

ALGEBRAIZATION OF CODIMENSION ONE WEBS

[after Trépreau, Hénaut, Pirio, Robert, ...]

by **J. V. PEREIRA**

Jean-Marie Trépreau, extending previous results by Bol and Chern-Griffiths, proved recently that codimension one webs with sufficiently many abelian relations are after a change of coordinates projectively dual to algebraic curves when the ambient dimension is at least three.

In sharp contrast, Luc Pirio and Gilles Robert, confirming a guess of Alain Hénaut, independently established that a certain planar 9-web is exceptional in the sense that it admits the maximal number of abelian relations and is non-algebraizable. After that a number of exceptional planar k -webs, for every $k \geq 5$, have been found by Pirio and others.

I will briefly review the subject history, sketch Trépreau's proof, describe some of the "new" exceptional webs and discuss related recent works.

Disclaimer: This text does not pretend to survey all the literature on web geometry but to provide a bird's-eye view over the results related to codimension one webs and their abelian relations. For instance I do not touch the interface between web geometry and loops, quasi-groups, Poisson structures, singular holomorphic foliations, complex dynamics, singularity theory, ... For more information on these subjects the reader should consult [Blaschke and Bol 1938, Akivis and Goldberg 2000, Grifone and Salem 2001] and references there within.

Acknowledgements: There are a number of works containing introductions to web geometry that I have freely used while writing this text. Here I recognize the influence of [Beauville 1980, Chern and Griffiths 1978, Hénaut 2001] and specially [Pirio 2004]. Without the latter work the present text would be much poorer in terms of historical references. I have also profited from discussions with C. Favre, H. Movasati, L. Pirio, F. Russo and P. Sad. The author is supported by Instituto Unibanco and CNPq-Brasil.

1. INTRODUCTION

A germ of regular codimension one k -web $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k$ on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a collection of k germs of smooth codimension one holomorphic foliations subjected to the condition that for any number m of these foliations, $m \leq n$, the corresponding tangent spaces at the origin have intersection of codimension m . Two webs \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' are **equivalent** if there exists a germ of biholomorphic map sending the foliations defining \mathcal{W} to the ones defining \mathcal{W}' . Similar definitions can be made for webs of arbitrary (and even mixed) codimensions. Although most of the magic can be (and has already been) spelled in the $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ -category, throughout, we will restrict ourselves to the holomorphic category.

1.1. The Origins

According to the first lines of [Blaschke and Bol 1938] the web geometry had its birth at the beaches of Italy in the years of 1926-27 when Blaschke and Thomsen realized that the configuration of three foliations of the plane has local invariants, see Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Following the leaves of foliations one obtains germs of diffeomorphisms in one variable whose equivalence class is a local invariant of the web. The web is called **hexagonal** if all the possible germs are the identity.

A more easily computable invariant was later introduced by Blaschke and Dubourdiu. If $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_3$ is a planar web and the foliations \mathcal{F}_i are defined by 1-forms ω_i satisfying $\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 0$ then a simple computation shows that there exists a unique 1-form γ such that $d\omega_i = \gamma \wedge \omega_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Although the 1-form γ does depend on the choice of the ω_i its differential $d\gamma$ is intrinsically attached to \mathcal{W} , and is the so called **curvature** $\kappa(\mathcal{W})$ of \mathcal{W} .

Some early emblematic results of the theory developed by Blaschke and his collaborators are collected in the Theorem below.

THEOREM 1.1. — *If $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_3$ is a 3-web on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{W} is hexagonal;
- (2) the 2-form $\kappa(\mathcal{W})$ vanishes identically;
- (3) there exists closed 1-forms η_i defining \mathcal{F}_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 = 0$.
- (4) \mathcal{W} is equivalent to the web defined by the level sets of the functions x, y and $x - y$.

Most of the results discussed in this text can be naively understood as attempts to generalize Theorem 1.1 to the broader context of arbitrary codimension one k -webs.

1.2. Abelian Relations

The condition (3) in Theorem 1.1 suggests the definition of the **space of abelian relations** $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ for an arbitrary k -web $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k$. If the foliations \mathcal{F}_i are induced by integrable 1-forms ω_i then

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}) = \left\{ (\eta_i)_{i=1}^k \in (\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0))^k \mid \forall i \, d\eta_i = 0, \eta_i \wedge \omega_i = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i = 0 \right\}.$$

If $u_i : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are local submersions defining the foliations \mathcal{F}_i then, after integration, the abelian relations can be read as functional equations of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k g_i(u_i) = 0$ for some germs of holomorphic functions $g_i : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

Clearly $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ is a vector space and its dimension is commonly called the **rank** of \mathcal{W} , denoted by $\text{rk}(\mathcal{W})$. It is a theorem of Bol that the rank of a planar k -web is bounded from above by $\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(k-2)$. This bound was later generalized by Chern in his thesis (under the direction of Blaschke) for codimension one k -webs on \mathbb{C}^n and reads

$$(1) \quad \text{rk}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \pi(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \max(0, k - j(n-1) - 1).$$

A k -web \mathcal{W} on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is of **maximal rank** if $\text{rk}(\mathcal{W}) = \pi(n, k)$. The integer $\pi(n, k)$ is the well-known Castelnuovo's bound for the arithmetic genus of irreducible and non-degenerated degree k curves on \mathbb{P}^n .

To establish these bounds first notice that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ admits a natural filtration

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W}) \supseteq \mathcal{A}^1(\mathcal{W}) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{A}^j(\mathcal{W}) \supseteq \cdots,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^j(\mathcal{W}) = \ker \left\{ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow \left(\frac{\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}{\mathfrak{m}^j \cdot \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} \right)^k \right\},$$

with \mathfrak{m} being the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

If the submersions u_i defining \mathcal{F}_i have linear term ℓ_i then

$$(2) \quad \dim \frac{\mathcal{A}^j(\mathcal{W})}{\mathcal{A}^{j+1}(\mathcal{W})} \leq k - \dim (\mathbb{C} \cdot \ell_1^{j+1} + \cdots + \mathbb{C} \cdot \ell_k^{j+1}).$$

Since the right-hand side is controlled by the inequality, cf. [Trépreau 2006, Lemme 2.1],

$$k - \dim (\mathbb{C} \cdot \ell_1^{j+1} + \cdots + \mathbb{C} \cdot \ell_k^{j+1}) \leq \max(0, k - (j+1)(n-1) - 1)$$

the bound (1) follows at once. Note that this bound is attained if, and only if, the partial bounds (2) are also attained. In particular,

$$(3) \quad \dim \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}) = \pi(n, k) \implies \dim \frac{\mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W})}{\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W})} = 2k - 3n + 1.$$

It will be clear at the end of the next section that the appearance of Castelnuovo's bounds in web geometry is far from being a coincidence.

1.3. Algebraizable Webs and Abel's Theorem

If C is a non-degenerated* reduced degree k algebraic curve on \mathbb{P}^n then for every generic hyperplane H_0 a germ of codimension one k -web \mathcal{W}_C is canonically defined on $(\check{\mathbb{P}}^n, H_0)$ by projective duality. This is the web induced by the levels of the holomorphic maps $p_i : (\mathbb{P}^n, H_0) \rightarrow C$ characterized by

$$H \cdot C = p_1(H) + p_2(H) + \cdots + p_k(H)$$

for every H sufficiently close to H_0 .




FIGURE 2. On the left \mathcal{W}_C is pictured for a reduced cubic curve C formed by a line and a conic. On the right \mathcal{W}_C is drawn for a rational quartic C .

Abel's addition Theorem says that for every $p_0 \in C$ and every holomorphic[†] 1-form $\omega \in H^0(C, \omega_C)$ the sum

$$\int_{p_0}^{p_1(H)} \omega + \int_{p_0}^{p_2(H)} \omega + \cdots + \int_{p_0}^{p_k(H)} \omega$$

does not depend of H . One can reformulate this statement as

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^* \omega = 0.$$

It follows that the 1-forms on C can be interpreted as abelian relations of \mathcal{W}_C . In particular $\dim \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}_C) \geq h^0(C, \omega_C)$ and if C is an **extremal curve** — a non-degenerated reduced curve attaining Castelnuovo's bound — then \mathcal{W}_C has maximal rank.

