m (5.3)$$

is asymptotically good; its limit satisfies  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2/(q-2)$ . In fact, it is easily seen that in this specific case the ramification locus satisfies  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \subseteq \{P_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$  and hence it has cardinality at most q. Moreover the pole of  $x_0$  splits completely in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We then conclude from Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 that

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \ge 2/(q-2) > 0.$$

Note that Example 5.3 gives an easy proof for all non-prime q of the fact that A(q) > 0 (see the Introduction, Eq.(1.6))

**Example 5.4.** (see [8]). Let  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $q = \ell^2$  be a square. Then the Fermat tower  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which is defined by

$$y^{\ell-1} = 1 - (x+1)^{\ell-1} \tag{5.4}$$

is asymptotically good over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2/(\ell-2)$ . In fact, in this example one shows easily that the ramification locus satisfies  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \subseteq \{P_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_\ell\}$  and that the pole of  $x_0$  splits completely over  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ .

Observe that Example 5.3 yields an optimal tower over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ , and Example 5.4 yields an optimal tower over the field  $\mathbb{F}_9$ . For other applications of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 we refer to [9].

Now we will consider some wild (i.e., non-tame) towers.

**Example 5.5.** (see [7]). Let  $q = \ell^2$  be a square, and let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be the tower over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which is recursively defined by

$$y^{\ell} + y = x^{\ell}/(x^{\ell-1} + 1). \tag{5.5}$$

One can easily determine the ramification locus  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  and the completely splitting locus  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  in this case:

$$V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{P_{\infty}\} \cup \{P_{\alpha} \mid \alpha^{\ell} + \alpha = 0\}, \text{ and } S(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{P_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and } \beta^{\ell} + \beta \neq 0\}.$$

It follows that the splitting rate satisfies  $\nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \geq \ell^2 - \ell$ . However, it is much harder to determine the genus  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ , since in case of wild ramification one has in general no control on the different exponents. A very careful analysis of the ramification behaviour of this towers shows that  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \ell$ , and therefore  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq (\ell^2 - \ell)/\ell = \ell - 1$ . Now it follows from the Drinfeld-Vladut bound that we have equality  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \ell - 1$ ; i.e., the tower  $\mathcal{F}$  which is defined by Eq.(5.5) is optimal over the field  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ .

We remark that the tower in Example 5.5 is closely related to the optimal towers over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (with  $q = \ell^2$ ) which were considered in [1] and [6]. Its interpretation as a Drinfeld modular tower was established in [5].

If q is not a square, it seems to be harder to find towers over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with "large" limits. The tower in Example 5.3 is asymptotically good over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for each non-prime q, but the limit  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2/(q-2)$  is rather small. We give now two other examples of wild towers with large limits, over finite fields with cubic cardinality.

**Example 5.6.** (see [10]) This is a wild tower over the field with eight elements; it is recursively defined by the equation

$$y^2 + y = x + 1 + 1/x$$
 over  $\mathbb{F}_8$ . (5.6)

It is not difficult to determine the ramification locus  $V(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$  and the completely splitting locus  $S(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ :

$$V(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha = \infty \text{ or } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4\} \text{ and } S(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \{P_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathbb{F}_8 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2\}.$$

The difficult part here is to investigate the behaviour of the ramified places, since they are all wildly ramified. One can show that  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = 4$  and hence that  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3/2$ ; this is just Inequality (1.7) for p = 2.

**Example 5.7.** (see [2]). The equation

$$(1-y)/y^{\ell} = (x^{\ell} + x - 1)/x \tag{5.7}$$

defines a very interesting recursive tower  $\mathcal{F}$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = \ell^3$  (one can easily show that for  $\ell = 2$  this tower is the same as the tower of Example 5.6). There are  $\ell(\ell+1)$  rational places of  $F_0/\mathbb{F}_q$  which split completely in the tower  $\mathcal{F}$  (but one does not see them as easily as in the towers of Examples 5.2 - 5.6). For  $\ell \neq 2$  the extensions  $F_{i+1}/F_i$  in this tower are non-galois, and ramification is very complicated: some places are tamely ramified, others are wild, and the computation of the different exponents is rather involved. The result of a careful analysis gives