The key question dealt with in the works reviewed here is the characterization of the **algebraizable** codimension one webs. These are the webs equivalent to \mathcal{W}_C for a suitable projective curve C .

*Throughout, the term non-degenerated will be used in a stronger sense than usual, in order to ensure that the dual web is smooth. It will be taken to mean that any collection of points in the intersection of C with a generic hyperplane, but not spanning the hyperplane, is formed by linearly independent points.

†If C is singular then the holomorphy of ω means that it is a 1-form of first kind with respect to system of hyperplanes, i.e., the expression $\left(\int_{p_0}^{p_1(H)} \omega + \int_{p_0}^{p_2(H)} \omega + \cdots + \int_{p_0}^{p_k(H)} \omega \right)$, seen as a holomorphic function of $H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^n$, has no singularities. It turns out that the holomorphic 1-forms on C are precisely the sections of the dualizing sheaf ω_C .

1.4. A Converse to Abel's Theorem

The ubiquitous tool for the algebraization of k -webs is the following Theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. — *Let C_1, \dots, C_k be germs of curves on \mathbb{P}^n all of them intersecting transversely a given hyperplane H_0 and write $p_i(H) = H \cap C_i$ for the hyperplane H sufficiently close to H_0 . Let also ω_i be germs of non-identically zero 1-forms on the curves C_i and assume that the trace*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^* \omega_i,$$

vanishes identically. Then there exists a degree k reduced curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and a holomorphic 1-form ω on C such that $C_i \subset C$ and $\omega|_{C_i} = \omega_i$ for all i ranging from 1 to k .

Theorem 1.2 in the case of plane quartics was obtained by Lie in his investigations concerning double translation surfaces, cf. Figure 3. The general case follows from Darboux's proof (following ideas of Poincaré) of Lie's Theorem. The result has been generalized to germs of arbitrary varieties carrying holomorphic forms of the maximum degree by Griffiths, cf. [Griffiths 1976]. More recently Henkin and Henkin-Passare generalized the result even further showing, in particular, that the rationality of the trace is sufficient to ensure the algebraicity of the data, see [Henkin and Passare 1999] and references therein.




FIGURE 3. A double translation surface is a surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ that admits two independent parameterizations of the form $(x, y) \mapsto f(x) + g(y)$. S carries a natural 4-web \mathcal{W} . The lines tangent to leaves of \mathcal{W} cut the hyperplane at infinity along 4 germs of curves. Lie's Theorem says that these 4 curves are contained in a degree 4 algebraic curve. This result was later generalized [Wirtinger 1938] to arbitrary double translation hypersurfaces.

The relevance of Theorem 1.2 to our subject is evident once one translates it — as Blaschke-Howe ($n = 2$) and Bol ($n \geq 3$) did — to the dual projective space. We recall that a **linear web** is a web for which all the leaves are pieces of hyperplanes.

THEOREM 1.3. — *A linear k -web \mathcal{W} on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ carrying an abelian relation that is not an abelian relation of any subweb extends to a global (but singular) web \mathcal{W}_C on \mathbb{P}^n .*

With Theorem 1.3 in hand the algebraization of $2n$ -webs on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with $n + 1$ abelian relations follows from a beautiful argument of Blaschke — inspired in Poincaré's works on double translation surfaces — that goes as follows.

1.5. A First Algebraization Result

If \mathcal{W} is a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ of maximal rank r then — mimicking the construction of the canonical map for algebraic curves — one defines, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \\ x &\longmapsto [\eta_i^1(x) : \dots : \eta_i^r(x)] \end{aligned}$$

with $\{(\eta_1^\lambda, \dots, \eta_k^\lambda)\}_{\lambda=1, \dots, r}$ being a basis for $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$. Although the η_i^λ 's are 1-forms the maps Z_i 's are well-defined since, for a fixed i , any two of these forms differ by the multiplication of a meromorphic function constant along the leaves of \mathcal{F}_i . It is an immediate consequence that the image of the maps Z_i are germs of curves. Note that the equivalence class under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^r)$ of these germs are analytic invariants of \mathcal{W} .

Since \mathcal{W} has maximal rank then $\dim A^0(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{A}^1(\mathcal{W}) = k - n$. Therefore the points $Z_1(x), \dots, Z_k(x)$ span a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{k-n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

One can thus define the **Poincaré map** $\mathcal{P} : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{k-n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ by setting $\mathcal{P}(x) = \text{Span}(Z_1(x), \dots, Z_k(x))$. It is a simple matter to prove that \mathcal{P} is an immersion.

If $k = 2n$ then the Poincaré map takes values on $\mathbb{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \check{\mathbb{P}}^n$. The image of the leaf through x of the foliation \mathcal{F}_i lies on the hyperplane of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^n$ determined by $Z_i(x)$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_*\mathcal{W}$ is a linear web and its algebraicity follows from Theorem 1.3. \square

1.6. Bol's Algebraization Theorem and Further Developments

Most of the material so far exposed can be found in [Blaschke and Bol 1938]. This outstanding volume summarizes most of the works of Blaschke School written during the period 1927-1938. One of its deepest result is Bol's *Hauptsatz für Flächengewebe* (main theorem for webs by surfaces) presented in §32–35 and originally published in [Bol 1934]. It says that *for $k \neq 5$, every codimension one k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ of maximal rank is algebraizable.*

For $k \leq 4$ the result is an easy exercise and the case $k = 6$ has just been treated in §1.5. Every 5-web on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ of the form $\mathcal{W}(x, y, z, x + y + z, f(x) + g(y) + h(z))^\ddagger$ has maximal rank but for almost every choice of the functions f, g, h it is not algebraizable, see for instance [Beauville 1980, Trépreau 2006].

In the remaining cases, $k \geq 2n + 1$, Bol's proof explores an analogy between the equations satisfied by the defining 1-forms of maximal rank webs and geodesics on semi-riemannian manifolds. Latter in [Chern and Griffiths 1978] Chern and Griffiths attempted to generalize Bol's result to arbitrary dimensions. Their strategy consists in defining a path geometry in which the leaves of the web turn out to be totally geodesic hypersurfaces. The linearization follows from the flatness of such path geometry. Unfortunately there was a gap in the proof, cf. [Chern and Griffiths 1981], that forced the authors to include an ad-hoc hypothesis in the web to ensure the algebraization.

$^\ddagger\mathcal{W}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is the k -web induced by the levels of the functions u_1, \dots, u_k .

2. ALGEBRAIZATION OF CODIMENSION ONE WEBS ON $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $n \geq 3$

The purpose of this section is to sketch the proof of Trépreau's algebraization Theorem stated below. An immediate corollary is the algebraization of maximal rank k -webs on dimension at least three for $k \geq 2n$. One has just to combine Trépreau's result with the equation displayed in (3). In particular the ad-hoc hypothesis in Chern-Griffiths Theorem is not necessary.

THEOREM 2.1 ([Trépreau 2006]). — *Let $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2n$ or $k \leq n + 1$. If \mathcal{W} is a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ satisfying*

$$\dim \frac{\mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W})}{\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W})} = 2k - 3n + 1$$

then \mathcal{W} is algebraizable.

Like Bol's Theorem the result is true for $k \leq n + 1$ and false for $n + 1 < k < 2n$ thanks to fairly elementary reasons.

Trépreau pointed out [Trépreau 2006] that the general strategy has a high order of contact with Bol's proof and that [Blaschke and Bol 1938, §35.3] suggests that the result should hold true for webs by surfaces on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$.

It has also to be remarked that Theorem 2.1 does not completely characterize the algebraizable webs on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $n \geq 3$. In contrast with the planar case — where all the algebraic webs have maximal rank — the algebraic webs on higher dimensions satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 are dual to rather special curves. One distinguished feature of these curves is that they are contained in surfaces of minimal degree. For instance, in the simplest case where the curve is a union of lines through a certain point $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ then the dual web satisfies the hypothesis if, and only if, the corresponding points in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_x \mathbb{P}^n)$ lie on a rational normal curve of degree $n - 1$.

Since Trépreau's argument is fairly detailed and self-contained I will avoid the technicalities to focus on the general lines of the proof.

2.1. A field of rational normal curves on $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{T}^*(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$

When $k = 2n$ the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 imply that \mathcal{W} has maximal rank. The argument presented in §1.5 suffices to prove the Theorem in this particular case. Until the end of the proof it will be assumed that $k \geq 2n + 1$.

LEMMA 2.2. — *If $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k$ is a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2n + 1$ such that $\dim \mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W}) = 2k - 3n + 1$ then there exists a basis $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ of the \mathcal{O} -module $\Omega_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}^1$ such that the defining submersions u_1, \dots, u_k of \mathcal{W} satisfy $du_\alpha = k_\alpha \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} (\theta_\alpha)^\mu \omega_\mu$ for suitable functions $k_\alpha, \theta_\alpha : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.*

Geometrically speaking the Lemma says that for every $x \in (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the points in $\mathbb{P}T_x^*(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ determined by $T_x\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, T_x\mathcal{F}_k$ lie on a degree $(n - 1)$ rational normal curve $C(x)$ parameterized as $[s : t] \mapsto [\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s^{n-i}t^i\omega_i]$. The basis $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ as in the statement of Lemma 2.2 is called an **adapted basis** for \mathcal{W} .

The details are in [Trépreau 2006, Lemme 3.1] or [Chern and Griffiths 1978, p. 61-62]. Here I will just remark that once one realizes that

$$\dim \frac{\mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W})}{\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W})} = 2k - 3n + 1 \implies \dim \frac{\mathcal{A}^1(\mathcal{W})}{\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W})} = k - 2n + 1$$

and that the latter equality implies that the space of quadrics on $\mathbb{P}T_x^*(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ containing $T_x\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, T_x\mathcal{F}_k$ has codimension $(2n - 1)$ then the proof of the lemma follows immediately from the Lemma of Castelnuovo: *If $k \geq 2(n - 1) + 3$ points in general position on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} imposes just $2(n - 1) + 1$ conditions on the space of quadrics then these points belong to a rational normal curve of degree $(n - 1)$.*

2.2. Rational normal curves on \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n}

Let \mathcal{W} be a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2. Fix local submersions $u_1, \dots, u_k : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ defining \mathcal{W} and $(\eta_1^\lambda, \dots, \eta_k^\lambda)$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, 2k - 3n + 1$, elements in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ with classes generating $\mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W})$.

If $z^\lambda(x) = (z_1^\lambda(x), \dots, z_k^\lambda(x))$ are vector functions for which

$$\eta^\lambda(x) = z^\lambda(x) \cdot (du_1(x), \dots, du_k(x))^T$$

then the maps $Z_i : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n}$ — natural variant of the maps under the same label defined in §1.5 — can be explicitly written as the projectivization of the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_i : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2k-3n+1} && (i = 1, \dots, k) \\ x &\longmapsto (z_i^1(x), z_i^2(x), \dots, z_i^{2k-3n+1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed $x \in (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, like in §1.5, the span of $Z_1(x), \dots, Z_k(x)$ has dimension $k - n - 1$. It will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}^{k-n-1}(x)$.

Using the notation of Lemma 2.2 one can introduce the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_* : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \times \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2k-3n+1} \\ (x, t) &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j \neq i} (t - \theta_j(x)) \right) k_j(x) \tilde{Z}_j(x). \end{aligned}$$

and its projectivization $Z_* : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n}$. Expanding the entries of $Z_*(x, t)$ as polynomials on t one verifies that these have degree $(k - n - 1)$. Thus the points $Z_1(x), \dots, Z_k(x)$ lie on a unique degree $(k - n - 1)$ rational normal curve $C(x)$ contained in $\mathbb{P}^{k-n-1}(x)$, see [Trépreau 2006, Lemme 4.3].

It can also be shown that the *Poincaré map* $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}^{k-n-1}(x)$ is an immersion. Moreover, if x and x' are distinct points then $\mathbb{P}^{k-n-1}(x)$ and $\mathbb{P}^{k-n-1}(x')$ intersect along a $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(x, x')$.

Since any number of distinct points in a degree $(n - 1)$ rational normal curve contained in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} are in general position it follows that the curves $C(x)$ and $C(x')$ intersect in at most $(n - 1)$ points, cf. [Trépreau 2006, Lemme 4.2].

2.3. The rational normal curves $C(x)$ define an algebraic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n}$

The main novelty of Trépreau's argument is his elementary proof that, when $n \geq 3$,

$$Z_* : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n} \quad \text{has rank two for every } (x, t) \in (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Besides ingenuity the key ingredient is [Trépreau 2006, Lemme 3.2] stated below. It is deduced from a careful analysis of second order differential conditions imposed by the maximality of the dimension of $\mathcal{A}^0(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{W})$.

LEMMA 2.3. — If we write a 1-form α as $\alpha = \sum (\alpha)_\mu \omega_\mu$ and use the same hypothesis and notations of Lemma 2.2 then for every $\mu \in \{0, \dots, n - 2\}$ there exists holomorphic functions $m_{\mu 0}, \dots, m_{\mu(n-1)}$ satisfying $(d(k_\alpha \theta_\alpha))_\mu - (dk_\alpha)_{\mu+1} = k_\alpha \sum_{\lambda=0}^{n-1} m_{\mu\lambda} (\theta_\alpha)^\lambda$. Moreover, if $n \geq 3$ then $\theta_\alpha (d\theta_\alpha)_\mu - (d\theta_\alpha)_{\mu+1} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^n n_{\mu\lambda} (\theta_\alpha)^\lambda$ for suitable functions $n_{\mu 0}, \dots, n_{\mu n}$.

Only in the proof of this lemma the hypothesis on the dimension of the ambient space is used. In particular the algebraization of maximal rank planar webs for which the conclusion of the lemma holds will also follow.

For every $x \in (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the map $t \mapsto Z_*(x, t)$ is an isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^1 to $C(x)$. Combining this with the fact that Z_* has rank two everywhere it follows that the image of Z_* is a smooth analytic open surface $S_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n}$.

If x and x' are distinct points laying on the same leaf of $(n - 1)$ foliations defining \mathcal{W} then $C(x)$ and $C(x')$ will intersect in exactly $n - 1$ points. This is sufficient to ensure that the curve $C(0)$ has self-intersection (in the surface S_0) equal to $n - 1$.

To prove that S_0 is an open subset of an (eventually singular) algebraic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n}$ consider the subset \mathfrak{X} of $\text{Mor}_{k-n-1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n})^\S$ consisting of morphisms ϕ with image contained in S_0 and $\phi(0 : 1) = x_0$. It follows that \mathfrak{X} is algebraic — just expand $f_i(\phi(t : 1))$ for every defining equations f_i of S_0 in a suitable neighborhood of x_0 . To conclude one has just to notice that the Zariski closure of the natural projection to \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n} — the evaluation morphism — sends \mathfrak{X} to an algebraic surface S of \mathbb{P}^{2k-3n} containing S_0 .

2.4. The curves $C(x)$ belong to a linear system of projective dimension n

The proof presented in [Trépreau 2006] is based on a classical Theorem of Enriques [Enriques 1893] concerning the linearity of families of divisors. For a modern proof and generalizations of Enriques Theorem see [Chiantini and Ciliberto 2002, Theorem 5.10]. Here an alternative approach, following [Chern and Griffiths 1981, p. 82], is presented.

[§]This is just the set of morphisms from \mathbb{P}^1 to \mathbb{P}^n of degree $k - n - 1$ which can be naturally identified with a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_{k-n-1}[s, t]^{2k-3n+1})$.

Since $S_0 \subset S$ is smooth we can replace S by one of its desingularizations in such a way that S_0 will still be an open subset. Moreover being the curves $C(x)$ mutually homologous in S_0 the same will hold true for their strict transforms. Summarizing, for all that matters, we can assume that S is itself a smooth surface.

Because S is covered by rational curves of positive self-intersection it is a rational surface. Therefore $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ and homologous curves are linearly equivalent. Consequently if we set $C = C(0)$ then all the curves $C(x)$ belong to $\mathbb{P}H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$.