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) = \ell(\ell+2)/(2\ell-2) ,$$

and therefore

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \nu(\mathcal{F}/F_0)/\gamma(\mathcal{F}/F_0) \ge 2(\ell^2 - 1)/(\ell + 2) .$$

So the tower in Example 5.7 attains Zink's lower bound (1.7) for  $A(p^3)$  (in case  $\ell = p$  is a prime), and it also proves the bound

$$A(\ell^3) \ge 2(\ell^2 - 1)/(\ell + 2)$$
 for all prime powers  $\ell$ .

**Problem 5.8.** We finish this paper with an obvious problem: Find asymptotically good recursive towers with large limits over any finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For example, can one produce towers  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q=p^{2n+1}$  such that the limit  $\lambda(\mathcal{F})$  is close to a constant multiple of  $p^n$ ? How to find explicit equations leading to recursive towers  $\mathcal{F}$  with positive limit  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) > 0$  over prime fields  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ?

## References

- [1] J.Bezerra, A. Garcia, A tower with non-Galois steps which attains the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, J. Number Theory **106** (2004), 142-154.
- [2] J.Bezerra, A.Garcia, H.Stichtenoth, An explicit tower of function fields over cubic finite fields and Zink's lower bound, manuscript (2004).

- [3] V. G. Drinfeld, S. G. Vladut, Number of points of an algebraic curve, Funct. Anal. 17 (1983), 53 54.
- [4] N. Elkies, Explicit modular towers, Proceedings of the 35<sup>th</sup> Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing, Urbana, IL (1997).
- [5] N. Elkies, Explicit towers of Drinfeld modular curves, European Congress of Mathematics (Barcelona, 2000), Vol. II (C. Casacuberta et al., eds.) Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [6] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, A tower of Artin-Schreier extensions of function fields attaining the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, Invent. Math 121 (1995), 211 222.
- [7] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, On the asymptotic behaviour of some towers of function fields over finite fields, J. Number Theory 61 (1996), 248-273.
- [8] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, M. Thomas, On towers and composita of towers of function fields over finite fields, Finite Fields and their Appl. 3 (1997), 257 274.
- [9] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, On tame towers over finite fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 557 (2003), 53-80.
- [10] G.van der Geer, M.van der Vlugt, An asymptotically good tower of function fields over the field with eight elements, Bull. London Math. Soc. 34, (2002), 291-300.
- [11] Y.Ihara, Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 28 (1981), 721 724.
- [12] Y.I.Manin, What is the maximal number of points on a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ? J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 28 (1981), 715-720.
- [13] *H.Niederreiter*, *C.P.Xing*, Rational points on curves over finite fields: Theory and Applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [14] J.-P.Serre, Sur le nombre des points rationelles d'une courbe algébrique sur une corps fini, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **296** (1983), 397 402.
- [15] *H.Stichtenoth*, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, Springer Universitext, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1993.
- [16] M.A. Tsfasman, S.G. Vladut, T.Zink, Modular Curves, Shimura curves and Goppa codes, better than the Varshamov-Gilbert bound, Math. Nachr. 109 (1982), 21 28.
- [17] M.A. Tsfasman, S. G. Vladut, Algebraic-geometric codes, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1991.
- [18] J. Wulftange, On the construction of some towers over finite fields, Finite Fields and Applications (G.L.Mullen et al., eds.). Springer-Verlag, LNCS 2948, 2004, 154-165.
- [19] T.Zink, Degeneration of Shimura surfaces and a problem in coding theory, Fundamentals of Computation Theory (L.Budach, ed.). Springer-Verlag, LNCS 199, 1985, 503–511.

IMPA-Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

E-mail address: garcia@impa.br

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, CAMPUS ESSEN, FB MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GER-MANY, AND SABANCI UNIVERSITY, MDBF, ORHANLI, 81474 TUZLA/ISTANBUL, TURKEY E-mail address: stichtenoth@uni-essen.de and henning@sabanciuniv.edu