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(C) \rightarrow N_C \rightarrow 0$ immediately implies that

$$h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) = 1 + h^0(C, N_C) = 1 + h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(C^2)) = n + 1.$$

Thus $\dim \mathbb{P}H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) = n$.

2.5. The Algebraization Map

The map $x \mapsto C(x)$ takes values on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$. It is a holomorphic map and the leaf through x of one of the defining foliations \mathcal{F}_i is mapped to the hyperplane contained in $\mathbb{P}H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$ corresponding to the divisors through $Z_i(x) \in S$.

The common intersection of the hyperplanes corresponding to the leaves of \mathcal{W} through 0 reduces to the point corresponding to C . Otherwise there would be an element in $\mathbb{P}H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$ intersecting C in at least n points contradicting $C^2 = n - 1$. Therefore the map is an immersion and the image of \mathcal{W} is a linear web. Trépreau's Algebraization Theorem follows from Theorem 1.3. \square

3. EXCEPTIONAL PLANAR WEBS I: THE HISTORY

The webs of maximal rank that are not algebraizable are usually called **exceptional webs**. Trépreau's Theorem says that on dimension $n \geq 3$ there are no exceptional codimension one k -webs for $k \geq 2n$. The next three sections, including this one, discuss the planar case. On the first I will draw the general plot of the quest for exceptional webs on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ — as I have learned from [Pirio 2004, Chapitre 8] and references therein. The second will survey the methods to prove that a given web is exceptional while the third will be completely devoted to examples.

3.1. Blaschke's approach to the algebraization of planar 5-webs

In the five pages paper [Blaschke 1933] the *proof* that all 5-webs on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ of maximal rank are algebraizable is sketched. Although wrong Blaschke's paper turned out to be a rather influential piece of mathematics. For instance, the starting point of Bol's proof of the *Hauptsatz für Flächengewebe* can be found there.

For a 5-web of maximal rank Blaschke defines a variation of the Poincaré map — the **Poincaré-Blaschke map** — as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PB} : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_4(\mathbb{P}^5) = \check{\mathbb{P}}^5 \\ x &\mapsto \text{Span}(Z_1(x), \dots, Z_5(x), Z_1'(x), \dots, Z_5'(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where Z_i is defined as in §1.5 and Z_i' makes sense since the image of the map Z_i has dimension one. The fact that the spanned projective subspace has dimension 4 follows from a reasoning similar to the one presented in §1.5.

The main mistake in loc. cit. is Satz 2 that, combined with a result of Darboux, implies that the image of \mathcal{PB} is contained in a Veronese surface. If this is the case then it is indeed true that the 5-web is algebraizable. For a detailed proof of the latter statement see [Pirio 2004, Proposition 8.4.6].

3.2. Bol's counter-example and Blaschke-Segre surfaces on \mathbb{P}^5

Blaschke's mistake was pointed out by Bol in [Bol 1936]. There he provided a counterexample by proving that the 5-web \mathcal{B}_5 had rank 6, see Figure 4. Besides 5 linearly independent *obvious* abelian relations coming from the hexagonal 3-subwebs he found another one of the form $\sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\frac{\log 1-t_i}{t_i} + \frac{\log t_i}{1-t_i} \right) dt_i = 0$, where $t_1 = \frac{y}{x}$, $t_2 = \frac{x+y-1}{y}$, $t_3 = \frac{x-y}{1-y}$, $t_4 = \frac{1-y}{x}$ and $t_5 = \frac{x(1-x)}{y(1-y)}$ are rational functions defining \mathcal{B}_5 . The integration of this expression leads to Abel's functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 Li_2(t_i) + Li_2(1-t_i) = 0,$$

for Euler's dilogarithm $Li_2(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n^2}$.




FIGURE 4. **Bol's Exceptional 5-web \mathcal{B}_5** is the web induced by four pencil of lines with base points in general position and a pencil of conics through these four base points. It is the unique non-linearizable web for which all its 3-subwebs are hexagonal. For almost 70 years it remained the only known example of non-algebraizable 5-web of maximal rank.

Bol studies the image of the Poincaré-Blaschke map for \mathcal{B}_5 and shows that it is a germ of (transcendental) surface with the remarkable property: *it is non-degenerated and has five families of curves such that the tangent spaces of S along each of these curves lies on a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^5 that depends just on the curve.* To ease the further reference let's adopt the (non-standard) terminology **Blaschke-Segre surfaces** to describe the surfaces with

this property. The choice of terminology follows from the fact that the tangents of the curves in the five families must coincide with Segre's principal directions of S . We recall that at a point $p \in S$ (S non-degenerated and not contained in a Veronese surface) these are the five directions (multiplicities taken into account) determined by the tangent cones of the intersection of S with one of the five hyperplanes that intersects S in a tacnode (or worst singularity) at p .

The relation between exceptional 5-webs and Blaschke-Segre surfaces was noticed by Bol. In his own words: "*Im übrigen sieht man, daß die Bestimmung von allen Fünfgeweben höchsten Ranges hinausläuft auf die Angabe aller Flächen mit Segreschen Kurvenscharen, und umgekehrt; (...)*", in [Bol 1936, pp. 392–393]

The beautiful underlying geometry of the Blaschke-Segre surfaces caught the eyes of some Italian geometers including Bompiani, Buzano and Terracini. On the first lines of [Bompiani and Bortolotti 1937] it is remarked that the exceptional 5-webs give raise to Blaschke-Segre surfaces echoing the above quote by Bol. Buzano and Terracini pursued the task of determining/classifying other germs of Blaschke-Segre surfaces in [Terracini 1937, Buzano 1939]. Their approach was mainly analytic and quickly lead to the study of certain non-linear system of PDEs. They were able to classify, under rather strong geometric assumptions on the families of curves, some classes of Blaschke-Segre surfaces. At the end they obtained a small number of previously unknown examples. Apparently, the determination of the rank of the naturally associated 5-webs was not pursued at that time, cf. [Blaschke and Bol 1938, page 261].

Buzano pointed out that two of his Blaschke-Segre surfaces induced quite remarkable 5-webs: both are of the form $\mathcal{W}(x, y, x + y, x - y, f(x, y))$ and, moreover, the 3-subwebs $\mathcal{W}(x, y, f(x, y))$ and $\mathcal{W}(x + y, x - y, f(x, y))$ are hexagonal. The complete classification of 5-webs with these properties is carried out in [Buzano 1939b]. Nevertheless he does not wonder whether the obtained 5-webs come from Blaschke-Segre surfaces or if they are of maximal rank.

After the 1940's the study of webs of maximal rank seems to have been forgotten until the late seventies when Chern and Griffiths — apparently motivated by Griffiths' project aiming at the understanding of rational equivalence of cycles in algebraic varieties — pursued the task of extending Bol's Theorem for dimensions greater than three, cf. §1.6.

In a number of different opportunities Chern emphasized that a better understanding of the exceptional planar 5-webs, or more generally of the exceptional webs, should be pursued. For instance, after a quick browsing of the recent papers by Chern on web geometry and Blaschke's work one collects the following quotes (see also [Chern 1982, Unsolved Problems], [Chern 1985, Problem 6]):

- . "*At this low-dimensional level an important unsolved problem is whether there are other 5-webs of rank 6, besides algebraic ones and Bol's example.*", [Chern 1985b]

- “In general, the determination of all webs of maximum rank will remain a fundamental problem in web geometry and the non-algebraic ones, if there are any, will be most interesting.”, [Chern 1985]
- “(…) we cannot refrain from mentioning what we consider to be the fundamental problem on the subject, which is to determine the maximum rank non-linearizable webs. The strong conditions must imply that there are not many. It may not be unreasonable to compare the situation with the exceptional simple Lie groups.”, [Chern and Griffiths 1981]

Chern’s insistence can be easily justified. The exceptional planar webs are, in a certain sense, generalizations of algebraic plane curves and a better understanding of these objects is highly desirable.

The questions of Chern had to wait around 20 years to receive a first answer. In [Hénaut 2001], Hénaut recognizes that 9-web induced by the rational functions figuring in Spence-Kummer 9-terms functional equation for the trilogarithm as a good candidate for exceptionality. In 2002, Pirio and Robert independently settled that this 9-web is indeed exceptional.

In [Griffiths 2004] Griffiths suggests that exceptionality is in strict relation with the polylogarithms. In particular he asks if all the exceptional webs are somehow related to functional equations for polylogarithms.

In face of all these questions, it was a surprise when Pirio showed that $\mathcal{W}(x, y, x + y, x - y, x^2 + y^2)$ is an exceptional 5-web and its space of abelian relations is generated by the elementary polynomial identities (cf. [Pirio 2004b] and also [Pirio 2004])

$(x^2 + y^2) = x^2 + y^2$	$0 = x - y - (x - y)$
$6(x^2 + y^2)^2 = 4x^4 + 4y^4 + (x + y)^4 + (x - y)^4$	$0 = (x - y)^2 + (x + y)^2 - 2x^2 - 2y^2$
$10(x^2 + y^2)^3 = 8x^6 + 8y^6 + (x + y)^6 - (x - y)^6$	$0 = x + y - (x + y)$

In loc. cit. other exceptional webs are determined, e.g. $\mathcal{W}(x, y, x + y, x - y, xy)$ and $\mathcal{W}(x, y, x + y, x - y, x^2 + y^2, xy)$. In section §5 most of the exceptional webs found by Pirio, Robert and others are described.




FIGURE 5. Three of the *new* examples of exceptional webs founded by Pirio. The 6-web in the middle is the superposition of the other two 5-webs.

4. EXCEPTIONAL PLANAR WEBS II: THE METHODS

To put in evidence the exceptionality of a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ one has to check that the web is non-linearizable and that it has maximal rank. Here I will briefly survey some of the methods to deal with both problems.

4.1. Linearization Conditions for Planar Webs

If $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k$ is a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and the foliations \mathcal{F}_i are induced by vector fields $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + p_i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ then there exists a unique polynomial

$$P_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y, p) = l_1(x, y)p^{k-1} + l_2(x, y)p^{k-2} + \cdots + l_k(x, y)$$

in $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}[p]$ of degree at most $(k-1)$ such that $X_i(p_i) = \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x} + p_i \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial y} = P_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y, p_i(x, y))$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

One can verify that the leaves of the web \mathcal{W} can be presented as the graphs of the solutions of $y'' = P_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y, y')$. In [Hénaut 1993] (see also [Blaschke and Bol 1938, §29]) it is proven that a k -web \mathcal{W} is linearizable if, and only if, there exists a local change of the coordinates (x, y) that simultaneously linearizes all the solutions of the second order differential equation above. A classical result of Liouville says that this is case if, and only if, (a) $\deg_p P_{\mathcal{W}} \leq 3$; and (b) the coefficients $(l_k, l_{k-1}, l_{k-2}, l_{k-3})$ satisfy a certain (explicit) system of differential equations, cf. [Hénaut 1993] for details.

Notice that all the computations involved can be explicitly carried out. Moreover, if the web is given in implicit form $F(x, y, y')$ then the polynomial $P_{\mathcal{W}}$ can also be explicitly computed in function of the coefficients of F , see [Ripoll 2005, Chapitre 2].

For our purposes, a particularly useful consequence of this criterium is the following corollary [Hénaut 1993], [Blaschke and Bol 1938, p. 247]: *If \mathcal{W} is a k -web on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ with $k \geq 4$ then, modulo projective transformations, \mathcal{W} admits at most one linearization.*

As a side remark we mention a related result due to Nakai [Nakai 1987, Theorem 2.1.3]: if \mathcal{W}_C and $\mathcal{W}_{C'}$ are two algebraic webs associated to irreducible curves on \mathbb{P}^n of degree at least $n+2$ then every orientation preserving homeomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n conjugating \mathcal{W}_C and $\mathcal{W}_{C'}$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n . An amusing corollary is in Nakai's own words: *"the complex structure of a line bundle $L \rightarrow C$ on a Riemann surface is determined by the topological structure of a net of effective divisors determining L ."*

There are other criteria for linearizability of d -webs, $d \geq 4$, cf. [Akvivis et al. 2004]. Concerning the linearization of planar 3-webs there is Gronwall's conjecture: a non-algebraizable 3-web on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ admits at most one linearization. Bol proved that the number of linearizations is at most 16. In [Grifone et al. 2001] an approach suggested by Akvivi to obtain relative differential invariants characterizing the linearization of 3-webs is followed. The authors succeeded in reducing Bol's bound to 15. Similar results have been recently reobtained in [Goldberg and Lychagin 2006].

4.2. Detecting the maximality of the rank

The methods to check the maximality of the rank can be naturally divided in two types. The ones of the first type — Methods 1, 2 and 3 below — aim at the determination of the space of abelian relations. Methods 4,5 and 6 do not determine the abelian relations explicitly but in turn characterize the webs of maximal rank by the vanishing of certain algebraic functions on the data (and their derivatives) defining it. These characterizations can be interpreted as generalizations of the equivalence (2) \iff (3) in Theorem 1.1.

4.2.1. *Method 1: Differential Elimination (Abel's method)*. If a k -web $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is defined by germs of submersions $u_i : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ then the determination of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ is equivalent to find the germs of functions $f_1, \dots, f_k : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ satisfying

$$f_1(u_1) + f_2(u_2) + \dots + f_k(u_k) = 0.$$

Abel, in his first published paper — *Méthode générale pour trouver des fonctions d'une seule quantité variable lorsqu'une propriété de ces fonctions est exprimée par une équation entre deux variables* [Abel 1823] — furnished an algorithmic solution to it. The key idea consists in eliminating the dependence in the functions u_2, \dots, u_k by means of successive differentiations in order to obtain a linear differential equation of the form

$$f_1^{(l)}(u_1) + c_{l-1}(u_1)f_1^{(l-1)}(u_1) + \dots + c_0(u_1)f_1(u_1) = 0$$

satisfied by the f_1 . The coefficients c_i are expressed as rational functions of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k and their derivatives. After solving this linear differential equation and the similar ones for f_2, \dots, f_k the determination of the abelian relations reduces to plain linear algebra.

Abel's method has been revisited by Pirio — cf. [Pirio 2004, Chapitre 2], [Pirio 2005] — and after implementing it he was able to determine the rank of a number of planar webs including the ones induced by the Blaschke-Segre surfaces found by Buzano and Terracini. They all turned out to be exceptional.

Notice that the computations involved tends to be rather lengthy and this, perhaps, explains why the use of such method to determine *new* exceptional webs had to wait until 2002.

4.2.2. *Method 2: Polylogarithmic Functional Relations*. Another approach to determine some of the abelian relations of a given particular web was proposed by Robert in [Robert 2002]. Instead of looking for all possible abelian relations he aims at the ones involving polylogarithms. He uses a variant of a criterium due to Zagier [Zagier 1991] that reduces the problem to linear algebra. In contrast with Abel's method this one has a narrower scope but tends to be more efficient since it bypass the solution of differential equations.

More precisely, if $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{C}(x, y)$ are rational functions on \mathbb{C}^2 and $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is a suitably chosen open subset then the existence of abelian relations of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \text{Li}_r(u_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} P_{i,l}(\log u_i) \text{Li}_{r-l}(u_i) = 0,$$

with $P_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, is equivalent to the symmetry of the tensor

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \left(\left(\frac{du_i}{u_i} \right)^{\otimes k-1} \otimes \frac{du_i}{1-u_i} \right).$$

in $\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}}^r \Omega^1(U)$, cf. [Robert 2002, Théorème 1.3].

4.2.3. *Method 3: Abelian relations in the presence of automorphisms.* Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k$ denotes a k -web in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ which admits an infinitesimal automorphism X , regular and transverse to the foliations \mathcal{F}_i in a neighborhood of the origin.

Clearly the Lie derivative of L_X acts on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ and an analysis of such action allows one to infer that the abelian relations of \mathcal{W} can be written in the form, cf. [Marín et al. 2006, Proposition 3.1],

$$P_1(u_1) e^{\lambda_1 u_1} du_1 + \dots + P_k(u_k) e^{\lambda_k u_k} du_k = 0$$

where P_1, \dots, P_k are polynomials of degree less or equal than the size of the i -th Jordan block of $L_X : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$, λ_i are the eigenvalues and $u_i = \int \frac{\omega_i}{\omega_i(X)}$.

The rank of the web $\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_X$ obtained from \mathcal{W} by superposing the foliation induced by X is related to the rank of \mathcal{W} [Marín et al. 2006, Theorem 1] by the formula

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_X) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{W}) + (k - 1).$$

In particular, \mathcal{W} is of maximal rank if, and only if, $\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_X$ is also of maximal rank. Once one realizes that the Lie derivative L_X induces linear operators on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_X)$ then the proof of this result boils down to linear algebra.

4.2.4. *Method 4: Pantazi's Method.* In [Pantazi 1938], Pantazi explains a method to determine the rank of a k -web defined by k holomorphic 1-forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$. He introduced $N = (k - 1)(k - 2)/2$ expressions — algebraically and explicitly constructed from the coefficients of the ω_i 's and their derivatives — which are identically zero if, and only if, the web is of maximal rank.

Building on Pantazi's method Mihăileanu obtains in [Mihăileanu 1941] a necessary condition for the maximality of the rank: the sum of the curvatures of all 3-subwebs of \mathcal{W} must vanish.

4.2.5. *Method 5: The Implicit Approach (Hénaut's Method* [Hénaut 2004]). If \mathcal{W} is a regular k -web defined on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ by an implicit differential equation $f(x, y, y')$ of degree k on y' then the contact 1-form $dy - p dx$ on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \times \mathbb{C}$ defines a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ on the surface S cut out by $f(x, y, p)$ such that $\pi_* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{W}$, with $\pi : S \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ being the natural projection.

On this implicit framework the abelian relations of \mathcal{W} can be interpreted as 1-forms $\eta \in \pi_* \Omega_S^1$ of the form $\eta = (b_3 p^{d-3} + \dots + b_d) \cdot \frac{dy - p dx}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}}$ that are closed. It follows that there exists a linear system of differential equations $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{W}}$ with space of solutions isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W})$. The system $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is completely determined by f .

Using Cartan-Spencer theory, Hénaut builds a rank $N = (k - 1)(k - 2)/2$ vector bundle E contained in the jet bundle $J_{k-2}(\mathcal{O}^{k-2})$ and a holomorphic connection $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1$ such that the local system of solutions of ∇ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{W}}$. It follows that \mathcal{W} has maximal rank if, and only if, the curvature form of ∇ is identically zero.

Although not explicit in principle, this construction has been untangled by Ripoll, who implemented in a symbolic computation system the curvature matrix determination for 3, 4 and 5-webs, cf. [Ripoll 2005].

An interpretation for the induced connection $(\det E, \det \nabla)$ is provided by [Ripoll 2005, Théorème 5.2] when $k \leq 6$ and in [Hénaut 2006, p. 281],[Ripoll 2007] for arbitrary k . After multiplying f by a suitable unit there exists a connection isomorphism

$$(\det E, \det \nabla) \simeq \left(\begin{array}{cc} \binom{d}{3} & \binom{d}{3} \\ \bigotimes_{k=1} L_k & \bigotimes_{k=1} \nabla_k \end{array} \right)$$

where (L_k, ∇_k) are (suitably chosen) connections of all 3-subwebs of \mathcal{W} . As a corollary they reobtain Mihăileanu necessary condition for the rank maximality.

An extensive study of the connection ∇ and its invariants remains to be done. For a number of interesting questions and perspectives see [Hénaut 2006]. Here I will just point out that due to their complementary nature it would be interesting to clarify the relation between Pantazi's and Hénaut's method.

4.2.6. *Method 6: Goldberg-Lychagin's Method.* A variant of the previous two methods has been proposed in [Goldberg and Lychagin 2006b]. The equations imposing the maximality of the rank are expressed in terms of relative differential invariants of the web.

5. EXCEPTIONAL PLANAR WEBS III: THE EXAMPLES

On this section I will briefly describe new exceptional webs that have come to light since 2002. The list below is not extensive. To the best of my knowledge all the other new examples available in the literature can be found in [Pirio 2004] and [Marín et al. 2006].

The non-linearizability of all the examples below can be inferred from the fact they are non-linear webs but contain a linear k -subweb with $k \geq 4$, see §4.1.

5.1. Polylogarithmics Webs

If $Li_3(z) = \sum \frac{z^n}{n^3}$ is the trilogarithm then the Spence-Kummer functional equation for it is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2Li_3(x) + 2Li_3(y) - Li_3\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + 2Li_3\left(\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right) + 2Li_3\left(\frac{x(1-y)}{y(1-x)}\right) \\ - & Li_3(xy) + 2Li_3\left(-\frac{x(1-y)}{(1-x)}\right) + 2Li_3\left(-\frac{1-y}{y(1-x)}\right) - Li_3\left(\frac{x(1-y)^2}{y(1-x)^2}\right) \\ = & 2Li_3(1) - \log(y)^2 \log\left(\frac{1-y}{1-x}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \log(y) + \frac{1}{3} \log(y)^3. \end{aligned}$$

The naturally associated 9-web, after the change $(x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{1+x}{x}, \frac{1+y}{y}\right)$, is

$$\mathcal{W}_{SK} = \mathcal{W} \left(\underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{1+y}, \frac{1+x}{y}, x, y, \frac{x}{y}, \frac{1+x}{1+y}, \frac{y(1+x)}{x(1+y)}}_{\mathcal{B}_5}, \frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{xy}, \frac{x(1+x)}{y(1+y)} \right)}_{\mathcal{B}_7} \right).$$

\mathcal{W}_{SK} was recognized as a good candidate for exceptionality in [Hénaut 2001]. It was later shown to be exceptional by two different methods. Robert apparently developed method 2 for this purpose and Piroio used Abel’s method. The subweb \mathcal{B}_5 is clearly an isomorphic copy of Bol’s 5-web. The subwebs \mathcal{B}_6 and \mathcal{B}_7 (see displayed equation) are also exceptional. Notice that $\mathcal{B}_5 \subset \mathcal{B}_6 \subset \mathcal{B}_7 \subset \mathcal{W}_{SK}$.

Due to the rich automorphism group of \mathcal{W}_{SK} one can easily recognize other subwebs isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_5, \mathcal{B}_6$ and \mathcal{B}_7 contained in \mathcal{W}_{SK} . Besides these there is one exceptional 5-subweb ([Piroio 2004, Théorème 7.2.5]) and one exceptional 6-subweb ([Piroio 2004, Théorème 7.2.5], [Robert 2002, §3.2]) of \mathcal{W}_{SK} that are non-isomorphic to \mathcal{B}_5 and \mathcal{B}_6 respectively.

Robert has also determined an exceptional 8-web \mathcal{B}_8 containing \mathcal{B}_7 but not isomorphic to any 8-subweb of \mathcal{W}_{SK} . It is obtained from \mathcal{B}_7 by adding the pencil of lines $\frac{2x-1}{2y-1}$, [Robert 2002, Théorème 3.1].

\mathcal{W}_{SK} admits a description analogous to Bol’s 5-web. If one considers the configuration of six points in \mathbb{P}^2 schematically represented in the left of Figure 6 then \mathcal{W}_{SK} is formed by the six pencil of lines through the points and three pencil of conics through any four of the six points that are in general position. If one considers exactly the same construction using the other two configurations of five points represented in Figure 6 then the configuration in the middle induces a 1-parameter family of 8-webs while the one in the right induces a 2-parameters family of 10-webs. The first turns out to be a family of exceptional 8-webs,




FIGURE 6. The configuration in the left naturally induces \mathcal{W}_{SK} while the one in the middle induces an 1-parameter family of exceptional webs.

cf. [Pirio 2004, Théorème 7.3.1]. The second remains a good candidate for a family of exceptional 10-webs since all the members satisfy Mihăileanu necessary condition for the rank maximality.

All the other possible configurations of five points in \mathbb{P}^2 induces exceptional webs. On the other hand, see [Pirio 2004, p. 182], the web associated to a generic configuration of 6 points in \mathbb{P}^2 does not satisfy Mihăileanu condition and therefore is not exceptional.

Webs naturally associated to Kummer's equations for the tetralogarithm and the pentalogarithm have also been studied in [Pirio 2004, Chapitre 7]. They do not satisfy Mihăileanu condition and therefore are not exceptional. Nevertheless they do contain some previously unknown exceptional 5 and 6-subwebs.

5.2. Quasi-Parallel Webs

In [Pirio 2004b] a number of 5-webs on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ have been determined with the help of Abel's method. They are all of the form $\mathcal{W}(x, y, x + y, x - y, u(x, y))$ for some germ of holomorphic function $u(x, y) = v(x) + w(y)$.

Latter in [Pirio and Trépreau 2005] the classification of the 5-webs of this particular form was pursued. At the end they obtained that all 5-webs on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ of the form $\mathcal{W}[v(x) + w(y)] = \mathcal{W}(x, y, z + y, x - y, v(x) + w(y))$ are equivalent to one of the following

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 (a) & \mathcal{W}[\log(\sin(x)\sin(y))] & (b) \quad \mathcal{W}[x^2 - y^2] & (c) \quad \mathcal{W}[x^2 + y^2] \\
 (d) & \mathcal{W}[\log(\tanh(x)\tanh(y))] & (e) \quad \mathcal{W}[\exp(x) + \exp(y)] \\
 (f)_k & \mathcal{W}[\log(\operatorname{sn}_k(x)\operatorname{sn}_k(y))] & &
 \end{array}$$

with sn_k being the Jacobi's elliptic functions of module $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The webs (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) can all be interpreted as *limits* of the webs (f)_k through suitable *renormalizations*.

The abelian relations are either polynomial ones or follows from well-known identities involving theta functions and classical functions.

Notice that all 3-webs of the form $\mathcal{W}(x, y, v(x) + w(y))$ are hexagonal. In the course of the classification it is proved that the maximality of the rank of $\mathcal{W}[v(x) + w(y)]$ implies that the 3-subweb $\mathcal{W}(x + y, x - y, v(x) + w(y))$ is hexagonal. Coincidentally this reduces the problem to the one considered in [Buzano 1939b].

5.3. Webs admitting Infinitesimal Automorphisms

Method 3 implies that every reduced curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree $k \geq 4$ left invariant by an \mathbb{C}^* -action induces, on the dual projective plane, an exceptional $(k+1)$ -web formed by the superposition of \mathcal{W}_C and the orbits of the dual \mathbb{C}^* -action [Marín et al. 2006].

If one considers the curves cutted out by polynomials of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (xy - \lambda_i z), \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

then it follows that for every $k \geq 5$ there exist a family of dimension at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1$ of pairwise non-equivalent exceptional global k -webs on \mathbb{P}^2 .

6. WEBS OF ARBITRARY CODIMENSION

There are a number of works dealing with webs of arbitrary codimension and their abelian relations. On the next few lines I will try to briefly review some of the most recent advances. Although, even more than in the previous paragraphs, I do not aim at completeness and, probably, a number of important omissions are made.

A k -web $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k$ of codimension r on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a collection of k foliations of codimension r such that the tangent spaces $T_0\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, T_0\mathcal{F}_k$ are in general position, i.e., the intersection of any number m of these subspaces have the minimal possible dimension while the union has the maximal possible dimension.

For every non-negative integer $\ell \leq r$ one can define the space of degree ℓ abelian relations of \mathcal{W} in terms of closed ℓ -forms vanishing along the leaves of the defining foliations.

If V is a reduced non-degenerated[¶] subvariety of \mathbb{P}^{n+r-1} of degree k and dimension r and Π is a generic $(n-1)$ -plane then, analogously to the case of curves, V induces a k -web \mathcal{W}_V on $(\mathbb{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+r-1}), \Pi)$, where $\mathbb{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+r-1})$ is the Grassmanian of $(n-1)$ planes on \mathbb{P}^{n+r-1} . Using a natural affine chart around Π one sees that $(\mathbb{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+r-1}), \Pi) \cong (\mathbb{C}^{nr}, 0)$ and that \mathcal{W}_V is equivalent to a k -web of codimension r on $(\mathbb{C}^{nr}, 0)$ with linear leaves. The k -webs of codimension r on $(\mathbb{C}^{nr}, 0)$ are denoted by $\mathcal{W}_k(n, r)$.

In [Chern and Griffiths 1978b] bounds for the dimension of the space of degree r abelian relations for webs $\mathcal{W}_k(n, r)$ are obtained. These bounds are realized by webs \mathcal{W}_V where V is an extremal subvariety of \mathbb{P}^{n+r-1} in the sense that the dimension of $H^0(V, \omega_V)$ is maximal among the non-degenerated varieties of same degree and codimension. Recently Hénaut provided sharp bounds for the ℓ -rank of webs $\mathcal{W}_k(n, r)$ for every $\ell \leq r$, cf. [Hénaut 2004b].

[¶]In a similar sense to the one used for curves, cf. §1.3.

In view of the algebraization results for codimension one webs one is naturally lead to wonder if the $\mathcal{W}_k(n, r)$ of maximal rank are algebraizable when k is sufficiently large. Algebraization results for the $\mathcal{W}_k(2, r)$ of maximal r -rank have been obtained by [Goldberg 1992] ($r = 2$) and [Hénaut 1998] (every $r \geq 2$). For $\ell < r$ or, $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the characterization of the $\mathcal{W}_k(n, r)$ of maximal ℓ -rank seems to be open.

The study of webs which have codimension not dividing the dimension of the ambient space also leads to beautiful geometry. A prototypal result in this direction is Blaschke-Walberer Theorem [Blaschke and Bol 1938, §35–36] for 3-webs by curves on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ of maximum 1-rank (proven by Blaschke to be 5). It says that these 3-webs can be obtained from cubic hypersurfaces on \mathbb{P}^4 by means of an algebraic correspondence.

Concerning webs by curves there are also some interesting results by Damiano. He provided a bound for the $(n - 1)$ -rank of a web by curves on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ [Damiano 1983, Proposition 2.4], found generalizations of Bol's exceptional web \mathcal{B}_5 to non-linearizable $(n + 3)$ -webs by curves on \mathbb{C}^n of maximum $(n - 1)$ -rank [Damiano 1983, Theorem 5.5] and linked the abelian relations of these webs to the Gabrielov-Gelfand-Losik work on the first Pontrjagin class of a manifold, cf. [MacPherson 1978].

I could not find a better way to close this survey than recalling a few more words of Chern [Chern 1982] about web geometry:

(...) the subject is a wide generalization of the geometry of projective algebraic varieties. Just as intrinsic algebraic varieties are generalized to Kähler manifolds and complex manifolds, such a generalization to web geometry seems justifiable.

REFERENCES

- [Abel 1823] N. ABEL – *Méthode générale pour trouver des fonctions d'une seule quantité variable lorsqu'une propriété de ces fonctions est exprimée par une équation entre deux variables*. Oeuvres complètes de N.H. Abel, tome 1, Grondhal Son (1981) 1–10.
- [Akivis et al. 2004] M. AKIVIS, V. GOLDBERG and V. LYCHAGIN – *Linearizability of d -webs, $d \geq 4$, on two-dimensional manifolds*. Selecta Math. **10** (4) (2004) 431–451.
- [Akivis and Goldberg 2000] M. AKIVIS and V. GOLDBERG – *Differential Geometry of Webs*. in “Handbook of differential geometry” **1** (2000) 1–152.
- [Beauville 1980] A. BEAUVILLE – *Tissus [d'après S. S. Chern et P. A. Griffiths]*. Séminaire Bourbaki (1978/79), Exp. No. 531, Lecture Notes in Math **770** (1980) 103–119.
- [Blaschke 1933] W. BLASCHKE – *Über die Tangenten einer ebenen Kurve fünfter Klasse*. Abh. Math. Semin. Hamb. Univ. **9** (1933) 313–317.

- [Blaschke and Bol 1938] W. BLASCHKE and G. BOL – *Geometrie der Gewebe*. Die Grundlehren der Math. **49**, Springer, Berlin, 1938.
- [Bol 1934] G. BOL – *Flächengewebe im dreidimensionalen Raum*. Abh. Math. Semin. Hamb. Univ. **10** (1934) 119–133.
- [Bol 1936] G. BOL – *Über ein bemerkenswertes Fünfgewebe in der Ebene*. Abh. Math. Semin. Hamb. Univ. **10** (1934) 119–133.
- [Bompiani and Bortolotti 1937] E. BOMPIANI and E. BORTOLOTTI – *Ricerche sulle superficie dello spazio a cinque dimensioni e nuove caratterizzazioni della superficie di Veronese*. Math. Zeitschr. **42** (1937) 411–429.
- [Buzano 1939] P. BUZANO – *Determinazione e studio di superficie di S_5 le cui linee principali presentano una notevole particolarità*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **18** (1939) 51–76.
- [Buzano 1939b] P. BUZANO – *Tipi notevoli di 5-tessuti di curve piane*. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. **1** (1939) 7–11.
- [Chern and Griffiths 1978] S. S. CHERN and P. GRIFFITHS – *Abel's theorem and webs*. Jahr. Deutsch. Math.-Ver. **80** (1978) 13–110.
- [Chern and Griffiths 1978b] S. S. CHERN and P. GRIFFITHS – *An inequality for the rank of a web and webs of maximum rank*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **5** (1978) 539–557.
- [Chern and Griffiths 1981] S. S. CHERN and P. GRIFFITHS – *Corrections and addenda to our paper: "Abel's theorem and webs"*. Jahr. Deutsch. Math.-Ver. **83** (1981) 78–83.
- [Chern 1982] S. S. CHERN – *Web geometry*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** 1 (1982) 1–8.
- [Chern 1985] S. S. CHERN – *The mathematical works of Wilhelm Blaschke— an update*. Wilhelm Blaschke Gesammelte Werke. Band 5. (1985) 21–23.
- [Chern 1985b] S. S. CHERN – *Wilhelm Blaschke and Web Geometry*. Wilhelm Blaschke Gesammelte Werke. Band 5. (1985) 25–27.
- [Chiantini and Ciliberto 2002] L. CHIANTINI and C. CILIBERTO – *Weakly Defective Varieties*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002) 151–178.
- [Damiano 1983] D. DAMIANO – *Webs and characteristic forms of Grassmann manifolds*. Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), no. 6, 1325–1345.
- [Enriques 1893] F. ENRIQUES – *Una questione sulla linearità dei sistemi di curve appartenenti ad una superficie algebrica*. Rend. Acc. Lincei (1893) 3–8.
- [Goldberg 1992] V. GOLDBERG – *Rank problems for webs $\mathcal{W}(d, 2, r)$* . Differential Geometry and its Applications, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **56** (1992) 317–357.
- [Goldberg and Lychagin 2006] V. GOLDBERG and V. LYCHAGIN – *On the Blaschke conjecture for 3-webs*. J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006) 69–115.
- [Goldberg and Lychagin 2006b] V. GOLDBERG and V. LYCHAGIN – *Abelian equations and rank problems for planar webs*. Arxiv:math.DG/0605124 (2006).
- [Griffiths 1976] P. GRIFFITHS – *Variations on a Theorem of Abel*. Inventiones Math. **35** (1976) 321–390.

- [Griffiths 2004] P. GRIFFITHS – *The Legacy of Abel in Algebraic Geometry*. The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer-Verlag (2004) 179–205.
- [Grifone and Salem 2001] J. GRIFONE and E. SALEM – *Web theory and related topics*. World Scientific (2001).
- [Grifone et al. 2001] J. GRIFONE, Z. MUZSNAY and J. SAAB – *On a linearizability condition for a three-web on a two-dimensional manifold*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **47** (2001) 2643–2654.
- [Hénaut 1993] A. HÉNAUT – *Sur la linéarisation des tissus de \mathbb{C}^2* . *Topology* **32** (1993) 531–542.
- [Hénaut 1998] A. HÉNAUT – *Sur l’algébrisation des tissus de codimension n de \mathbb{C}^{2n}* . *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **31** (1998) 131–143.
- [Hénaut 2001] A. HÉNAUT – *Analytic web geometry*. *Web theory and related topics*. World Scientific (2001) 6–47.
- [Hénaut 2004] A. HÉNAUT – *Planar web geometry through abelian relations and connections*. *Annals of Math.* **159** (2004) 425–445.
- [Hénaut 2004b] A. HÉNAUT – *Formes différentielles abéliennes, bornes de Castelnuovo et géométrie des tissus*. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004) 25–57.
- [Hénaut 2006] A. HÉNAUT – *Planar web geometry through abelian relations and singularities*. *Inspired by Chern, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics* **11** (2006) 269–295.
- [Henkin and Passare 1999] G. HENKIN and M. PASSARE – *Abelian differentials on singular varieties and variations on a theorem of Lie-Griffiths*. *Inventiones Math.* **135** (1999) 297–328.
- [Marín et al. 2006] D. MARÍN, J. V. PEREIRA and L. PIRIO – *On planar webs with infinitesimal automorphisms*. *Inspired by Chern, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics* **11** (2006) 351–364.
- [MacPherson 1978] R. MACPHERSON – *The combinatorial formula of Gabrielov, Gelfand and Losik for the first Pontrjagin class*. *Séminaire Bourbaki, 29e année (1976/77)*, Exp. No. 497. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **677** 1978.
- [Mihăileanu 1941] N. MIHĂILEANU – *Sur les tissus plans de première espèce*. *Bull. Math. Soc. Roum. Sci.* **43** (1941) 23–26.
- [Nakai 1987] I. NAKAI – *Topology of complex webs of codimension one and geometry of projective space curves*. *Topology* **26** (1987) 475–504.
- [Pantazi 1938] A. PANTAZI – *Sur la détermination du rang d’un tissu plan*. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Roumanie* **4** (1940) 108–111.
- [Pirio 2004] L. PIRIO – *Équations fonctionnelles abéliennes et géométrie des tissus*. Thèse de Doctorat de l’Université Paris VI, 2004.
- [Pirio 2004b] L. PIRIO – *Sur les tissus plans de rang maximal et le problème de Chern*. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **339** (2004), 131–136.

- [Pirio 2005] L. PIRIO – *Abelian functional equations, planar web geometry and polylogarithms*. *Selecta Math.* **11** no. 3-4 (2005) 453–489.
- [Pirio and Trépreau 2005] L. PIRIO and J.-M. TRÉPREAU – *Tissus Plans Exceptionnels et fonctions Thêta*. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **55** (2005) 2209-2237.
- [Ripoll 2005] O. RIPOLL – *Géométrie des tissus du plan et équations différentielles*. Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université Bordeaux 1, 2005.
- [Ripoll 2005b] O. RIPOLL – *Détermination du rang des tissus du plan et autres invariants géométriques*. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **341** (2005), no. 4, 247–252.
- [Ripoll 2007] O. RIPOLL – *Properties of the connection associated with planar webs and applications*. Arxiv:math.DG/0702321 (2007).
- [Robert 2002] G. ROBERT – *Relations fonctionnelles polylogarithmiques et tissus plans*. Prépublication **146** Université Bordeaux 1 (2002).
- [Terracini 1937] A. TERRACINI – *Su una possibile particolarità delle linee principali di una superficie. I e II*. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei* **26** (1937) 84–91 and 153–158.
- [Trépreau 2006] J.-M. TRÉPREAU – *Algébrisation des Tissus de Codimension 1 – La généralisation d'un Théorème de Bol*. Inspired by Chern, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics **11** (2006) 399-433.
- [Zagier 1991] D. ZAGIER – *Polylogarithms, Dedekind zeta functions and algebraic K-theory of fields*. *Arithmetic and Algebraic Geometry, Prog. Math.* **89** (1991) 391–430.
- [Wirtinger 1938] W. WIRTINGER – *Lie's Translationsmannigfaltigkeiten und das Abelsche Integrale*. *Monatsh. Math. Phys* **46** (1938) 384–431.

J. V. PEREIRA

IMPA

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada

Estrada Dona Castorina, 110 – Jardim Botânico

22460-320 Rio de Janeiro RJ Brasil

E-mail : jvp@impa.br